

POPULATION ECOLOGY AND CONSERVATION OF RED-BILLED CHOUGHS IN SCOTLAND

Final report on Knowledge Transfer Project

August 2009

**Funded by Natural Environment Research Council (NERC), Scottish Natural Heritage
(SNH) and Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB)**



© Robin Bignal

**JANE M. REID¹, MARIA I. BOGDANOVA², ERIC M. BIGNAL³, SUE BIGNAL³,
DAVY I. McCracken⁴ & PAT MONAGHAN⁵**

¹School of Biological Sciences, Zoology Building, University of Aberdeen, Tillydrone Avenue, Aberdeen AB24 2TZ.

²Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, Midlothian, EH26 0QB.

³Scottish Chough Study Group, Kindrochaid, Bridgend, Isle of Islay, Argyll, PA44 7PT.

⁴Research Division, Scottish Agricultural College, Auchincruive, Ayr, KA6 5HW.

⁵Institute of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Graham Kerr Building, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ.

Contents

1. Summary of scientific conclusions and recommendations

2. Summary of agreed recommendations and actions

3. Introduction & overview

- a) Overall aims
- b) Project rationale
- c) Specific aims and achievements

4. Background to choughs and chough research

- a) Range and status
- b) Basic chough ecology
- c) Chough studies on Islay

5. Demography of Islay's choughs

- a) Introduction
- b) Breeding success
- c) Survival
- d) Population growth rate
- e) Sensitivity and population growth rate
- f) Spatial variation in sub-adult survival
- g) Summary and conclusions

6. Investigating long-term variation in sub-adult survival

- a) Introduction
- b) Methods
- c) Among-year variation in first-year survival
- d) Among-nest site variation in first-year survival
- e) Interactions between year and site
- f) Predicting first-year survival in future years
- g) Summary and conclusions

7. Foraging and roosting sites used by sub-adult choughs

- a) Introduction
- b) Methods
- c) Foraging sites
- d) Roost sites
- e) Historical context
- f) Summary and conclusions

8. Precise foraging locations used by sub-adult choughs

- a) Introduction
- b) Methods
- c) Results
- d) Summary and conclusions

9. Habitat characteristics of foraging locations

- a) Introduction
- b) Methods
- c) Results and discussion
- d) Summary and conclusions

10. Use of silage aftermath

- a) Introduction
- b) Methods
- c) Results
- d) Summary and conclusions

11. Links with farm management

- a) Introduction
- b) Methods
- c) Results
- d) Summary and conclusions

12. Fine-scale variation in sub-adult survival

- a) Introduction
- b) Methods
- c) Monthly survival rates
- d) Summary and conclusions: monthly survival rates
- e) Location of first-year mortality
- f) First-year survival by foraging and roost site
- g) First-year survival and parental state
- h) Summary and conclusions: location and parental effects on sub-adult survival

13. Comparative demography of different chough populations

- a) Introduction
- b) Data and methods
- c) Results
- d) Summary and conclusions

14. Summary of knowledge transfer events and presentations

15. Acknowledgements

16. References

17. Glossary

18. Appendices

Appendix 1: Proceedings of Islay Chough Conference

Appendix 2: Islay farmers' land management questionnaire

Appendix 3: Photographs of chough foraging locations

Appendix 4: Nest sites and foraging areas requiring attention (confidential)

1. Summary of scientific conclusions and recommendations

The evidence supporting each conclusion is presented in the sections of the report listed below each conclusion.

1) Conservation status

The islands of Islay and Colonsay hold virtually the entire Scottish population of red-billed choughs (*Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*). This species is of high conservation concern across Europe and an important figurehead for the conservation of low intensity agricultural ecosystems and the mosaic of habitats such systems generally provide. The number of breeding pairs of choughs on Islay has varied over the last 25 years and was estimated at approximately 55 pairs in 2007. Adult breeding success and survival have remained relatively stable. However, first-year survival rates during 2007-2009 were lower than any observed during 1983-2007. Were the rates of survival and breeding success observed in recent years to continue, the number of choughs breeding on Islay would be expected to decrease over coming years. The status of the Scottish chough population as of high conservation concern should therefore be maintained.

Evidence: Sections 4 and 5

2) Sub-adult survival as a focus of management

Over the last 25 years, one main factor driving variation in the number of choughs on Islay has been variation in the probability that a chough will survive through its first two years of life (i.e., from fledging to age two). An effective way of maintaining or increasing the number of choughs on Islay would be to increase the probability that birds survive these sub-adult years, or at least reduce the frequency of years in which sub-adult survival is poor. There is therefore a need to consider whether it is feasible to identify and implement management practices designed to increase the survival of sub-adult choughs. Such management should not, however, have any detrimental consequences for the adult birds.

Evidence: Section 5

3) Monitoring adult survival and breeding success

The number of choughs on Islay is expected to be very sensitive to any change in adult survival (the probability that an adult chough will survive from one year to the next), and reasonably sensitive to any change in breeding success (the number of chicks fledged per breeding attempt). While adult survival and breeding success have recently been

relatively stable on Islay, it is important to continue to monitor adult survival and breeding success and to ensure that any decline can be rapidly recognised, investigated and effective mitigation measures put in place.

Evidence: Section 5

4) Among-year variation in sub-adult survival

During 1983-2005, variation in the survival of sub-adult choughs was correlated with variation in local weather (specifically, temperature and rainfall) and indices of the abundance of *tipulid* larvae (indices based on large scale surveys of inter-annual variation in *tipulid* larvae in Scotland). Although correlation cannot prove direct causation, these data indicate that among-year variation in sub-adult survival may be caused by large-scale variation in weather and food abundance. These factors are difficult to manage directly. However, there is some evidence that the effects of weather and *tipulid* abundance on chough survival depend on the density of breeding pairs of choughs, and on the habitat surrounding nest sites. Specifically, effects of variation in *tipulid* abundance on survival through the first year of life were less marked in choughs that had fledged from nest sites that were surrounded by more suitable foraging habitat and where neighbouring pairs of choughs were further away. Effects of poor weather and low food abundance on chough survival might therefore be minimised or ameliorated by appropriate management of the habitat surrounding nest sites and nest site density. Future nest site provision should be planned with these data in mind.

The possibility that variation in other factors, such as predation, disease and specific agricultural practices has caused the observed variation in chough survival during 1983-2005 could not be quantitatively tested and cannot be ruled out.

Evidence: Section 6 (specifically section 6c)

5) Predicting sub-adult survival

In theory, the statistical model that we developed using data from 1983-2005 (section 6) should allow us to predict the likely first-year survival rate for any particular cohort of choughs in advance. This could allow additional management to be implemented in years when sub-adult survival is expected to be low. Further years of data are required to validate how accurate and useful this approach might be. The model could be effectively validated in 2010 once five years of additional data have accumulated. However, preliminary analyses suggest that the model does not accurately predict the low first-year

survival observed during 2007-2009. Some additional and as yet unidentified factor may therefore have caused the extremely low first-year survival in these two years.

Evidence: Section 6

6) Spatial variation in sub-adult survival

Sub-adult survival varied with natal location such that choughs reared in specific nest sites, and in specific areas of Islay, were more likely to survive to breeding age than choughs reared in other nest sites or areas of Islay. Specific areas of Islay have therefore been particularly important in maintaining the island's chough population. These include the Ballygrant Valley, the area around Loch Gruinart and Sanaig, and the south-east Rhinns. The factors that cause this variation could not be fully identified in this study, but might include variation in habitat and properties of a nest site's physical location (such as its distance from exposed Atlantic coasts). In some of these areas (e.g. the Ballygrant Valley, breeding success has been poor in recent years, possibly associated with a decline in the condition of existing nest sites. It would therefore be prudent to provide and maintain suitable nest sites and foraging habitat in the areas of Islay that have consistently produced choughs that survive well.

Evidence: Sections 5, 6 and 12

7) Foraging sites: the importance of coastal dune systems

On Islay, *ca* 90% of observations of foraging flocks of choughs during April 2006 - March 2008 were in areas associated with coastal dune systems, particularly at Ardnave and Kilchoman. Sub-adult choughs used a variety of habitats within and around these areas, including grazed and largely ungrazed dune grasslands, kelp beds, bare sand, cliff and heath. Coastal dune systems are therefore of major importance for sub-adult choughs on Islay and should be maintained in a state that maximises the abundance and availability of the chough's invertebrate prey. Our data on the foraging behaviour of sub-adults suggest that this will be best achieved by maintaining a mosaic of suitable open habitats containing a diversity of vegetation heights and structures, thereby providing a variety of resources for choughs to exploit in different seasons and years.

Evidence: Sections 7 and 8

8) Foraging sites: the importance of silage fields

Most of the remaining *ca* 10% of observations of foraging flocks of choughs were in newly cut silage fields. This habitat was used by a substantial proportion of newly

fledged and sub-adult choughs during June-August, and is likely to provide an abundance of food for newly fledged young. The extensive use of this habitat when available suggests that cut silage fields are a highly profitable foraging resource for sub-adult choughs, particularly in summer (a time when sub-adult mortality can be high). Both the timing of the closing off of fields to grazing animals, which influences the pattern of change in grass length, and the timing of the silage cutting, therefore influences foraging opportunities for choughs. Some preliminary data suggest that silage fields that were cut in June may be used more, and used for longer, than fields cut in July or August. This possibility requires further investigation. More detailed study of the foraging sites used by young choughs in areas where silage fields are not available (e.g. on Colonsay) may be useful in evaluating its importance on Islay.

The introduction of support for grassland management schemes that influence the timing and synchrony of field closure and silage cutting across Islay should therefore be considered where appropriate. It might be beneficial to encourage some early (June) silage cutting in areas of importance for choughs, and this possibility urgently needs to be tested. Any encouragement of such early cutting should be accompanied by further detailed study of chough use of silage aftermath in relation to the timing and spatial pattern of cutting to further investigate and evaluate the importance of this resource.

Evidence: Sections 7, 8, 10 and 12.

9) Roost sites

During April 2006 - March 2008, sub-adult choughs used three main roosts, at Ardnave, Kilchoman and Dun nan Nighean. The Ardnave and Kilchoman roosts were located within the main sub-adult foraging sites. Choughs also roosted at the same or nearby sites during 1986-1988, suggesting that sub-adult choughs are relatively faithful to specific roosts. However, the relative use of the different roosts has changed over recent years, with a greater proportion of sub-adult choughs now using Ardnave rather than Kilchoman. It is not clear whether this change reflects the provision of a new roost site at Ardnave, changes in foraging habitat at one or both sites, or to some other factor.

Suitable roost sites need to be maintained at or near the key foraging sites for sub-adult choughs, particularly at Ardnave and Kilchoman. Suitable foraging habitat must also be maintained around the key roost sites. Provision of safe roost sites at other foraging sites could also be considered.

Evidence: Sections 7 and 8.

10) Specific foraging locations

Sub-adult choughs foraged at specific locations within Ardnave/Killinallan and Kilchoman/Kilchiaran. These locations tended to have relatively shorter and/or less variable swards, more old cow pats and sparser and more diverse vegetation than locations within these same sites at which sub-adult choughs were not observed to forage. However these effects varied among years (for example a difference in sward height was observed in one year of the study but not the other) and the magnitude of the difference was small (less than 1cm). Given the factors known to affect invertebrate populations, the best management approach may therefore be to aim to maintain a largely open habitat matrix that contains a variety of flora with differing vegetation heights and structures at a small spatial scale. Judicious use of grazing animals might be the best means of achieving this.

Evidence: Section 9

11) Sub-adult mortality and parental state

Years in which first-year survival was low were characterised by particularly low survival through the late summer. Most choughs that died before the end of their first year died after they had left their natal territories and joined sub-adult flocks in the coastal dunes and silage fields. The different survival rates of choughs reared in different areas of Islay therefore occurred after the young choughs had reached the flocks.

The extremely low first-year survival rate in 2007-2008 was associated with a marked reduction in the time that parents spent with newly fledged offspring compared to 2006-2007. First-year survival rates of fledglings also vary with characteristics of their parents, including age and lifespan.

These data suggest that the conditions that a chough experiences on its natal territory can have long-term effects on its subsequent survival. Sub-adult survival might therefore be linked with parental state and conditions at the nest site, as well as conditions experienced in the sub adult flocks. Maintaining appropriate habitat diversity and hence foraging conditions on breeding territories is also likely to be important in producing choughs that survive well.

To increase or maintain sub-adult survival rates, appropriate conditions should therefore be maintained on breeding territories as well as the foraging areas subsequently used by flocks of sub-adult choughs.

Evidence: Sections 5, 6 and 12

12) Comparison with other chough populations

Overall, chough breeding success and survival was broadly similar on Islay, Colonsay and the Isle of Man. However on average, choughs reared slightly fewer fledglings per breeding attempt on Islay than on Colonsay or the Isle of Man. Choughs on Islay were more likely to survive through their first year but less likely to survive through their second year and as adults than choughs on Colonsay or the Isle of Man. The relatively low population growth rate of choughs on Islay compared to Colonsay and the Isle of Man therefore reflected lower average breeding success, second-year survival and adult survival rather than lower average first-year survival. Breeding success was correlated across all three populations, suggesting that annual breeding success may be to some degree influenced by large-scale factors (such as climate).

This suggests that Islay's choughs may be slightly under-performing with respect to breeding success and adult survival, which again suggests a need for more appropriate management of the habitats around breeding territories.

Evidence: Section 13

13) Monitoring management efficacy

This study has demonstrated, through the combination of analysis of long-term data and targeted fieldwork, that there is considerable potential to build a conservation management strategy for choughs in Scotland based on a rigorous base of scientific evidence.

This approach should be maintained and improved through continued monitoring of breeding success and survival. In addition, there is a need to ensure that the effectiveness of any management actions applied at an individual farm level are also assessed, not solely by monitoring compliance with the management prescriptions but also monitoring the impact of the actions on habitat diversity and quality and whether the intended conservation benefits are indeed being achieved.

Evidence: Summarised in sections 2 and 3

2. Summary of agreed recommendations and actions

These recommendations were discussed and agreed at the project meeting on Islay, April 2009. In attendance were Rae McKenzie, Angus Laing and Stuart Shaw (Scottish Natural Heritage), Andy Schofield, Jeremy Wilson and Sarah Davies (Royal Society for the Protection of Birds), Eric, Sue and Caitlin Bignal (Scottish Chough Study Group), Davy McCracken (Scottish Agricultural College), Pat Monaghan (University of Glasgow), Maria Bogdanova (Centre for Ecology & Hydrology) and Jane Reid (University of Aberdeen). Jack Fleming and James How (RSPB) attended for the presentation and discussion of scientific results but not the discussion of recommendations.

1) Due to the low rates of sub-adult survival during 2007-2009, the number of choughs breeding on Islay is expected to decrease over the next 2-3 years. The status of choughs as being of high conservation concern should therefore be maintained and the policies of SNH and RSPB should reflect this status. Population size and demography of adults and sub-adults should continue to be monitored closely.

2) Successful conservation of choughs on Islay is likely to rely on appropriate management of the main flock foraging areas (i.e. the main dune systems and, where relevant, early-cut silage fields) and individual breeding territories. Data from the chough research project allows the key habitats and locations to be identified. The management aim should be to generate a diversity of habitats that support high plant and invertebrate diversity, thereby increasing the range of foraging options that will be available to choughs at any point in time. There should not be a focus on the provision of any single food resource by over-emphasis on any single management approach.

3) Over the coming years, the main mechanism available for funding appropriate conservation management for chough will be through developing appropriate farm-level applications to the Scottish Rural Development Programme (SRDP). There are currently no chough-specific options available within the SRDP. Introduction of any such new options to the SRDP will require approval from the European Commission. In the medium to long term, consideration needs to be given not only to what such chough-specific options would consist of but also what the potential impacts of any such chough-specific measures would be (since it would not be desirable to produce simple, uniform habitats for choughs rather than the complex diversity that seems to be required).

4) In the short-term, the conservation importance of choughs in Argyll should be emphasised by a combination of raising the profile of choughs more within the SRDP application interface and also directing prospective applicants from Islay and Colonsay to those existing options that are of direct relevance and potentially beneficial for choughs. These existing chough-relevant measures also need to be drawn to the attention of agricultural and conservation consultants who draw up SRDP applications for Islay and Colonsay.

Action: Scottish Natural Heritage to ensure that the profile of chough is raised within the accompanying SRDP documentation and that existing chough-relevant measures are adequately signposted on the SRDP website and related documentation.

Action: Eric Bignal to draft a brief for consultants that explains how available SRDP options can be used to benefit choughs in the context of chough areas.

5) A number of key farms on Islay have already had their SRDP plans approved, and the conditions of the contracts mean that further changes cannot be made for 5 years. Additional farms that cover key dune areas for which SRDP plans have not already been agreed should be encouraged to enter the scheme with a plan that is appropriate for choughs. In addition, any new plan should contain a clause which states that, if any management is found not to be having the intended effect, then that management can be changed during the course of the five years of the scheme.

Action: Scottish Natural Heritage and Eric Bignal to approach relevant farmers and encourage participation in SRDP.

6) Unlike the negotiation of an individual management agreement, to be successful any application to the SRDP needs to score sufficient points to be judged favourably against any other applications competing for the limited SRDP funds. It is unclear as yet what impact this will have the willingness of farmers to submit SRDP plans or on the content of those plans that are submitted (given that other options within the SRDP may be more financially rewarding when compared to options of relevance to chough). There is need within Argyll at least to ensure that the SRDP assessment process takes chough needs fully into consideration and does not (especially outwith designated sites but where choughs occur) approve plans that are more financially beneficial to the applicants but which contain SRDP options that may be less beneficial or detrimental for choughs.

Action: Scottish Natural Heritage to feedback to the SRDP review to encourage appropriate future development of options and associated payments.

7) There is presently no provision for biological (as opposed to basic compliance) monitoring within the SRDP scheme. To ensure that impacts can be measured and appropriate changes can be made to future SRDP plans, the biological outcomes of existing plans need to be monitored (using appropriate biological metrics) over and above the basic compliance monitoring that individual farms may or may not receive. In the immediate term, monitoring of outcomes should be prioritised on the major dune systems that are essential for sub-adult choughs.

Action: Scottish Chough Forum to write a letter to relevant ministers raising concerns over the provision for biological monitoring and assessment within the SRDP. This letter will raise specific issues resulting from research on choughs on Islay, but will discuss these issues in the context of more general aspects of the need for monitoring. Pat Monaghan to draft the letter and circulate to other Forum members for input.

8) Adequate nest and roost sites need to be maintained and/or provided in key areas of Islay, as informed by the long-term Scottish Chough Study Group data. The easiest means of resourcing nest and roost site maintenance and provision on those farms which fall within designated areas is to include this work within the SRDP plans (since the SRDP allows for funding capital works on designated sites). Hence relevant farms within designated areas that have yet to enter the scheme should be encouraged to include nest site repair/provision in their plans, while relevant farms who have already submitted plans should be encouraged to submit an additional proposal concerning nest site repair/provision. An additional mechanism needs to be put in place to either justify the funding through the SRDP of nest site repair/provision on farms outwith designated sites or identify appropriate funding sources that could be utilised in such instances. Although farms in the Ballygrant valley and other parts of Islay such as the south-east Rhinns lie outwith the designated area, the long-term importance of these sites (as emphasised in the findings from this study) for the maintenance of the chough population could potentially be used as a justification for the use of SRDP to fund nest site repair/provision on those farms

Action: Scottish Chough Study Group to provide SNH (in the form of a confidential annex to this report) with an updated list of existing nest sites that are in serious disrepair, and of historically productive or suitable habitat areas where no nest sites are currently available. These sites should then be prioritised for nest site maintenance or provision.

Action: Scottish Natural Heritage to encourage SRDP plans for the priority list of nest sites.

Action: Scottish Natural Heritage to liaise with Jane Reid and the Scottish Chough Study Group to ensure that long-term chough data are used to support SRDP applications where appropriate.

9) Further data regarding chough use of silage fields in relation to the timing of closing off of fields, fertilisation use and cutting need to be collected and/or analysed. This could include analysis of existing RSPB data on cutting dates in relation to chough survival patterns and use of dune systems. Meanwhile, SRDP plans for farms in key chough areas should include a diversity of grassland management options.

Action: Jane Reid and James How to liaise over access to and analysis of existing data. RSPB and Eric Bignal to consider options for early cutting specific fields at Ardnave and Smaull and monitoring chough usage.

10) The RSPB's plans to restore habitat for choughs on the Oa should be encouraged and supported.

Action: Scottish Chough Forum to write a letter to relevant RSPB managers to emphasise the potential importance of habitat restoration on the Oa for choughs, particularly given the context of the decrease in Islay's population that is predicted for coming years. Pat Monaghan to draft the letter and circulate to other Forum members for input, and to liaise with the RSPB members of the Scottish Chough Forum to decide the most appropriate recipients.

11) On Islay, survival rates of sub-adult choughs have recently been low. Consequently, the number of breeding pairs is likely to decrease in coming years. Choughs have retracted from areas of Islay that have recently been highly productive and/or held several breeding pairs. To attempt to address this situation, land management regimes that differ in emphasis from recent practices should be adopted under the SRDP. Given this situation, it is imperative that baseline monitoring of chough demography (breeding success and sub-adult and adult survival) should continue on Islay. These data will help provide a sound scientific basis on which the efficacy of SRDP plans can be evaluated. The most efficient and effective way to achieve this monitoring will be to support the Scottish Chough Study Group in the continuation of the long-term demographic study on Islay. Support may come through direct financial assistance, in-kind support through provision of accommodation and vehicles, and through assistance with data collection (for example through continued RSPB monitoring of choughs on the Oa).

Actions: Scottish Chough Study Group, Pat Monaghan and Jane Reid to draft and cost a proposal for ongoing monitoring and scientific work and to look for potential funders. Some support package urgently needs to be put in place to support monitoring through 2009-2010. Scottish Natural Heritage and Glasgow Natural History Society will be approached for this in the first instance.

Depending on the funding stream, future applications may require support from bodies such as RSPB and SNH and should be put together in a co-ordinated way that draws on expertise, opportunities and priorities afforded by the Scottish Chough Forum.

12) Opportunities to compare the demography and ecology of Islay's choughs with that of other chough populations should be exploited to the full. This may include demographic comparisons of the sort already run with the Manx Chough Project and Colonsay, but also closer comparison of foraging diversity and machair management.

Action: Jane Reid to continue to talk to Welsh chough researchers about the possibilities for demographic comparisons. Jeremy Wilson to look into unpublished RSPB data from Wales.

13) The final report should be made available electronically, together with supporting documentation and photographs. The executive summary and recommendations should also be available separately, and should be disseminated to farmers and land-owners in chough areas of Islay.

14) Consideration should be given to holding a meeting providing feedback from the project and emphasising (to farmers within and outwith designated sites and their associated consultants) the chough-relevant aspects of the SRDP and how best to develop appropriate plans

15) The Scottish Chough Forum should continue to meet bi-annually to ensure continuing and efficient exchange of information between scientists, conservationists and policy makers.

3. Introduction & Overview

3a) Overall aims

This report summarises the results of a Knowledge Transfer Research Project that was undertaken by Dr Jane Reid (University of Aberdeen), Professor Pat Monaghan, (University of Glasgow), Dr Eric and Mrs Sue Bignal (Scottish Chough Study Group) and Dr Davy McCracken (Scottish Agricultural College). Dr Maria Bogdanova was employed as the post-doctoral research assistant on the project. The work was carried out in partnership with Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB). Funding was provided by a Knowledge Transfer Grant from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC, PIs P. Monaghan & J. Reid), with matching partnership funding and in-kind support from SNH and RSPB.

The overall aims of the project were to develop the scientific understanding of the population ecology of choughs on Islay, and to use this understanding to inform the development of appropriate conservation strategies and policies. The project built on existing long-term research on Islay's choughs. It involved further analysis of long-term data, plus two years of intensive fieldwork designed to answer specific questions. The work aimed primarily to understand the ecology of choughs in their sub-adult years (ie, from fledging to breeding age). Survival from fledging to breeding is a key factor in causing population change. However, relatively little was previously known about the behaviour and ecology of choughs during this time.

This report provides an overview of the results of the scientific study and focuses on presenting the scientific evidence on which resulting recommendations for chough conservation management on Islay are based. The report is written with the intention of presenting the results of the data analyses, and the rationale underlying those analyses, in a way that is accessible to non-specialists. Further details of analyses and technicalities are provided in published, peer-reviewed papers and/or are available on request.

The report provides information that will be of use to policy makers and conservation practitioners, and also highlights topics where further research is required before informed management decisions can be taken.

3b) Project rationale

One major and critical challenge facing conservation managers is the need to devise effective conservation management policies that are based on sound scientific evidence, but also feasible and compatible with agriculture and other competing demands on land-use, and with management aimed at conserving other species or habitats. Such evidence-based policies must be based on a sound scientific understanding of the factors that cause changes in the numbers of the focal species, and of the links between these factors and management policy. A key step in the development of any management policy should therefore be to identify which demographic rates (survival and reproduction) are primarily responsible for causing population change, and which environmental factors are in turn responsible for causing variation in these demographic rates.

The long-term demographic and ecological data that are required to achieve this understanding are rarely available for natural populations, especially those of immediate conservation concern. Furthermore, to provide adequate context and highlight the full range of appropriate management approaches, analyses should ideally be replicated across multiple populations of the focal species inhabiting different environments. Such parallel demographic and ecological studies would make it possible to assess the general applicability of findings, and to develop conservation policies that can be coordinated across populations and are locally effective. However, due to the substantial data required, the need for rigorous and detailed analysis, and difficulties in effectively communicating and implementing scientific knowledge, such large-scale application of rigorous ecological science to biodiversity conservation is rarely achieved with respect to any species of conservation concern.

Scottish and European pastoral agricultural ecosystems are of high biodiversity value, and reflect particular socioeconomic structures that are themselves increasingly rare. These ecosystems support numerous rare and threatened animal and plant species of high national and international conservation priority. The red-billed chough *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax* is one such species, which serves as a high-profile figurehead for the conservation of pastoral agricultural ecosystems.

The overall aims of this project were to use existing long-term data, and further targeted fieldwork, to quantify and understand demographic variation in choughs in Scotland in relation to habitat and land-use, and to use this understanding to make recommendations for the successful conservation of choughs in Scotland.

3c) Specific aims and achievements

The project had five main aims as follows:

Aim 1: To establish an ongoing dialogue between scientists studying environmental factors driving population change and those responsible for managing the environment to conserve protected species.

This has been achieved through the Scottish Chough Forum, and through discussion meetings and informal contact between scientists, conservation managers and practitioners, farmers and landowners throughout the project.

Aim 2: To identify apparent drivers of temporal and spatial variation in chough demography on Islay, focusing particularly on demographic rates that are known to constrain population growth rate, and their links with environmental factors that could feasibly be managed.

This has been achieved as mainly reported in section 6 below.

Aim 3: To investigate whether patterns and correlates of demographic variation observed on Islay also apply to chough populations on Colonsay and the Isle of Man.

This has been achieved to the degree reported in section 13.

Aim 4: To use the resulting understanding of chough population ecology to identify management approaches, times and locations that are likely to be most effective with respect to chough conservation, and to consider how chough demographic rates might respond to management action.

This has been achieved as reported in sections 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12.

Aim 5: To facilitate wider transfer of knowledge among conservationists, population ecologists and land managers across Europe by hosting an international chough conference, and to communicate our work to local people by giving presentations on Islay and elsewhere.

This has been achieved as reported in section 14.

4. Background to choughs and chough research

4a) Range and status

Red-billed choughs occupy a restricted global range. In Britain, they are almost entirely confined to the Welsh coast, the Isle of Man and the Scottish Inner Hebridean islands of Islay and Colonsay, plus a very small, recently established population in Cornwall. Islay and Colonsay therefore constitute the northernmost point of the species' range and hold virtually the entire Scottish population.

Choughs are amber-listed in the UK, and protected under British and European law (Schedule 1, Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981; Annex 1, EU Birds Directive). They are consequently the focus of conservation action across Britain and Europe. Under the Birds Directive, the UK government has a responsibility to conserve choughs and the habitat on which they depend. SNH, as the relevant government agency, is responsible for implementing this work with respect to choughs in Scotland. In addition to their official protected status, choughs are of considerable cultural importance in Scotland and elsewhere. Furthermore, ecotourism, for which choughs provide one focus, is a valuable component of Islay's and Colonsay's economies. Successful conservation of choughs is therefore a priority for SNH and RSPB. However, since choughs utilise large land areas, chough conservation is expensive in terms of time and resources. Furthermore, choughs utilise sensitive coastal and grassland habitats, where they coexist with other protected species characteristic of pastoral agricultural systems, including corncrakes and marsh fritillaries. There is therefore a clear need to focus efficient management action on strategic aspects of chough ecology, whilst minimising the risk of negative effects on other species.

4b) Basic chough ecology

Choughs feed primarily on soil invertebrates. A primary dependence on *tipulid* larvae and *Aphodius* beetles has been reported on Islay, but a range of other prey is taken here and elsewhere. These include mining bee (*Andreninae*) larvae and kelp fly (*Coelopa*). It is well established that choughs require relatively short grazed grassland, which allows access to soil invertebrates.


Choughs usually start to breed when they are two or three years old. They breed once each year between March and June, and nest in cavities in caves and buildings. Breeding pairs defend large (>>1km²) feeding territories around their nest site. Fledged juveniles initially

remain on their natal territories, and are then usually escorted by their parents from their natal territory to flocking areas within a few weeks of fledging. There they form large communal foraging and roosting flocks where they remain until they disperse to breed (typically aged two or three).

4c) Chough research on Islay

The chough population on Islay has been the subject of an ongoing study, run primarily by the Scottish Chough Study Group (SCSG), since 1981. During the study, Islay’s breeding chough population was censused fully in 1982, 1986, 1992, 1998 and 2002. The census data suggest that the population peaked at *ca* 78 breeding pairs in 1986 and declined to only *ca* 45 pairs by 1998 before increasing to *ca* 56 pairs by 2002 (figure 4.1). The Islay population therefore remains relatively small, and shows a very different trajectory from the number of breeding pairs on Colonsay and the Isle of Man, both of which increased substantially during the same period (figure 4.1).

Figure 4.1. The number of confirmed breeding pairs of choughs on Islay (○), Colonsay (●) and the Isle of Man (◆) as estimated from full population censuses. Symbols indicate the census counts for each island. The thin solid and dashed lines show smoothed trends based on the census data for the Isle of Man and Colonsay respectively. The bold line shows the estimated number of breeding pairs of choughs on Islay calculated from data on survival and breeding success. The left y-axis refers to Islay and the Isle of Man and the right y-axis refers to Colonsay.



The census data also show that breeding choughs are fairly widely distributed on Islay, inhabiting the Rhinns, the east coast of Loch Gruinart and the north-east coast, the Ballygrant Valley, Laggan and the Oa Peninsula.

The Scottish Chough Study Group have recorded breeding success (the number of chicks fledged per breeding attempt) at a sample of Islay nest sites in each year since 1981, and have colour-ringed the fledglings with unique combinations of coloured plastic leg-rings. These colour-ringed individuals have then been resighted across Islay throughout subsequent years. In 2001, all available data on Islay's choughs was collated into a database (facilitated by funding from SNH and RSPB). The database has subsequently been maintained and updated, and now holds >17000 resightings of >1300 colour-ringed individuals, and >900 records of individual breeding events. These long-term data are immensely valuable, and have proved to be of sufficient quality to enable detailed demographic analysis of Islay's chough population. These are exactly the sorts of data and analyses that are required to provide a rigorous scientific basis to conservation strategy.

5. Demography of Islay's choughs

5a) Introduction

One key component of science-based conservation planning is to identify which demographic rates cause variation in the population growth rate of the particular species or population that is the focus of conservation concern. For example, if we can work out whether it is variation in the survival of adult choughs, or in the survival of sub-adult choughs or in breeding success that is primarily responsible for causing a population to change in size, then we can target management appropriately. This approach should help achieve desired changes or stability in population size in the most efficient way.

Identifying which demographic rates (e.g. survival of different age classes or breeding success) cause a population to increase or decrease in size requires two key pieces of information.

First, we need to calculate the 'sensitivity' of the population growth rate to variation in any demographic rate. The sensitivity measures the degree to which population growth rate would be expected to change in response to a small change in any particular demographic rate. For example, a high sensitivity would indicate that a small change in a particular demographic rate (such as survival) will cause a large change in population growth rate. Management policy might then aim to increase demographic rates to which population growth rate is highly sensitive, since a small increase in performance would be expected to translate into a relatively large increase in population growth rate. In practise, population ecologists usually measure a quantity called 'elasticity' rather than 'sensitivity'. The elasticity is a scaled version of the sensitivity that enables us to compare the relative influence of different demographic rates on population growth rate.

Second, we need to calculate the extent to which each demographic rate has actually varied during the time for which the population of interest has been studied. This information tells us the extent to which observed changes in population size can be attributed to variation in each demographic rate. Small changes in a demographic rate to which population growth rate is very sensitive will in principle cause a big change in population size. However, if that demographic rate has not actually varied it cannot have caused observed changes in population size.

While management policy might ideally aim to increase the demographic rates to which population growth rate is most sensitive, this may not be possible if those rates are already high and vary little, and/or if an increase is difficult to achieve for practical reasons. Conservation policy might therefore most effectively focus on demographic rates to which population growth rate is reasonably sensitive, but which also show natural variation and which can realistically be managed.

Rigorous calculation of the sensitivity and variability of each demographic rate requires detailed long-term data on breeding success and survival from the population of interest. Such data are rarely available for populations that are the focus of conservation concern. However, as a result of the long-term Scottish Chough Study Group project, there are sufficient data to carry out these analyses for the Islay chough population. The following sections provide an overview of these analyses and the major results. Further details are presented in Reid et al. 2003a, 2003b, 2004 & 2006 (Appendices 1-5).


5b) Breeding success

The number of nests monitored per year averaged 31.1 ± 1.3 (standard error), and varied from 18 in 1982 to 48 in 2002. The monitored nest sites are broadly representative of the areas used by choughs on Islay, except that there are few data from the Oa (because most nest sites there are inaccessible). In general, nests in buildings were more likely to be monitored than nests in natural sites such as caves.

Breeding success of Islay's choughs, measured as the mean number of chicks fledged per monitored breeding pair, has varied among years (figure 5.1). Mean breeding success averaged 1.96 ± 0.05 fledglings/pair across all years, and varied from 2.45 fledglings/pair in 1984 and 1998 to 0.97 fledglings/pair in 2003. Mean breeding success has not decreased or increased significantly during the study, and has not differed between choughs nesting in buildings compared to those nesting in caves.

Choughs typically first bred aged two or three. Males first bred slightly younger than females on average, at mean ages of 2.5 ± 0.1 and 2.9 ± 0.1 respectively (based on data up to the year 2000, Reid et al. 2003a).

Figure 5.1. Mean breeding success (the number of chicks fledged per breeding pair of choughs monitored) on Islay from 1981 to 2008. Means for each year are presented \pm 1 standard error.



5c) Survival

The resighting data from colour-ringed choughs allow annual survival probabilities to be calculated for three age classes: first-year (the probability that a chough survives from fledging to age one), second year (the probability that a chough survives from age one to age two) and adult (the probability that a chough survives through any subsequent year). Survival probabilities were estimated using 'capture-mark-recapture' models, which allow survival probabilities to be estimated while taking into account variation in the probability that a colour-ringed chough that is still alive will actually be observed in any year (this will vary due to variation in the amount of observer effort). This resighting probability can be estimated from the number of individuals that were not seen in one particular year but were then seen alive in a subsequent year. Survival probabilities were measured from the spring of one year to spring of the next. Currently we can estimate survival for the years 1983-84 through to 2007-08 (resighting probability in 2008 was assumed to be the same as in 2007, since observer effort was similar in these two years of intensive fieldwork). On average that 77% (\pm 3%) of colour-ringed choughs that are alive are seen in any one year. This resighting rate has varied from 43% in 1996 to 95% in 2007 (during the current project fieldwork). Since choughs that were colour-ringed on Islay are very rarely observed elsewhere it is reasonable to assume that individuals that disappear from Islay have died.

The estimated annual survival probabilities for the three age classes of choughs varied among years (figure 5.2).

On average, 40% ($\pm 2\%$) of fledgling choughs survived to reach age one, ranging from 64% in 1984 to only 9% in 2007 and 2008. First-year survival did not increase or decrease significantly during 1983-2006 (Reid et al. 2008). However, with the addition of data from 2007-2009, there is some evidence of a long-term downward trend (figure 5.2).


On average, 69% ($\pm 3\%$) of one year-old choughs survived to reach age two. Very high second-year survival rates (100%) were estimated for 1995, 2001, 2003 and 2004 (figure 5.2). These high estimates reflect small sample sizes for cohorts where few fledglings were colour-ringed and/or first-year survival was low, and probably do not accurately represent true second year survival across the population. Excluding these four years, estimated second-year survival rates ranged from 94% in 2000 to 48% in 1992. There is some evidence that second-year survival rates may have increased during 1984-2008 (figure 5.2).

On average, 80% ($\pm 1\%$) of adult choughs (choughs aged two or older) that were alive in one year were still alive the next year. The adult survival rate varied from 90% in 1985 to 72% in 2004, and has not increased or decreased across years (figure 5.2).


Figure 5.2. Estimated survival probabilities for choughs on Islay.

Figures show estimated (a) first-year survival (fledging to age one, filled symbols and solid line) and second-year survival (age one to age two, open symbols dotted line) and (b) adult survival (all choughs aged two or older). Year markers denote the start of each survival period: hence '1984' refers to survival from spring 1984 to spring 1985. Estimates are shown ± 1 standard error.

(a)




(b)



5d) Population growth rate

To check the accuracy of our estimates of breeding success and survival for each year, we used these estimates to calculate the number of breeding pairs of choughs we would expect to see on Islay in each year and compared this expected number with the actual number of pairs counted during population censuses. The changes in the number of breeding pairs of choughs that we estimated from the breeding success and survival data matched up with available census data extremely well (figure 5.3). This suggests that the Scottish Chough Study Group data provide reliable information on key demographic rates (ie breeding success and survival).

Figure 5.3. The number of breeding pairs of choughs found to be breeding on Islay in complete censuses (1982, 1986, 1992, 1998 and 2002) and the virtually complete census undertaken in 2007 as part of this project (filled symbols). The open symbols and line show the number of breeding pairs as estimated from demographic models (updated from Reid et al. 2004).



The average population growth rate of choughs on Islay estimated across all data from 1982-2008 is approximately $\lambda = 0.99$. A value of $\lambda = 1.0$ would indicate a stable population (no change in numbers from one year to the next) and a value of $\lambda < 1.0$ would indicate that a population will get smaller from one year to the next. The demographic data therefore match up with the observation that, on average across 1982-2008, the Islay chough population has remained stable or declined very slightly.


However, using data on breeding success and survival collected during the last five years (2003-2008), the average estimated population growth rate has dropped slightly, to approximately $\lambda = 0.97$. Therefore, were the recent breeding success and survival rates to continue, the number of breeding pairs of choughs on Islay would be expected to decrease by approximately 3% per year over coming years, representing a relatively rapid population decline.

5e) Sensitivity and population growth rate

The population growth rate for choughs on Islay was most sensitive to variation in adult survival, followed by breeding success, second-year survival and first-year survival (figure 5.4). All else being equal, a small change in adult survival would cause a bigger change in population size than a small change in any of the other three demographic rates. However, first-year and second-year survival varied more among years than adult survival or breeding success (figures 5.2 and 5.4). Overall, therefore, variation in first-year, second-year and adult survival all contributed approximately equally to observed variation in chough population growth rate, while variation in breeding success contributed less (figure 5.4). We can therefore conclude that among-year variation in survival caused most of variation in the number of choughs on Islay during 1983-2008. Furthermore, variation in survival through the first two years of life (ie, from fledging to age two) accounted for over half the total observed variation in population size.

The adult survival rate of choughs on Islay is already fairly high and varies relatively little among years (figures 5.2 and 5.4). It may therefore be difficult to achieve an increase in adult survival rate through management policy. Our data therefore suggest that sub-adult survival (ie, survival from fledging to age two) is one demographic rate on which management could effectively focus in order to increase the number of choughs on Islay. Full details of these analyses are presented in Reid et al. 2004 (provided as Appendix 3).

Figure 5.4. Three measures of the extent to which variation in breeding success, first-year survival, second-year survival and adult survival could cause variation in the number of choughs on Islay. The estimated elasticity, a measure of the sensitivity of population growth rate to each demographic rate, is shown by the white bars and the left axis. The degree to which each demographic rate was observed to vary among years is shown by the shaded bars and the left axis. The percentage contribution of each demographic rate to observed variation in population growth rate is shown by the black bars on the right axis.



5f) Variation in sub-adult survival in different areas of Islay

Survival rates have also varied markedly among choughs fledged from nest sites in different parts of Islay. While the average rate of first-year survival was approximately 40% (see section 5c), this varied from 0% to 73% across choughs fledged from different individual nest sites (figure 5.5).

Nest sites that produced fledglings that were more or less likely to survive were not randomly distributed across Islay. In particular, from 1983 to 2004, choughs fledged from nest sites in the Ballygrant Valley and surrounding Loch Gruinart and parts of the East Rhinns were more likely to survive to age one than choughs fledged in the North, West, South and Central Rhinns (figure 5.6). These same choughs also tended to be more likely to survive as second-years and as adults (table 5.1). Specific areas of Islay therefore consistently produced choughs that survived relatively well or relatively poorly. Full details of these analyses are presented in Reid et al. 2006 & 2008 (Appendices 4-5).

Figure 5.5. Variation in first-year survival probabilities estimated for choughs fledged from different nest sites. Each datapoint refers to a different nest site where a total of at least six fledglings have been colour-ringed across at least three different years during the course of the SCSG study. The estimated first-year survival probability of choughs fledged from each of 53 different nest sites is shown ± 1 standard error.





Table 5.1. Summary of estimated survival probabilities for choughs fledged in Ballygrant, Gruinart and East Rhinns (region BGE) as opposed to Central, North, South Rhinns and West Rhinns (region CNSW, see figure 5.6 for areas).

	Choughs fledged in region BGE	Choughs fledged in region CNSW
First-year survival probability	0.61±0.04	0.38±0.05
Second-year survival probability	0.69±0.04	0.65±0.05
Adult survival probability	0.84±0.02	0.77±0.02

Figure 5.6. Summary of areas that produced choughs that were on average more and less likely to survive through their first and subsequent years of life. Choughs fledged in the shaded areas (named ‘Ballygrant’, ‘Gruinart’ and ‘East Rhinns’) had higher survival probabilities than choughs fledged in the unshaded areas (named ‘North’, ‘West Rhinns’, ‘South Rhinns’ and ‘Central’). There were insufficient data to estimate survival probabilities of choughs fledged on the Oa.



5g) Summary of key conclusions and implications: demography of Islay’s choughs

1. The number of choughs breeding on Islay choughs is expected to be strongly influenced (highly sensitive) by variation in adult survival. However, over the period for which we have data (1985-2008), adult survival has generally been high and has varied relatively little among years. Variation in adult survival has therefore contributed only moderately to observed variation in the number of choughs on Islay.

It is critical to continue to monitor adult survival and ensure that it remains high. A reduction in adult survival (for example due to reduced food abundance or availability or increased predation or disease) would be likely to cause a major and rapid decline in the number of choughs on Islay.

2. The survival of choughs from fledging to age two ('sub-adult survival') varied markedly during 1983-2008, and accounted for over half the observed variation in the number of choughs on Islay.

It is therefore important to determine what causes sub-adult survival to vary among years, and consider whether management practices could be used to stabilise and if possible increase sub-adult survival rates and/or reduce the frequency of years in which sub-adult survival is low.

3. Breeding success varied relatively little among years during 1981-2008, and consequently contributed relatively little to variation in the number of choughs on Islay. However, since population growth rate was moderately sensitive to variation in breeding success, breeding success should continue to be monitored to check that success does not decline.

4. Sub-adult and adult survival varied consistently among choughs fledged from different individual nest sites and from different areas of Islay.

This implies that certain areas of Islay make disproportionately large contributions to the chough population. It is therefore important to determine what causes this variation among areas and consider how management could be used to maintain areas that produce choughs that survive well and/or improve areas that currently produce choughs that survive poorly.

5. In summary, analysis of long-term demographic data from Islay's choughs suggest that managing sub-adult survival deserves specific consideration as one effective means of increasing or maintaining the number of choughs on Islay.

6. Long-term variation in sub-adult survival

6a) Introduction

Section 5 shows that one key process that causes the number of choughs on Islay to vary among years is variation in sub-adult survival (ie, the probability that a chough will survive from fledging to age two). Section 5 also emphasises that, if sub-adult survival is to be managed, we need to understand what causes survival rates to vary among years and among choughs fledged from different nest sites and areas. We therefore used the long-term Scottish Chough Study Group data to try to identify key ecological variables that might cause this variation.

6b) Methods

We tested whether variation in first-year survival, among choughs fledged in different years and from different nest sites, was correlated with a specific set of plausible ecological variables that were selected by reference to existing knowledge of chough ecology (table 6.1). We could only test for correlations between survival and the ecological variables for which reliable, quantitative data were available. Some potentially important variables could therefore not be included in our analyses. These include variation in the abundance of predators and competitors of choughs (such as peregrines and ravens), precise agricultural management practices (such as avermectin and fertiliser use), and rates of disease and disturbance.

It is important to remember that observed correlations between survival and any ecological variable might be caused by a common effect of some third factor rather than necessarily reflecting a direct causal effect of the main ecological variable on survival. However, it is still useful to examine how survival has varied in relation to certain key variables since this allows us to identify variables with potentially important effects.

Full details of the analyses and the sources of ecological and environmental data are described in Reid *et al.* 2008 (Appendix 3).

Table 6.1. Tested hypotheses linking ecological and environmental variables to variation in first-year survival in choughs.

Category	Variable	Hypothesised relationship with survival	Rationale
Weather	Summer temperature (year before fledging)	Positive	Greater invertebrate productivity in warmer summers (thus increased larvae abundance the following spring).
Weather	Breeding season temperature (natal year)	Positive	Greater invertebrate activity in warm weather.
Weather	Winter temperature (post-fledging)	Positive	Greater invertebrate activity in warmer weather, and frozen ground impedes foraging.
Weather	Breeding season rainfall (natal year and previous year)	Negative	Reduced invertebrate activity and productivity in wet springs.
Weather	Autumn rainfall (post-fledging)	Positive	Dry ground impedes foraging and drought can kill soil invertebrates.
Weather	Winter rainfall (post-fledging)	Negative	Flooding kills soil invertebrates and may impede foraging.
Prey	Winter <i>tipulid</i> larvae abundance (pre- and post-fledging)	Positive	Greater prey availability for parents and fledglings in high <i>tipulid</i> years.
Land-use	Areas of grazed and mowed (silage) grassland	Positive	Choughs preferentially forage on short grazed grassland and silage aftermath.
Land-use	Total number of cattle, sheep & livestock units, and stocking density	Positive or quadratic	Grazing creates short grassland and dung supports invertebrate prey. Possible detrimental effects of overgrazing?
Local habitat	Area of improved grassland within specific radius of nest	Positive	Choughs preferentially forage on short grazed grassland and silage aftermath ('improved' grassland).
Local habitat	Area of plantation, peatland & heather within specific radius of nest	Negative	Foraging choughs avoid these habitats.
Chough density	Chough population size and local breeding density	Negative or quadratic	Competition, but possibility of local facilitation through flocking & kin clustering?
Physical	Distance from exposed coastline	Positive	Exposure and salinity may reduce invertebrate abundance.
Physical	Distance to sub-adult flock	Negative	Increased mortality during dispersal to sub-adult flock.
Physical	Territory aspect	Negative (from south-east)	<i>Tipulid</i> larvae more abundant in south and east facing pastures.


6c) Among-year variation in first-year survival

Among-year variation in first-year survival (the probability that a fledgling chough would survive to age one) was correlated with environmental conditions prevailing both in the season a chough fledged (rainfall during the breeding season) and previously (summer temperature and breeding season rainfall the previous year and the abundance of *tipulid*

larvae during the winter before fledging). Fledgling choughs were more likely to survive to age one when they were reared in years following relatively warm summers, dry breeding seasons, and winters when *tipulid* larvae were abundant. Together, these variables explained about 80% of the total among-year variation in chough survival (figure 6.1). In contrast, we found no correlation between chough survival and temporal variation in measures of agriculture on Islay, such as total number of cows or total stocking density.

These results suggest that among-year variation in first-year survival in Islay’s choughs may primarily reflect variation in weather and prey abundance. It is also notable that the best statistical model explaining variation in first-year survival included effects of previous rather than current environmental conditions (ie, weather and *tipulid* abundance in the seasons before a chough fledged). This suggests that there are lagged effects of environmental conditions on how likely choughs are to survive. These lagged effects may reflect lasting effects of environmental variation on future prey populations, or on the state of adult choughs and hence their ability to raise fledglings that are able to survive.


Figure 6.1. Among-year variation in first-year survival estimated from SCSG data (open symbols, dashed line) and the best statistical model explaining this variation (solid line). The best model included effects of breeding season rainfall in an individual chough’s natal year and the previous year, summer temperature during the year before fledging and *tipulid* larvae abundance during the winter before fledging.



6d) Among-nest site variation in first-year survival

Variation in the first-year survival of choughs fledged from different nest sites was correlated with the area of unsuitable foraging habitat for choughs (specifically plantation, woodland, peat bog, gorse, heather moorland, wetland etc) surrounding the chough's natal nest site, local density of breeding choughs, and the distance from the nest site to the Atlantic coast and the nearest subadult flocking area (ie, Ardnave or Kilchoman). Fledgling choughs were more likely to survive to age one when they fledged from nest sites that had less unsuitable foraging habitat within 300m of the nest, that had other chough pairs closer by, that were relatively close to primary roost sites at Ardnave and Kilchoman, and that were further from exposed Atlantic coast. However, even taken together, these variables explained only about 50% of the total variation in first-year survival among the different nest sites (figure 6.2). Approximately 50% of among-nest site variation in survival therefore remained unexplained by these variables.

Figure 6.2. Estimated among-nest site variation in first-year survival for choughs fledged from 53 well-studied nest sites (open symbols, dashed line), and the best statistical model explaining this among-site variation (solid line). The model included effects of distance to exposed coast, area of unsuitable habitat surrounding a nest site, distance to the nearest subadult flock and local density of breeding choughs.



6e) Interactions between year and nest site

Finally, we tested for interactions between ecological variables that might explain among-year or among-nest site variation in survival. An ‘interaction’ means that the effect of one variable depends on the value of another variable. For example, an interaction between rainfall and habitat might mean that the effect of rainfall on chough survival depends on the habitat type; rainfall might have a negative effect in some habitats but no effect, or a positive effect in others. Such interactions are potentially crucial in a management context, since they may hold the key to maximising first-year survival given possible large effects of weather and *tipulid* abundance, which are difficult to manage directly. For example, if there were an interaction between weather and habitat, then it may be possible to minimise effects of bad weather by managing the habitat correctly.

We found statistical evidence of four interactive effects on first-year survival:

- i) ***Tipulid* abundance and area of unsuitable foraging habitat within 300m of a chough’s natal nest site.** The positive correlation between *tipulid* abundance and first-year survival was stronger for choughs fledged from nest sites that were surrounded by more unsuitable foraging habitat (ie, plantation, woodland, peat bog, gorse, heather moorland, wetland etc). This suggests that choughs fledged from nest sites surrounded by less suitable habitat may be more vulnerable in years when food is scarce.
- ii) ***Tipulid* abundance and local density of breeding choughs around a chough’s natal nest site.** The positive correlation between *tipulid* abundance and first-year survival was stronger for choughs fledged from nest sites with other pairs of choughs breeding closer by. This suggests that there may be increased competition for food in areas where there are more breeding pairs of choughs.
- iii) **Rainfall and area of unsuitable foraging habitat within 300m of a chough’s natal nest site.** The negative correlation between breeding season rainfall and first-year survival was stronger for choughs fledged from nest sites that were surrounded by more unsuitable foraging habitat. This suggests that effects of bad weather on fledgling survival may be less severe on choughs fledged on territories surrounded by better foraging habitat.
- iv) ***Tipulid* abundance and breeding season rainfall the year before fledging.** The positive correlation between *tipulid* abundance and first-year survival was stronger in years following dry springs than in years following wet springs. The biological interpretation of this effect is not clear, but could suggest that the

importance of *tipulid* larvae to choughs depends on the abundance or availability of other food resources that are also influenced by weather conditions.

In summary, these interactions suggest that the relationships between weather, *tipulid* abundance and first-year survival of choughs are not fixed, but depend on other variables such as the habitat surrounding a particular nest site and the local density of choughs. This in turn suggests that detrimental effects of bad weather and/or low *tipulid* abundance on chough survival could perhaps be ameliorated or minimized through appropriate management of the density of nest sites and/or the habitat surrounding these nest sites.

6f) Predicting first-year survival in future years

In section 6c, we developed a statistical model that explains among-year variation in first-year survival as a function of weather and *tipulid* larvae abundance across the period 1983-2005 (figure 6.1). The best model included effects of summer temperature, breeding season rainfall and *tipulid* abundance during the seasons before a chough fledged, and rainfall during a chough's natal season. Since this model is based primarily on weather and *tipulid* abundance occurring before a chough fledges, it would in theory be possible to use the model to predict the first-year survival probability of any particular cohort of choughs. A prediction could be produced in June of the cohort's natal year (ie, at fledging). Furthermore, by dropping the 'natal breeding season rainfall' term from the statistical model, a prediction could be produced by March in a cohort's natal year (ie, before the eggs are laid). In this way, it would in theory be possible to provide an early warning of an impending poor year for first-year survival. This might help identify years in which specific management practices for choughs could be particularly beneficial.

To test how accurate such predictions might be, we used our statistical model (originally built using data from 1983-2005) to predict first-year survival probabilities for the 2006, 2007 and 2008 cohorts. We then compared these predictions with the survival probabilities that were actually observed for those cohorts. These predictions were based on a slightly different weather dataset from that used in the original model, because the original dataset has not yet been updated to cover 2006-2008. The first-year survival probabilities that the model predicted for the 2006 and 2008 cohorts are reasonably close to those that were actually observed (table 6.2). However, the prediction for the 2007 cohort is inaccurate – a high survival probability is predicted whereas the observed survival probability was in fact

the lowest on record (table 6.2). Unfortunately, at this point, it is difficult to judge whether the current statistical model will generally be a poor predicative tool, or whether survival of the 2007 cohort was dramatically reduced by some unusual circumstance that will rarely be repeated.

Table 6.2. First-year survival probabilities for the 2006, 2007 and 2008 cohorts of choughs that were (a) estimated from colour-ring resighting data and (b) predicted from the statistical model presented in section 6c.

Year	(a) Observed first-year survival probability	(b) Predicted first-year survival probability
2006	0.45	0.32
2007	0.09	0.54
2008	0.10	0.27

6g) Summary of key conclusions and implications: variation in first-year survival

1. On Islay, sub-adult survival has varied among choughs fledged in different years and among choughs reared in different nest sites (see section 5). This variation in sub-adult survival is a major cause of variation population growth rate, and hence in the number of choughs on Islay (see section 5). The next important stage of analysis is therefore to investigate what factors cause this variation in first-year survival and consider whether these factors could be managed so as to increase survival.

2. Among-year variation in first-year survival was correlated with weather and *tipulid* abundance; fledgling choughs were more likely to survive to age one in years following warm summers, dry breeding seasons and winters with high *tipulid* abundance.

Although correlation cannot prove causation, among-year variation in first-year survival may therefore be caused primarily by variation in weather and invertebrate abundance. These factors are difficult to manage directly.

3. Among-nest site variation in survival was correlated with local habitat, local chough density and distance from coast and subadult flocking areas. However these variables explained only 50% of observed variation in the survival of choughs reared in different nest sites.

More detailed investigation of the possible causes of variation in survival of choughs fledged from different nest sites was therefore required over and above that possible from existing long-term data. This detailed investigation was undertaken as part of the current project (see following sections).

4. There was evidence of interactions between temporal and spatial effects, for example between weather, the density of breeding choughs and the habitat surrounding nest sites. This suggests that any overarching effects of weather and *tipulid* abundance could potentially be ameliorated through appropriate management of nest site density and the habitat surrounding these nest sites.

5. There is a possibility that our statistical model would let us predict in advance the years in which sub-adult survival will be low. This would raise the possibility that additional management measures could be implemented in a targeted way to mitigate effects of particularly poor environmental conditions. However, further years of data are required to test this possibility.

7. Foraging and roosting sites used by sub-adult choughs on Islay

7a) Introduction

Section 5 shows that variation in sub-adult survival is one key factor that causes the number of choughs on Islay to vary among years. However, relatively little is known about the ecological requirements of sub-adult choughs, in terms of foraging and roosting sites, and hence how the availability of different habitats and management types might influence sub-adult survival. Previous work on choughs on Islay and elsewhere has focused primarily on adult breeders. The requirements of sub-adults may not be the same as those shown to be important for adults. Therefore, to provide information on which sites and habitats support sub-adult choughs, and may therefore be key to their survival, we first aimed to identify the precise foraging and roosting sites used by sub-adult choughs on Islay during two full years (April 2006 – March 2008).

7b) Methods

To identify which sites on Islay are used by sub-adult choughs, foraging and roosting flocks were located every month from April 2006 to March 2008. Intensive observations were carried out for approximately one week in the middle of each month. Additional observations were made throughout April-August 2006 and April-July 2007. Whenever a flock was located the time and place, the identities of any colour-ringed choughs and the number of unringed choughs was recorded.

Within each intensive monthly observation period, we aimed to locate all colour-ringed choughs aged two years or less. Our success rate was very high; on average 93% of individuals that were alive were recorded in each month from April 2006 – April 2008 (range 75% – 100%, see figure 12.1). Less than 10% of colour-ringed sub-adult choughs therefore went unobserved in a typical month. These individuals may have been present at a site that was visited but have been missed due to difficult viewing conditions (particularly in some winter months). Some individuals may have been missed because they were foraging or roosting at additional, unknown sites. However, given the high overall resighting rate of over 90%, any use of additional sites must have been limited.

In interpreting the data, we assume that the foraging and roosting sites used by colour-ringed sub-adult choughs are representative of those used by unringed sub-adult choughs. Indeed, despite substantial observation effort across all areas of Islay that are suitable for choughs,

flocks of unringed choughs were not encountered away from the areas where colour-ringed individuals were present. Furthermore, farmers and landowners, who were aware of the study and very helpful in alerting us to the presence of choughs on their land, very rarely noted flocks of which we were not already aware. The only exception is that we have few data on the presence or location of sub-adult choughs that remained on the Oa. The following analyses describe the foraging and roosting sites used by flocks of sub-adult choughs, and do not include observations of newly fledged choughs that were still on their natal territories.

Due to the presence of a high proportion of colour-ringed individuals within most flocks, we were certain that these flocks primarily comprised sub-adult choughs rather than breeding adults. However, colour-rings also revealed that some breeding adults did join the flocks, particularly in mid-summer and mid-winter. Since unringed breeders could not be distinguished from unringed sub-adults, we did not attempt to exclude breeders from flock counts. Strictly, the data therefore describe the foraging and roosting locations of sub-adult and adult choughs away from their breeding territories.

Data were primarily collected by Maria Bogdanova, Jane Reid and Eric and Sue Bignal. Data for the Oa were collected by RSPB, and are less complete in terms of monthly coverage. Some additional observations from other areas were also provided by RSPB staff.

7c) Foraging sites

Most flocks of choughs were located foraging in coastal dune systems, primarily on the Rhinns of Islay. The key sites were Ardnave, Kilchoman, Kilchiaran, Lossit, Killinallan, Sanaig, Smaull, Laggan and the Oa. These sites, and the relative use of each, are illustrated in figure 7.1. The estimated use of the Oa is approximate because data are not available for every month.

Most choughs were found foraging at Ardnave and Kilchoman (on average 48% and 28% of the total number of choughs observed each month, figure 7.1). Other dune systems, namely Lossit, Killinallan, Sanaigmore, Smaull and Laggan were used to a lesser extent (figure 7.1). Overall, during April 2006 – March 2008, flocks of choughs were therefore estimated to spend *ca.* 90% of their foraging time in or around dune systems, and there are no major dune systems on Islay where choughs were never observed. The remaining 10% of foraging time was almost entirely spent on newly cut silage fields, particularly at Kilchiaran and Lossit,

during the summer (figure 7.1). The total observation effort at each site is summarised in table 7.1. More visits were made to Ardnave and Kilchoman because extra visits were often required to ensure that all colour-ringed individuals present there were accurately identified.

The main foraging sites were used to a similar degree during both study years (ie 2006-2007 and 2007-2008). Slightly greater proportions of foraging choughs were observed at Ardnave during 2007-2008 than during 2006-2007, and at Kilchoman and Killinallan during 2006-2007 than during 2007-2008 (table 7.1).

Table 7.1. The number of visits made to each site used by flocks of choughs during April 2006 – March 2007 and April 2007 – March 2008, and the average percentage of sub-adult choughs that were observed in each month that were at each site in each year. Comprehensive monthly count data are not available from the Oa.


Site	Total number of visits		Average % of sub-adult choughs observed	
	2006-2007	2007-2008	2006-2007	2007-2008
Ardnave	57	59	41	57
Kilchoman	57	42	26	22
Kilchiaran	25	18	7	4
Lossit	19	15	7	8
Killinallan	16	13	10	2
Sanaig	19	17	1	0
Smaull	28	20	5	6
Laggan	12	20	1	1
The Oa	NA	NA	~2	NA

Figure 7.1. Location of sites at which flocks of choughs were observed foraging during April 2006 – March 2008, and the average percentage of all choughs observed in each month that were at each site.



Although the percentage of choughs that was observed to forage at some sites was low (ie, <10%), it is important to note that some of these sites were frequently used by small numbers of choughs. At least some of these individuals could be identified by their colour-rings and were known to be sub-adults rather than local breeding pairs. Figure 7.2 shows the percentage of visits to each site on which any choughs were observed. Choughs were encountered on almost all visits to Ardnave and Kilchoman. Although Killinallan, Kilchiaran and Lossit held a relatively small proportion of foraging choughs on average (figure 7.1, table 7.1), some choughs were encountered on 40-75% of visits to these sites (figure 7.2). These sites are likely to be of considerable value to these individuals.

Figure 7.2. The percentage of visits to sites used by foraging chough flocks on which some choughs were encountered. Data are not available for the Oa. Filled and open bars denote data from April 2006 – March 2007 and April 2007 – March 2008 respectively.




A greater percentage of the choughs that were foraging in flocks may have been at Ardnave and Kilchoman simply because Ardnave and Kilchoman are larger than other foraging sites, or because foraging choughs selected Ardnave and Kilchoman for reasons over and above simply their area. To distinguish these possibilities, we tested whether the average percentage of choughs observed at each site varied in proportion to their respective areas. The area of each site was estimated by summing the areas of relevant compartments and fields, which were provided by farmers or estimated from digitised 1: 10,000 maps of Islay.

This analysis suggested that Ardnave and Kilchoman on average held a greater percentage of flocking choughs than expected simply given their areas (figure 7.3). The other sites that were used to some extent by flocks of choughs held a smaller percentage of these choughs than expected from their area (figure 7.3). Sub-adult choughs therefore used Ardnave and Kilchoman more than expected simply given the area covered by these sites. However, across the eight main sites where flocks of sub-adult choughs were


observed to forage, the average percentage individuals that was observed at each site was positively correlated with the sites area ($r_s = 0.78$, $P=0.02$). Larger sites therefore tended to hold more foraging choughs.

Figure 7.3. Use of the main foraging sites used by flocking choughs relative to the area of the site. The filled bars show the average percentage of all flocking choughs recorded at each site during April 2006-April 2008. The open bars show the relative areas of each site, and hence the percentage of flocking choughs that might have been expected to be present based solely on area.



Flocks of sub-adult choughs used the different foraging sites to different degrees in different seasons. Ardnave and Kilchoman were used year-round (figure 7.4). Other sites were used only in some seasons and/or by fewer individuals in some seasons (figure 7.4). For example, the Kilchiaran silage fields were used primarily in summer while the Killinallan dunes were used only in winter. It is important to note that the summer peaks in use of Kilchoman and Kilchiaran are linked. At this time, large flocks of choughs used the silage aftermath at Kilchiaran during the day and then spent some time foraging at Kilchoman prior to roosting. The choughs that foraged at Killinallan during winter 2006-2007 roosted at Ardnave together with choughs that foraged at Ardnave. These seasonal patterns were broadly similar across both study years. The number of choughs recorded per month was generally higher during 2006-2007 than during 2007-08 due to higher survival rates during 2006-2007.

Figure 7.4. The mean number of flocking choughs observed at each foraging site in each month during April 2006 – March 2007 (filled symbols and solid line) and April 2007 – March 2008 (open symbols and dashed line). Means are presented ± 1 standard error for sites that were visited more than once during a particular month.



7d) Roost sites

We located four roosts that were used by sub-adult choughs during April 2006 – April 2008 (figure 7.5). Most sub-adults roosted at Ardnave or Kilchoman throughout both years of the study, although a greater percentage of individuals roosted at Ardnave during 2007-2008 (table 7.2). Dun nan Nighean, the third roost on the Rhinns, was used for a relatively short period during 2006-2007, and by relatively few individuals. No flocks of sub-adult choughs (other than recent fledglings that were still on their natal territories) were observed to roost at Lossit or in the Ballygrant valley despite evening watches in both places. Quantitative data describing precise numbers or locations of sub-adult chough roosts on the Oa are not available. It is notable that the two main roosts are situated within the two main foraging sites used by sub-adult choughs.

The Ardnave roost was in a large barn that was constructed in 2002. The Kilchoman, Dun nan Nighean and Oa roosts were in natural cliff sites. Barns that could potentially be used for sub-adult roosts are available at Lossit and in the Ballygrant Valley.

Table 7.2. Comparison of the estimated percentage of sub-adult choughs that roosted at four main sites on Islay during April 2006 - March 2007 and April 2007 – March 2008. No data are available from the Oa in 2007-2008.


Roost	Year	
	April 2006 – March 2007	April 2007 – March 2008
Ardnave	51	71
Kilchoman	39	29
Dun nan Nighean	9	0
Dun Athad (the Oa)	1	NA
Lossit	0	0
Ballygrant Valley	0	0

Figure 7.5. Sites at which sub-adult choughs were observed to roost during April 2006 – April 2008 and the average percentage of individuals that were observed in each month that roosted at each site.



Choughs used the different roost sites to different degrees in different seasons. Use of Kilchoman peaked in summer, broadly coinciding with the movement of recent fledglings (and their parents) from their natal territories to the sub-adult flock, and with the extensive use of silage aftermath at Kilchiaran at this time of year (figure 7.6).

Figure 7.6. The mean number of flocking choughs observed to use each roost site in each month during April 2006 – March 2007 (filled symbols and solid line) and April 2007 – March 2008 (open symbols and dashed line). Means are presented ± 1 standard error for roosts that were visited more than once during a particular month.



7e) Historical context

Our current data refer solely to the period April 2006 – April 2008. It is therefore important to consider whether the areas the sub-adult choughs used for foraging and roosting during these two years are representative of the areas that have been used in the recent past, or could be used in the future. Detailed data similar to those collected during the current project do not exist for most previous years. However, some data are available for 1986-1988 (Elizabeth Still, unpublished PhD thesis, University of Glasgow) and for 2001 (observations made by Eric Bignal and Jane Reid). These data indicate that Ardnave and Kilchoman have been consistently important foraging and roosting areas for sub-adult choughs, and that the Dun nan Nighean roost has also been used in the past. Sub-adult choughs have therefore been broadly consistent in their use of different areas of Islay.

However, the relative use of Ardnave and Kilchoman has changed over time. During 1986-1988, most sub-adult choughs roosted at Kilchoman (range 40 – 120 individuals) with smaller roosts at Killinallan (near Ardnave) and Dun nan Nighean (4 – 40 individuals at both sites). Comparison with data from 1986 suggests that the degree to which choughs use the west Rhinns and the Oa has decreased since 1986, while the degree to which choughs use Ardnave has increased (figure 7.7).

Similarly, in January and February 2001, most colour-ringed sub-adult choughs were foraging and roosting at Kilchoman, with relatively few at Ardnave (table 7.3). In July 2001, most colour-ringed sub-adult choughs were foraging at Kilchoman and on newly cut silage fields at Lossit (table 7.3). The 2001 data were collected over a few days of fieldwork that was not designed to systematically identify sub-adult foraging sites. The large number of choughs observed foraging at Lossit in July 2001 was associated with silage cutting. These data show that the relative use of key foraging and roosting sites by choughs can vary over time, and that sites that were used relatively little by choughs during the current study may be of major importance in the slightly longer term.


It is not clear why the apparent shift in relative distribution from Kilchoman to Ardnave has taken place. The increased use of Ardnave approximately coincided with the construction of a new barn (in 2002) in which choughs now roost. Alternatively, the change in relative distribution may have been driven by a deterioration in foraging or roosting conditions at Kilchoman, or an improvement in foraging conditions at Ardnave. It is also notable that the move away from Kilchoman has broadly coincided with an average decrease in first-year

survival probability (see figure 5.2). However it is not clear whether there is a causal link between these changes.

Table 7.3. Approximate percentages of sub-adult choughs that were observed at the main foraging sites on Islay during January-February and July-August 2001. The Oa and Laggan were not visited during these periods. Compare with table 7.1.

Site	Jan & Feb 2001	July 2001
Ardnave	6	2
Kilchoman	83	65
Kilchiaran	1	4
Lossit	10	28
Killinallan	0	0
Sanaig	0	0
Smaull	0	1
Laggan	NA	NA
The Oa	NA	NA

Figure 7.7. Summary of locations where choughs were observed foraging during 1986 (reproduced from Curtis et al. 1989 – in Bignal & Curtis 1989). Open squares, small dots, medium dots and filled squares respectively indicate 1x1km squares where choughs were encountered on zero, 1-3, 4-10 and >10 occasions during 1986. Data comprise all observations of choughs rather than being restricted to sub-adults.



7f) Summary of key conclusions and implications: foraging and roosting sites of sub-adult choughs

1. During April 2006 – April 2008, most chough flocks were repeatedly located foraging at coastal dune sites. Ardnave and Kilchoman dunes held the majority of individuals during most of the year, and held a greater proportion of individuals than expected given the area these sites cover.

The maintenance of coastal dune ecosystems in a suitable state is therefore likely to be very important in maintaining or increasing the survival of sub-adult choughs on Islay.

2. The relative degree of use of the different foraging sites was broadly similar during both years of the study. However, some choughs were frequently encountered even at sites that held a small proportion of all individuals.

Conservation management should therefore consider the landscape scale, and ensure that a variety of suitable habitats and sites are available for foraging choughs.

3. The use of different foraging sites varied among seasons. Newly cut silage fields in Kilchiaran were particularly heavily used during June-August, while winter foraging was almost entirely restricted to dune systems.

These data suggest an importance of newly cut silage fields during the immediate post-fledging period (June-August).

4. Most sub-adult choughs roosted at Ardnave (barn) and Kilchoman (cliff) during the study period. Kilchoman has been an important feeding and roosting area for many years. Ardnave has been more heavily used more recently, possible due to the construction of a suitable barn for roosting.

Suitable natural or artificial roost sites need to be maintained at Ardnave and Kilchoman. Provision of roost sites at other foraging sites should be considered.

5. The relative use of the different foraging and roosting sites appears to have changed over recent years. In particular, the use of Ardnave has increased while the use of Kilchoman has decreased. It is not clear whether these changes reflect deterioration of foraging or roosting conditions at Kilchoman and/or improved foraging or roosting conditions at Ardnave, or some other factor.

These patterns reiterate the need for conservation management to consider the landscape scale, and ensure that a variety of suitable habitats and sites are available for foraging choughs.

8. Precise foraging locations used by sub-adult choughs

8a) Introduction

Section 7 identifies the major foraging sites (ie, geographical areas) used by sub-adult choughs on Islay, and identifies Ardnave/Killinallan and Kilchoman/Kilchiaran as being of major importance during April 2006 – March 2008. We next focused on these sites in more detail, and quantified exactly which locations within these sites sub-adult choughs used for foraging. Specifically, we quantified whether choughs repeatedly foraged at specific locations within Ardnave/Killinallan or Kilchoman/Kilchiaran, or whether they used the whole of each site relatively uniformly. We quantified whether locations that were used for foraging differed between seasons or between years. If choughs did repeatedly use specific locations, this might allow us to determine exactly what foraging choughs favour in terms of small-scale variation in habitat, and how management might be used to maintain or create the favoured conditions.

8b) Methods

During each visit to each foraging site used by sub-adult choughs (e.g. Ardnave or Kilchoman, see section 7), at least 60 minutes were spent searching for chough flocks. Different routes were followed on different visits to minimise any bias in the exact location where flocks would be encountered. In practice, flocks that were present at any site were generally located within 30 minutes, and were then followed for minimum of 120 minutes. During this time the flock's movements were monitored, and the locations where choughs settled to forage were recorded using a GPS receiver. GPS position accuracy was always less than 10m, and generally around 6m. However, since larger flocks often foraged over a reasonably dispersed area and moved gradually over the ground, GPS-marked foraging locations should be considered to be accurate at a scale of approximately 10-50m.

We used these data to visualise exactly where choughs foraged within Ardnave/Killinallan and Kilchoman/Kilchiaran. The intensity with which choughs used each foraging location within these sites was estimated as 'bird-occasions'. This was calculated as the average number of individuals in a flock observed foraging at a specific location, multiplied by the number of times a flock was observed foraging there across all visits to that site. Intensity was divided into high, medium and low categories for the purposes of visualisation, equating to the upper, middle and lower thirds of observed variation. Similar analyses were not done

for the other foraging sites (namely Smaull, Sanaig, Lossit, Laggan and Oa) because chough flocks were rarely observed foraging in these places (see section 7).

We also distinguished locations where chough flocks were observed to forage in the spring and summer (March – August) and in the autumn and winter (September – February). These seasons were defined by reference to the chough's biological year, given that choughs on Islay start to breed in March.

8c) Results

Figures 8.1 and 8.2 show the foraging locations used by flocks of sub-adult choughs at Ardnave/Killinallan and Kilchoman/Kilchiaran during April 2006 – February 2007 and March 2007 – February 2008. These figures highlight several important points.


1. Foraging choughs clearly favoured specific locations within both Ardnave/Killinallan and Kilchoman/Kilchiaran. Statistical analysis showed that the locations where choughs were observed to forage were clustered together much more than expected by chance. This implies that choughs foraging at both Ardnave/Killinallan and Kilchoman/Kilchiaran repeatedly visited certain locations within those sites, and did not simply range randomly over the land within a reasonable radius of the Ardnave and Kilchoman roosts, or even range randomly over the main dune areas.
2. Despite this clustering, foraging choughs use a variety of different locations and habitats within both Ardnave/Killinallan and Kilchoman/Kilchiaran. These include grazed dune grasslands (at Ardnave and Kilchoman), largely ungrazed dune grasslands (at Killinallan), mowed grasslands (silage fields at Kilchiaran), beaches with kelp (west coast of Ardnave) and heaths (cliff areas at Kilchoman).
3. Some foraging locations were used throughout the year, such as the east coast of Ardnave and the main dune system at Kilchoman. However the use of other foraging locations differed between summer and winter. For example, choughs foraged more along the west coast of Ardnave and at Killinallan in winter than in summer, and in the silage fields at Kilchiaran in summer but not winter. The use of the Kilchiaran silage fields was closely associated with silage cutting in June-August (see section 7).

4. Although the distribution of foraging locations was broadly similar during both years of the study, some differences are evident. For example, sub-adult choughs often foraged at Killinallan during winter 2006-2007 but rarely did so during winter 2007-2008. They used the heath/cliff areas south of the Kilchoman roost during summer 2006 but rarely during summer 2007. This may reflect variation in the food resources that were available at different locations in different years. For example, foraging sub-adult choughs at Killinallan during winter 2006-2007 were primarily exploiting mining bee larvae, which may have been less abundant during winter 2007-2008.

5. Many locations that are clearly within range of the Ardnave and Kilchoman roosts were never seen to be used by foraging sub-adult choughs. These include the central area of Ardnave, the moorland areas south-west of Ardnave and south-east of Kilchoman and, perhaps surprisingly, the grazed fields at Rockside and along the west shore of Loch Gruinart. Since chough flocks were not observed continuously throughout the year, we cannot exclude the possibility that these locations were occasionally used by foraging sub-adult choughs. However, given the relatively large number of occasions on which chough flocks were searched for and located (see section 7), use of these locations must have been rare. It is important to note, however, that some areas that were apparently not used by foraging sub-adult choughs, such as the Rockside and Loch Gruinart fields, were used for foraging by breeding pairs of adult choughs that nested in these areas.

Figure 8.1. Foraging locations used by sub-adult choughs at Ardnave/Killinallan during (a) April 2006 – February 2007 and (b) March 2007 – February 2008. Locations used during the spring and summer (March – August) are shown in yellow. Locations used during the autumn and winter (September – February) are shown in blue. Locations where choughs foraged with high, medium and low intensity are indicated with large, medium and small symbols respectively. The red star indicates the Ardnave roost site. The circle defines a foraging area centred on the roost site with radius equalling the longest distance foraging chough flocks were observed away from the roost (ca 2km).

8.1a) Ardnave & Killinallan, April 2006 – February 2007



8.1b) Ardnave & Killinallan, March 2007 – February 2008





Figure 8.2. Foraging locations used by sub-adult choughs at Kilchoman/Kilchiaran during (a) April 2006 – February 2007 and (b) March 2007 – February 2008. Locations used during the spring and summer (March – August) are shown in yellow. Locations used during the autumn and winter (September – February) are shown in blue. Locations where choughs foraged with high, medium and low intensity are indicated with large, medium and small symbols respectively. The red star indicates the Kilchoman roost site. The circle defines a foraging area centred on the roost site with radius equalling the longest distance foraging chough flocks were observed away from the roost.

8.2.a) Kilchoman & Kilchiaran, April 2006 – February 2007



8.2b) Kilchoman & Kilchiaran, March 2007 – February 2008



8d) Summary of key conclusions and implications: precise foraging locations used by sub-adult choughs

1. During April 2006 – February 2008, sub-adult choughs foraged repeatedly at specific locations within Ardnave/Killinallan and Kilchoman/Kilchiaran, and were never observed to forage at other locations within these same sites. The locations where chough flocks foraged covered a variety of habitats, and differed to some extent between seasons and years.

This suggests a need to maintain a mosaic of habitat types within the key foraging sites of Ardnave/Killinallan and Kilchoman/Kilchiaran in order to ensure that some food resource for choughs is always available.

2. A selection of photographs of chough foraging locations is provided as an electronic appendix.

9. Habitat characteristics of foraging locations

9a) Introduction

Our study showed that flocks of sub-adult choughs forage primarily in short grazed and mowed grassland (section 7), as also shown by previous studies on the foraging behaviour of adult choughs. Flocks of sub-adult choughs also tended to forage at specific locations within the main grazed dune grasslands at Ardnave and Kilchoman (section 8). This pattern of utilisation could reflect several different factors. Variation in prey abundance or availability, which will itself depend partly on habitat type, may be a particularly important determinant of where sub-adult choughs forage. Other factors such as predation risk, disturbance and travel time from roosts could also play a role.

However, it is not clear what precise habitats sub-adult choughs use for foraging within grazed grasslands. Understanding the finer detail of foraging habitat selection might allow management of grazed grasslands to be optimised for choughs. We therefore investigated whether habitat characteristics differed between locations where flocks of sub-adult choughs were and were not observed to forage within the Ardnave and Kilchoman grassland sites.

9b) Methods

During April 2006 – February 2008, comprehensive habitat descriptions were recorded at a large sample of foraging locations that were used by sub-adult choughs (see section 8). At each foraging location, a suite of ten habitat characteristics were measured within a 2x2m quadrat. These characteristics are listed and defined in table 9.1, and were chosen because they might be expected to influence the abundance or availability of the soil and dung-associated invertebrates that comprise the main prey of choughs. For comparison, the same ten habitat characteristics were also measured at a sample of locations where sub-adult choughs were never observed to forage ('non-foraging locations'). These non-foraging locations were situated within the same broad habitat type (eg dune grassland) and within the same radius of major roost sites as the foraging locations. Habitat measurements made at foraging and non-foraging locations were approximately balanced in time. We then tested whether habitat characteristics differed between locations where chough flocks were and were not observed to forage within Ardnave and/or Kilchoman, and whether patterns were consistent across the two years of the study (April 2006-March 2007 and April 2007-

February 2008). For these analyses, seasons were defined as follows: spring = March-May; summer = June-August; autumn = September-November; winter = December-February.

Table 9.1. List of habitat characteristics that were measured at foraging and non-foraging locations within each site used by foraging flocks of sub-adult choughs.

Characteristic	Description
Aspect	Major aspect of the location, attributed as N, NE, E, SE, S, SW, W, NW or flat.
Slope	Major slope of the ground, estimated to the nearest 5°.
Elevation	Recorded using a GPS receiver, to the nearest 5m.
Vegetation type	Proportion of the vegetation within the quadrat that comprised grass, moss or broad-leaved plants, estimated to the nearest 5%.
Vegetation density	Average density of vegetation within the quadrat, categorised as dense, medium, sparse or none (ie bare ground).
Sward height (mean and variance)	Sward height measured at ten evenly scattered points across the vegetated part of the quadrat. The mean and variance of each set of ten measurements was calculated.
Soil hardness	Soil hardness measured at three points within each quadrat using a pocket penetrometer (kg/cm ²).
Cow pats	Number of old, medium and fresh cowpats present within each quadrat.
Sheep droppings	Number of old and medium/fresh sheep droppings present within each quadrat.
Carcass presence	Presence or absence of an animal carcass (usually sheep).
Soil type	Soil type underlying each site, extracted from a soil map provided by the Macaulay Institute.

9c) Results

Table 9.2 summarises the habitat characteristics of foraging and non-foraging locations at Ardnave and Kilchoman during April 2006-March 2007 and April 2007-February 2008 (see section 8 for maps showing these sites).

Table 9.2 is at the end of the document because it's on a landscape page format.

Table 9.3 summarises the statistical comparison of habitat characteristics between foraging and non-foraging locations at Ardnave and Kilchoman during April 2006-March 2007 and April 2007-February 2008. Grass cover, vegetation density, number of cowpats and soil hardness all differed significantly between foraging and non-foraging locations at Ardnave and/or Kilchoman in one year or the other.

Table 9.3. Summary of statistics comparing habitat characteristics at foraging and non-foraging locations at Ardnave and Kilchoman during April 2006-March 2007 and April 2007-February 2008. The sample size is the total number of locations at which habitat characteristics were measured at each site in each year. For each habitat characteristic, the estimate of the difference between foraging and non-foraging locations is presented ± 1 standard error. Characteristics that differed significantly between foraging and non-foraging locations are highlighted in bold.

Habitat characteristic	Ardnave April 2006- March 2007	Ardnave April 2007- February 2008	Kilchoman April 2006- March 2007	Kilchoman April 2007- February 2008
Sample size	93	68	44	28
Season	-0.27 \pm 0.22	-0.19 \pm 0.27	-0.29 \pm 0.34	-0.20 \pm 0.44
Elevation	-0.04 \pm 0.03	-0.06 \pm 0.04	0.01 \pm 0.02	-0.01 \pm 0.02
Slope	-0.03 \pm 0.03	0.001 \pm 0.03	0.02 \pm 0.04	-0.03 \pm 0.03
Aspect	-0.04 \pm 0.25	-0.60 \pm 0.31	-0.33 \pm 0.34	-0.38 \pm 0.41
Grass cover	-0.03\pm0.01	-0.03\pm0.01	-0.16\pm0.06	-0.06 \pm 0.04
Moss cover	-0.13 \pm 0.10	-0.11 \pm 0.11	0.07 \pm 0.18	0.03 \pm 0.14
Other (broad-leaved) plants cover	-0.01 \pm 0.01	-0.002 \pm 0.01	0.07 \pm 0.04	0.02 \pm 0.04
Sward height (average)	-0.02 \pm 0.01	-0.09\pm0.03	0.01 \pm 0.02	-0.04 \pm 0.04
Sward height (coefficient of variation)	-0.04 \pm 0.02	-0.04 \pm 0.02	-0.05 \pm 0.03	0.05 \pm 0.05
Vegetation density	-1.57\pm0.38	-1.42\pm0.43	-0.83 \pm 0.53	-1.05 \pm 0.73
Number of old cow pats	2.17\pm1.03	0.43 \pm 0.44	0.74 \pm 0.86	0.48 \pm 0.51
Number of medium cow pats	1.53\pm0.69	0.55 \pm 0.55	1.59 \pm 1.04	0.58 \pm 1.03
Number of fresh cow pats	-0.77 \pm 0.85			
Number of old sheep droppings	0.02 \pm 0.15	0.01 \pm 0.12	-0.19 \pm 0.17	0.09 \pm 0.09
Number of medium sheep droppings	0.12 \pm 0.09	0.01 \pm 0.05	0.10 \pm 0.10	-0.13 \pm 0.11
Soil hardness	-0.47\pm0.21	-0.99\pm0.37	0.44 \pm 0.39	0.10 \pm 0.61









We then combined these analyses of the two sites in the two years into a single analysis, and tested for overall differences in habitat characteristics between foraging and non-foraging locations. Table 9.4 lists the habitat characteristics that differed significantly between foraging and non-foraging locations across Ardnave and Kilchoman during April 2006 – February 2008. There was no evidence that differences in habitat between foraging and non-foraging locations differed between Ardnave and Kilchoman.

In 2006-2007, sward height was similar at foraging and non-foraging locations but was less variable at foraging locations. In contrast in 2007-2008, sward height was shorter at foraging locations than non-foraging locations while sward height variability did not differ between foraging and non-foraging locations. In both 2006-2007 and 2007-2008, there was sparser vegetation and less grass cover at foraging locations than at non-foraging locations. In 2006-2007, foraging locations contained old and medium cow dung more often than non-foraging locations, but there was no such difference in 2007-2008. In 2006-2007, foraging locations contained medium sheep droppings more often than non-foraging spots. However in 2007-2008, foraging locations tended to contain sheep droppings less often than non-foraging locations. These patterns are illustrated in figure 9.2.

Table 9.4. Summary of habitat characteristics that differed between foraging and non-foraging locations across Ardnave and Kilchoman during April 2006-February 2008. The sample size is the total number of locations at which habitat characteristics were measured. For each habitat characteristic, the estimate of the difference between foraging and non-foraging locations is presented ± 1 standard error. Habitat characteristics that are not listed did not differ significantly between foraging and non-foraging locations.

Habitat characteristic	Difference between foraging and non-foraging locations
Sample size	233
Year	-1.02 \pm 1.77
Grass cover	-0.04 \pm 0.01
Sward height (average)	0.11 \pm 0.05
Sward height (coefficient of variation)	-0.24 \pm 0.08
Vegetation density	-1.24 \pm 0.35
Number of old cow pats	5.11 \pm 1.88
Number of medium cow pats	2.40 \pm 0.50
Number of medium sheep droppings	0.11 \pm 0.04
Year * sward height (average)	-0.10 \pm 0.03
Year * sward height (coef. variation)	0.17 \pm 0.05
Year * number of old cow pats	-1.75 \pm 1.01

Figure 9.2. Habitat characteristics measured at foraging and non-foraging locations across Ardnave and Kilchoman during April 2006 – February 2008. (a) mean sward height (mean \pm 1SE), (b) sward height variability (mean \pm 1SE), (c) grass cover (median and upper and lower quartiles), (d) vegetation density (median and upper and lower quartiles), (e) percentage of locations with old cow dung, (f) percentage of locations with medium cow dung, (g) percentage of locations containing medium sheep droppings and (h) soil hardness (mean \pm 1SE). Histograms show means (used for variables that were normally distributed) and dotplots show medians (used for variables that were not normally distributed). Sample sizes for foraging and non-foraging locations were 104 and 33 for 2006-2007 and 65 and 31 for 2007-2008.



It is important to note, however, that our ability to detect any associations between chough foraging and habitat characteristics is constrained by the degree of variability in habitat characteristics that we were able to observe. For example, since Ardnave and Kilchoman are both heavily grazed (under existing management agreements for choughs) the mean sward height observed at these sites was only *ca* 4cm, and varied relatively little. Experimental variation in habitat within or across Ardnave and Kilchoman would ideally be required to definitively measure associations between chough foraging and habitat characteristics.

Choughs have previously been observed to forage extensively on or around animal carcasses at Ardnave, Kilchoman and other sites. However, during our field study, carcasses were present too infrequently to allow us to collect quantitative data on their use.

9d) Summary of key conclusions and implications: habitat characteristics in relation to foraging locations

1. During April 2006 – April 2008, habitat characteristics were measured at locations where flocks of sub-adult choughs were and were not observed to forage within the main dune sites at Ardnave and Kilchoman.

2. Overall, locations where chough flocks foraged tended to have shorter and more variable swards, less dense vegetation cover and more medium and old cowpats than locations where flocks were not observed to forage.

These results suggest that sub-adult choughs utilise locations within Ardnave and Kilchoman differentially with respect to fine-scale habitat variation.

3. Relationships between chough foraging and habitat characteristics varied among years. Specifically, chough foraging was associated with more dung in one year of the study but not the other, and more strongly associated with shorter and more variable swards in one year than the other.

These results suggest that the precise resources that sub-adult choughs use for foraging vary among years. Without detailed knowledge of which resource will be most important in any particular year, an effective management strategy may be to ensure that a range of habitat variation is always available.

4. Flocks of sub-adult choughs foraged at locations with less dense vegetation and less grass cover across both years of the study.

Vegetation density may therefore be a consistent cue for preferred foraging, perhaps reflecting increased access to soil and therefore soil invertebrates.

5. There was no evidence that foraging locations of sub-adult choughs were associated with other habitat characteristics, including the number of fresh cowpats that were present or physical features such as slope, aspect and elevation.

6. However, it is important to note that habitat characteristics were measured at foraging and non-foraging locations within heavily grazed dune grasslands, that moreover have been heavily grazed for several years. The magnitude of the difference in habitat difference between foraging and non-foraging locations was very small (eg, a 5mm difference in sward height).

An experimental study, in which a greater range of variation in habitat characteristics was experimentally created, would ideally be required to determine relationships between fine-scale habitat variation and the preferred foraging locations of sub-adult choughs.

10. Use of silage aftermath

10a) Introduction

Section 7c shows that although flocks of sub-adult chough foraged primarily in coastal dune systems, cut silage fields (silage aftermath) were also used by a substantial proportion of flocking individuals during the summer. We therefore quantified the pattern of availability and use of silage aftermath in more detail.

10b) Methods

During visits to chough nest sites and flocking areas during June – August 2006 and June – July 2007, we recorded the dates on which silage fields were cut and whether choughs were observed foraging in cut or uncut silage fields. A high proportion of silage fields within the main areas used by flocking and/or breeding choughs (Rhinns, Loch Gruinart and Ballygrant valley) were monitored in this way. However, since continuous summer fieldwork ended in July 2007, exact cutting dates for silage fields that were cut in August 2007 were not recorded. During August 2007 and September 2006 and 2007, observations were made during a single week in the middle of the month (approx 15th – 20th).

10c) Results

Silage fields in the areas used by foraging fledgling and sub-adult choughs were cut on a range of dates from mid-June to late August (figure 10.1). Of 103 fields surveyed in 2006, 36 were cut in June, 42 in July and 25 in August. In 2007, 45 fields were cut in June and 40 in July.

Recently fledged choughs were observed to forage in silage aftermath both while still with their parents in their natal areas (particularly in the Ballygrant valley and at Lossit), and after joining sub-adult flocks (particularly at Kilchiaran). During June-August 2006, a substantial proportion of observations of foraging fledglings and sub-adult flocks were in silage aftermath (table 10.1). Use of silage aftermath was not observed in mid-September (table 10.1). Sub-adult choughs were not observed to forage in uncut silage fields (at least during the weeks and days immediately prior to cutting). Cut silage fields were used by a substantial proportion of sub-adult choughs during June, July and early August.

Figure 10.1. Distribution of dates on which silage fields in areas of Islay utilised by sub-adult choughs were cut in (a) 2006 and (b) 2007.





Table 10.1. Use of silage aftermath by sub-adult choughs in 2006 and 2007. *Data are from the middle week of the month only.


	% of all observations of foraging sub-adult choughs that were in silage aftermath	% of the average number of foraging sub-adult choughs observed in each month that were in silage aftermath
2006		
June	13	17
July	44	51
August	15	47
September*	0	0
2007		
June	10	63
July	30	24
August*	0	0
September*	0	0

Fields where silage was cut in June were used to a greater extent by foraging chough fledglings or sub-adult flocks than fields where silage was cut in July and August. In 2006, 62% of all observations of choughs foraging in silage aftermath were in fields cut in June, 24% were in fields cut in July and 14% were in fields cut in August. Furthermore, 71%, 14% and 15% of the average number of newly fledged or flocking choughs observed foraging in silage aftermath habitat were observed in fields cut in June, July and August respectively. These apparent differences in use were not simply because a larger number of silage field were cut in June than in July and August (figure 10.1).

There is some evidence that sub-adult choughs used silage fields that were cut in June for longer than silage fields that were cut in July and August (figure 10.2). For example, fields cut in June at Kilchiaran were used for *ca* 6 weeks, while fields cut in July and August in Ballygrant, Lossit and Smaull were used for *ca* 10 days. There is a risk that the duration of use of late-cut silage fields could have been underestimated due to the end of intensive fieldwork in late August. However, in 2006, choughs using fields cut in July could have been observed up to 30-40 days later, and choughs using fields cut in July and August could also have been observed during the mid-September observation period (ie, up to *ca* 60 or 30 days later respectively). In 2006, most silage fields that were cut in June were cut at the end of June (figure 10.1). Their use by foraging choughs therefore occurred primarily in July and into August (table 10.1).

Shorter use of silage fields that were cut later in the summer could reflect a reduced abundance of invertebrate prey in these fields. It is likely that sub-adult choughs use silage aftermath because the cutting procedure disturbs surface-dwelling invertebrates making them easy to locate, and provides increased access to soil-dwelling invertebrates and larvae in newly uncovered and relatively moist soil. These benefits may be reduced when silage is cut late in the summer, by which time many invertebrates have completed their lifecycles and larvae and adults are no longer present in large numbers.

Figure 10.2. Relationship between the date on which a silage field was cut and the number of days for which it was observed to be used by foraging sub-adult choughs in 2006. Multiple datapoints coincide because adjacent fields were often cut on the same day and then used by choughs for the same period.



10d) Summary of key conclusions and implications: use of silage aftermath

1. During 2006 and 2007, silage fields in the areas of Islay used by newly fledged and sub-adult choughs were cut on a range of dates during June – August.

2. Fledgling and sub-adult choughs foraged in cut silage fields extensively during June – August. Silage aftermath may therefore be an important resource for foraging sub-adult choughs in summer (a time when sub-adult mortality can be high).

3. There is some evidence that silage fields cut during June were used more and for longer than fields cut during July and August, although further data are required to rigorously evaluate this possibility.

Managers may therefore need to consider whether it is feasible to maintain a range of silage cutting dates, including some fields that are cut in June and July, in areas of Islay that are used by choughs.

4. Any management of silage cutting for newly fledged and sub-adult choughs needs to be balanced against current management for Corncrakes (where farmers are subsidised to cut silage after August 1st). This management would tend to cause relatively synchronised late cutting in key Corncrake areas, which sometimes overlap with key Chough areas.

Given this potential overlap between species management programmes, further detailed study of chough use of silage aftermath in relation to the timing and spatial pattern of cutting may be advisable in order to inform the most effective management policy.

11. Relationships between chough foraging and farm management

11a) Introduction

One focus of conservation management for choughs on Islay may be to encourage appropriate management of agricultural land. To inform this approach, we collected data on existing land management from farmers, and investigated whether sub-adult choughs were more or less likely to forage in fields that had been managed under specific regimes.

11b) Methods

During July and August 2007, 9 farmers whose farms cover the main areas used by foraging flocks of sub-adult choughs (section 7) were interviewed using a questionnaire. These farms covered Ardnave, Kilchoman, Kilchiaran, Lossit, Killinallan, Smaull, Sanaig and Laggan.

The questionnaire had two parts, aiming to collect land management data at the farm level and the field level respectively. The questionnaire is provided as Appendix 7.

Data collected in the first part (farm level) described the total areas of different grassland management types (grazed grassland, mowed grassland and rough grazing), livestock numbers and general usage of fertilisers and avermectins on the farm.

Data collected in the second part (field level) described the physical and vegetation characteristics, intensity and timing of grazing by different herbivores, and fertiliser and herbicide application in fields within each farm. Data on soil type for the same fields were obtained from a 1:50 000 soil map of Islay produced by the Macaulay Institute.

Data were collected for 226 fields or compartments within the 9 farms. In dune areas that are not divided into fields, we used SNH's Goose Scheme compartments. As farmers had limited time for answering questions, we focused on gathering data for samples of fields/compartments belonging to each of the main grassland management types (grazed, mowed and rough grassland).

During April 2006 – April 2008, the precise foraging locations of sub-adult choughs were recorded (sections 7 and 8). We categorised each field or compartment for which land management data were available as used or unused by foraging choughs, respectively comprising fields where sub-adult choughs had been observed foraging at least once or had never been observed to forage. We then related the location of chough foraging to land management.

11c) Results


Statistical analyses confirmed that the three main grassland types (grazed grassland (GG), mowed grassland (MG) and rough grazing (RG)) could be clearly distinguished based on their management and physical characteristics. These characteristics are summarised in table 11.1.

Table 11.1. Management and physical characteristics of grazed grassland, mowed grassland and rough grazing fields. Values are medians (for continuous variables) and modes (for categorical/binomial variables). For binomial variables, the percentage of fields where the value equals the mode is shown in brackets.

Variable	Grazed grassland (n=89)	Mowed grassland (n=81)	Rough grazing (n=59)
Number of months grazed by sheep	12	7	12
Number of months grazed by cattle	10	3	12
Number of months grazed by geese	7	7	0
Timing of grazing by sheep	All seasons	Spring + autumn + winter	All seasons
Timing of grazing by cattle	All seasons	Summer + autumn	All seasons
Grazed by rabbits	Yes (75%)	No (72%)	No (88%)
Years since last ploughing/reseeding	30	3	50 or never
Grass cut for silage	No (97%)	Yes (100%)	No (100%)
Over 5% cover by plants other than grass	Yes (53%)	No (93%)	Yes (96%)
Type of fertiliser used	None	Combined (chemical and organic)	None
Timing of fertiliser application	-	Spring	-
Herbicide used	No (98%)	No (100%)	No (100%)
Aspect	Any (undulating)	Flat	Any (undulating)
Soil type	Podzols	Podzols	Peaty soils
Tendency to waterlog	No (74%)	No (75%)	Yes (61%)

Sub-adult choughs were observed to forage in all three grassland types, but the extent of use varied among types. Grazed grassland was used most relative to the area available and rough grazing was used least relative to the area available (figure 11.1). These data suggest that sub-adult choughs selectively forage in grazed grassland and avoid rough grazing. Mowed grassland was used slightly less than expected given the overall area available (figure 11.1). However, it is important to note that a substantial proportion of sub-adult choughs foraged in newly cut silage fields in the summer, suggesting that mowed grassland provides a key resource at this time of year (see sections 7 and 10). Sub-adult choughs also foraged on beaches, bare sand and kelp, particularly during the winter (section 7)

Figure 11.1. Percentage of fields of grazed grassland (GG), mowed grassland (MG) and rough grazing (RG) that were used by foraging sub-adult choughs (filled bars) relative to the total areas of these habitat types that were available (open bars).



We then related the management and physical characteristics of each field to the use of that field by foraging sub-adult choughs. These analyses suggested that fields where sub-adult choughs were observed to forage differed from fields where choughs were never observed to forage in several characteristics: timing and intensity of grazing by sheep, cattle and rabbits, years since last ploughing or reseeded, use for silage production, fertiliser application and aspect, number of months grazed by geese and cover by plants other than grass. On average, sub-adult choughs tended to forage in fields with variable aspect and relatively well drained soils, that were grazed year round by sheep and rabbits and most of the year by cattle, where grass was not cut for silage, fertilisers were not used and vegetation composition was relatively diverse (table 11.2, figures 11.2, 11.3 and 11.4). Sub-adult choughs therefore predominantly foraged in old grazed grasslands (see also section 7). However, the potential importance of silage aftermath in summer should again be emphasised (section 10).

Table 11.2. Management and physical characteristics of fields where sub-adult choughs were and were not observed to forage. Values are medians (for continuous variables) and modes (for categorical and binomial variables). For binomial variables, the percentage of fields where the value equals the mode is shown in brackets. For categorical variables, percentage of fields in each category is shown in figures 11.2, 11.3 and 11.4.

Variable	Fields used for foraging (n=89)	Fields not used for foraging (n=99)
Number of months grazed by sheep	12	9
Number of months grazed by cattle	9	7
Number of months grazed by geese	7	7
Timing of grazing by sheep	All seasons	All seasons
Timing of grazing by cattle	All seasons	All seasons
Grazed by rabbits	Yes (63%)	No (72%)
Years since last ploughing/reseeding	25	20
Grass cut for silage	No (74%)	No (56%)
Over 5% cover by plants other than grass	Yes (55%)	No (59%)
Type of fertiliser used	None	None
Timing of fertiliser application	-	-
Aspect	Any (undulating)	Flat
Soil type	Podzols	Peaty soils
Tendency to waterlog	No (74%)	No (66%)

Figure 11.2. Percentage of fields in which sub-adult choughs were and were not observed to forage with different grazing regimes for a) sheep and b) cattle. sp = spring, s = summer, a = autumn, w = winter.




Figure 11.3. Percentage of fields in which sub-adult choughs were and were not observed to forage in relation to a) type of fertiliser used and b) timing of fertiliser use.





Figure 11.4. Percentage of fields in which sub-adult choughs were and were not observed to forage in relation to a) soil type and b) aspect.



11d) Summary of key conclusions and implications: chough foraging and farm management

These data provide further evidence that choughs forage primarily on grazed grassland created by low intensity agriculture (as characterised by sheep and cattle grazing and low fertiliser use). Choughs also tended to forage in compartments with well-drained soil and variable aspect.

12. Fine-scale variation in sub-adult survival

12a) Introduction

Section 5 shows that sub-adult survival varies among choughs fledged in different years and from different nest sites, and explains a substantial proportion of variation in the number of choughs on Islay. In section 6, we identified ecological variables that are correlated with the among-year and among-nest site variation in first-year survival. However, a substantial proportion of variation remained unexplained, particularly of variation in survival among choughs fledged from different nest sites. Furthermore, long-term data describing rates of survival from one year to the next do not tell us exactly when or where most sub-adult mortality occurred within each year. Understanding the precise timing and location of mortality could allow key periods and locations for the survival of sub-adult choughs to be pinpointed, potentially allowing targeted management to be designed to help maintain or increase survival at key times or locations. We therefore examined patterns of variation in sub-adult survival at finer temporal and spatial scales, and identified the times and locations when most mortality occurred.

We tracked the survival of colour-ringed sub-adult choughs every month through the main project period (April 2006 to April 2008). Less intensive resighting effort was maintained through the subsequent year (May 2008 to April 2009), and through the year preceding the main project period (May 2005 – March 2006) by the Scottish Chough Study Group. Similar data also exist from a previous period of relatively intensive fieldwork on Islay, during May 1984 to June 1987. Monthly sub-adult survival rates have previously been calculated for part of this period. However, in 1984-1987, first-year survival rates were relatively high (figure 5.2). We are therefore able to compare the pattern and magnitude of monthly mortality occurring in years when overall first-year survival was high, average and low (figure 5.2).

Our principal aims were to determine:

1. whether mortality of sub-adult choughs tends to occur in specific months of the year or occurs at a constant rate across all months.
2. whether variation in sub-adult survival among cohorts can be attributed to variation in the magnitude of the same periods of peak mortality, or to additional periods of high mortality.

3. whether sub-adult mortality primarily occurs before or after fledglings leave their natal territories and join sub-adult flocks.

12b) Methods

Identifying the timing and location of sub-adult mortality requires more intensive observations of colour-ringed fledglings than has typically been possible during the long-term SCSG study. We therefore carried out intensive periods of resighting effort during approximately the 15th – 20th of every month from April 2006 – April 2008. During each period, all areas of Islay that appear to provide suitable foraging habitat for sub-adult choughs were visited and searched for colour-ringed individuals (see section 7). Territories on which fledglings had been colour-ringed were also visited during the months immediately post-fledging (typically June-July) to search for fledglings that had not yet moved to sub-adult flocks. Similar but less intensive resighting effort was continued by the Scottish Chough Study Group during May 2008 – April 2009, after the main project fieldwork finished. A less intensive regime of monthly resightings was also carried out during June 2005 – March 2006 in the build-up to the current project. In addition, monthly resighting data are also available for May 1984 – June 1987.

Capture-mark-recapture models were used to estimate monthly survival and resighting probabilities for each cohort. Data collected during 2005-2009 allowed monthly survival rates to be estimated for the 2005, 2006 and 2007 cohorts for their first 23-24 months of life and the 2008 cohort for its first eleven months of life. Data collected during 1984-1987 allowed monthly survival rates to be estimated for the 1984 and 1985 cohorts for their first 24 months of life and the 1986 cohort for their first 12 months of life. Fortuitously, the set of years for which monthly survival data are available cover the complete spectrum of observed among-cohort variation in overall first-year survival: the 1984, 1985 and 2006 cohorts survived well, the 1986 and 2005 cohorts survived moderately and the 2007 and 2008 cohorts survived poorly (figure 5.2).

Totals of 54, 95, 70, 53, 57, 65 and 61 fledgling choughs were colour-ringed in 1984, 1985, 1986, 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008 respectively. The estimated monthly resighting probability (the probability that any particular chough would be observed in a particular month given that it was alive) varied during 2005-2009 (figure 12.1). Monthly resighting probabilities did not differ between first-year and second-year choughs during this time, so a single value is shown for each month. Resighting probability varied among months during

the pre-project period (average $p = 0.56$) and post-project period (average $p = 0.71$), but was consistently high during the main project fieldwork period (average $p = 0.93$). The project therefore achieved very high monthly resighting probabilities for sub-adult choughs.

The probability of resighting a colour-ringed individual that was alive also varied during 1984-1987 (figure 12.2). Monthly resighting probabilities differed between first-year and second-year choughs, so two values are shown for each month when two cohorts were under study. In particular, resighting probabilities were higher for second-year choughs than for first-year choughs during 1985-1986. In general, resighting probabilities were lower during 1984-1987 and 2005 than during 2006-2008, meaning that monthly survival estimates may be less reliable for the earlier years.





Figure 12.2. Estimated resighting probabilities of sub-adult choughs on Islay for each month from June 1984 – May 1987. Monthly resighting probabilities for the 1984, 1985 and 1986 cohorts are indicated by open circles, filled circles and open squares respectively. Estimated resighting probabilities for each month are shown ± 1 standard error.



12c) Monthly survival rates

Monthly survival probabilities for the 2005-2008 cohorts and 1984-1986 cohorts are shown in figures 12.3 and 12.4. These probabilities were consistently higher through the second year after fledging than through the first, and are frequently estimated as 1.0. This indicates that all colour-ringed fledglings that were still alive in one month were also still alive the next month. The slight apparent declines in survival at the end of the second year probably reflect some dispersal of recruiting two-year old choughs away from sub-adult flocks rather than true increases in mortality.

Monthly survival probabilities during the first year of life varied significantly among months for the 1985, 1986, 2007 and 2008 cohorts but not the 1984, 2005 or 2006 cohorts. Some general patterns of variation are evident.

1. Survival through the first month after ringing (May – June) is generally relatively low, reflecting mortality occurring immediately before or soon after fledging.
2. Most cohorts experienced relatively low survival during mid-winter (November – January).

3. Cohorts with low overall first-year survival showed low monthly survival probabilities during the late summer and autumn (July – October) compared to cohorts that showed higher overall first-year survival. Specifically, the unusually low first-year survival of the 2007 and 2008 cohorts primarily reflects unusually low survival during the late summer.

Figure 12.3. Estimated monthly survival probabilities for sub-adult choughs on Islay. Survival probabilities for the 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008 cohorts are shown in filled and open circles and filled and open squares respectively, for every month from fledging until age two (2005 and 2006 cohorts) or up to April 2009 (2007 and 2008 cohorts). Standard errors are not shown for clarity. Labels denote the final month of each survival period (for example, ‘S’ indicates survival from August to September).





Figure 12.4. Estimated monthly survival probabilities for sub-adult choughs on Islay. Survival probabilities for the 1984, 1985 and 1986 cohorts are shown as filled and open circles and filled squares respectively, for every month from fledging until age two (1984 and 1985 cohorts) or age one (1986 cohort). Standard errors are not shown for clarity. Labels denote the final month of each survival period (for example, ‘S’ indicates survival from August to September).



12d) Summary of key conclusions and implications: monthly survival rates

1. Monthly survival rates were estimated for seven cohorts of choughs on Islay (1984, 1985, 1986, 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008). The overall first-year survival rates of these cohorts covered the full range of variation observed on Islay during 1983-2008.
2. Most cohorts showed relatively low survival during May – June in their first year (the pre- and immediate post-fledging period). Most cohorts also showed relatively low survival during mid-winter (approximately November – February). The cohorts with the lowest overall first-year survival (2007 and 2008) also showed particularly low survival during the late summer and early autumn (July – October).
3. These data indicate that survival may typically be relatively low during the immediate fledging period and mid-winter, but that cohorts that show particularly low first-year survival experience additional periods of low survival during late summer.


4. Sub-adult survival through the late-summer period appears to have been worse in recent years than in the 1980s. It is not clear why this is, but possible links to changes in weather and in the timing of silage cutting and other components of land management could potentially be responsible.

12e) Location of first-year mortality

By multiplying consecutive monthly survival probabilities for each cohort, 'survival curves' can be created, showing the proportion of each cohort estimated to be still alive in each month through the first two years after fledging. Survival curves for the 1984-86 and 2005-2008 cohorts are shown in figure 12.5. Fledglings typically move from their natal territories to flocking areas during late June or early July (shown by the grey bar on figure 12.5). These summary data suggest that although some mortality occurs before fledglings leave their natal territories, substantial mortality also occurs after fledglings reach the flocking areas.

To verify this conclusion, detailed data on the timing of movements of fledglings from natal territories to sub-adult flocks was recorded for the 2006 and 2007 cohorts during the project fieldwork. 43 of 57 (75%) and 42 of 65 (65%) colour-ringed fledglings from the 2006 and 2007 cohorts respectively were observed to reach the sub-adult flocks. Given that the overall first-year survival rates for these cohorts were 0.48 and 0.08 respectively, these data confirm that substantial mortality occurred in the flocking areas rather than on natal territories. Furthermore, it is possible that a small number of fledglings may have reached the flocks but died before being observed there. Our estimate of the proportion of mortality that occurred at the flocking areas is therefore a minimum.

Figure 12.5. Estimated survival curves (the proportion of cohort members estimated to be alive in each month) for the cohorts of choughs fledged in 2005 (open squares), 2006 (filled triangles), 2007 (open triangles), 2008 (filled diamonds), 1984 (filled circles), 1985 (open circles) and 1986 (filled squares). The grey bar indicates the typical timing of movement from natal territories to sub-adult flocks.



Although substantial sub-adult mortality occurred at the flocking areas rather than on breeding territories, we cannot necessarily conclude that the key areas to manage in order to maximise sub-adult survival are the flocking areas. Analyses of long-term SCSG data (up to 2004) showed that choughs fledged from particular nest sites and particular areas of Islay are more likely to survive through their first and subsequent years (regions BGE versus CNSW, figures 5.6 and 6.2, table 5.1, Reid et al. 2006). This suggests that even though most mortality occurs in the flocking areas, an individual’s probability of survival is influenced by its natal location. We therefore investigated what might cause this dependence of subsequent survival on natal location. We first examined whether broad patterns of spatial variation in survival observed across the long-term data continued across more recent years. Specifically, we tested whether choughs fledged in the regions BGE during 2005-2007 were more likely to survive to ages one or two than choughs fledged in CNSW.

On average, choughs fledged in region BGE during 2005 to 2007 were again more likely to survive to age one than choughs fledged in CNSW. This difference in annual survival was particularly marked in 2006, but was non-existent in 2007 (the year in which overall first-

year survival was unusually low across the whole population). On average, choughs fledged in BGE also tended to be more likely to survive from age one to age two than choughs fledged in CNSW. However, this difference was not statistically significant, primarily due to the small sample size of individuals remaining. These analyses continue to support the suggestion that choughs fledged in specific areas of Islay (BGE) have higher post-fledging survival rates than choughs fledged in other areas (CNSW, figure 5.6).

Table 12.1. Estimated first-year and second-year survival probabilities for choughs fledged in the regions BGE and CNSW during 2005-2007. The regions BGE and CNSW are depicted in figure 5.6.

Fledged in: Cohort	Estimated probability of first-year survival		Estimated probability of second-year survival		Mean estimated probability of survival from fledging to age two	
	BGE	CNSW	BGE	CNSW	BGE	CNSW
2005	0.38±0.09	0.26±0.09	0.82±0.12	0.83±0.15	0.31	0.22
2006	0.76±0.09	0.25±0.08	0.79±0.09	0.63±0.17	0.60	0.16
2007	0.04±0.04	0.10±0.05	————	————	————	————

12f) First-year survival by foraging and roost site

The difference in first-year survival between choughs fledged in different regions of Islay could arise because conditions experienced during growth directly increase a chough’s subsequent survival, or because choughs fledged in different regions subsequently use different foraging or roosting areas. In 2006 (and 2007), choughs fledged in BGE primarily moved to Ardnave and choughs fledged in CNSW primarily moved to Kilchoman (figure 12.6). Choughs that moved to Ardnave and Kilchoman survived at different rates through the late summer and autumn (figure 12.7). Based on data from 2006 and 2007, it is therefore difficult to determine whether variation in first-year survival observed across choughs fledged in BGE and CNSW is due to direct effects of natal location, or to links between natal location and movements to particular foraging and roosting flocks.

Figure 12.6. The percentage of colour-ringed fledglings that were observed to reach the main sub-adult foraging and roosting sites at Ardnave (filled bars) and Kilchoman (open bars) in 2006. Data are divided into families from the region of Islay where first-year survival has historically been high (BGE) and low (CNSW, see figure 5.6). Similar movements were observed in 2007.






Figure 12.7. The percentage of sub-adult choughs that joined foraging and roosting flocks at Ardnave (filled symbols and solid line) and Kilchoman (open symbols and dashed line) that were still alive in each subsequent month in (a) 2006 and (b) 2007.




Some direct effect of natal location is likely given that, over the long-term data, choughs fledged in BGE survived better than those fledged in CNSW even in years when all subsequently foraged and roosted at Kilchoman. Such a direct effect of natal location may, however, be exacerbated by differences in the conditions experienced at different foraging and roosting sites.

12g) First-year survival and parental state

Our study also suggested that survival of sub-adult choughs covaries with various aspects of the state of their parents. Two points are summarised below rather than being reported in detail.


First, parents stayed with their offspring for substantially longer after fledging in 2006 (the year when first-year survival was high) than in 2007 (the year when first-year survival was very low, figure 12.8). Figure 12.8 also shows that, in both 2006 and 2007, parents that had bred in regions of Islay where first-year survival has historically been high (BGE) spent longer with their fledglings than parents from regions of Islay where first-year survival has historically been low (CNSW). Fledgling survival was therefore correlated with the duration of parental care. This may reflect a causal effect of parental care on fledgling survival, or indicate that both parental care and fledgling survival depend on some other variable (such as food availability).

Figure 12.8. The average number of days that parents remained with fledged offspring in 2006 (filled bars) and 2007 (open bars). Data are divided into families from the region of Islay where first-year survival has historically been high (BGE) and low (CNSW, see figure 5.6).



Second, analyses of long-term data showed that first-year survival of choughs was correlated with the longevity of parents. Specifically, parents that lived for more years tended to produce fledglings that were less likely to survive through their first year of life (figure 12.9). The mechanisms underlying these relationships are not clear, but further highlight that survival of sub-adult choughs may be tightly linked to the state of their parents.

Figure 12.9. Relationships between the estimated first-year survival probability of fledgling choughs and the lifespan of their father. Black, stippled and grey lines indicate years when first-year survival was high, medium or low. First-year survival was similarly correlated with maternal lifespan.



Our original plan was to relate variables such as parental provisioning rate, distances travelled and fledging date to fledgling survival. However, since almost all fledglings that were colour-ringed in 2007 died before the end of their first year there is little statistical power to detect effects.

12h) Summary of key conclusions and implications: location and parental effects on sub-adult survival

1. Most mortality of sub-adult choughs occurred after fledglings had left their natal territories and moved to sub-adult foraging and roosting sites (see section 7). However, sub-adult survival rates varied with natal location (see also sections 5 and 6).

2. In 2006 and 2007, choughs fledged in different regions of Islay tended to move to different foraging and flocking areas. It was therefore not possible to clearly distinguish whether variation in sub-adult survival among choughs fledged in different regions reflected direct effects of natal location or correlated variation in environmental conditions experienced in subsequent months.

3. Further analyses suggested that fledgling survival was correlated with the duration of parental care and with parent longevity, suggesting that the survival of sub-adult choughs may be to some degree linked with the state of their parents.

Sub-adult survival may be maximised by maximising parental state, for example through appropriate management of breeding territories.

13. Comparative demography of chough populations

13a) Introduction

All analyses presented so far have focused entirely on data from choughs on Islay. It would be extremely valuable to consider the wider context, and to compare the ecology of Islay's choughs with the ecology of choughs elsewhere. This is because, when looking at any one population such as Islay, only a small part of the natural range of variation in chough ecology (specifically, variation in breeding success and survival and links with habitat and environment) may be observed. By looking at other populations, some additional management options may become evident, and it may be possible to understand more about the possible consequences of management actions that take the focal population beyond the range of variation it has recently experienced.

To place our understanding of the ecology of Islay's chough population in wider context, a final aim of the current research project was to compare breeding success and survival observed on Islay with that observed in other chough populations.

13b) Data and methods

Some degree of monitoring has been undertaken in other European chough populations in recent years. Monitored Atlantic coast populations include Colonsay, Isle of Man, Wales (North Wales and Pembrokeshire), Ouessant, Cornwall and Ireland. Of these, data on breeding success and survival were kindly provided for Colonsay by David Jardine and Mike Peacock, the Isle of Man by Allen Moore, and for Ouessant by Christian Kerbiriou.

Islay breeding success and survival data cover 1981-2008 and 1983-2008 respectively. Colonsay breeding success and survival data cover 1986-2008 and 1999-2008 respectively. Isle of Man breeding success and survival data cover 1986-2008 and 1989-2008 respectively. Ouessant breeding success data cover 1996-2007, and no survival data are available.

13c) Results

Table 13.1 shows the mean breeding success and survival rates estimated for each population. Mean breeding success has on average been slightly higher on Colonsay and the Isle of Man than on Islay. However, mean breeding success in all three populations has been higher than on Ouessant.

First-year survival (the probability that a fledgling chough would survive to age one) has on average been similar on Islay and Colonsay, and slightly lower on the Isle of Man (table 13.1). Second-year survival averaged slightly higher on Colonsay and the Isle of Man than on Islay (table 13.1), although these estimates are based on small sample sizes and so cannot be calculated for exactly the same periods. Adult survival was similar on all three islands, but tended to be slightly higher on the Isle of Man and lower on Islay (table 13.1).


Table 13.1. Mean estimated breeding success and survival rates in different chough populations. Breeding success (the number of chicks fledged per monitored breeding attempt) and survival rates were estimated across all data for each population and, to allow direction comparison, across equivalent periods for each population. These periods are denoted by B1 (1981-2008), B2 (1986-2008) and B3 (1996-2007) for breeding success and S1 (1983-2008), S2 (1989-2008) and S3 (1999-2008) for survival. Islands can be compared directly by reading along individual lines. The figures in brackets give the number of breeding attempts across which mean breeding success were estimated. Survival rates are estimated from up to 1214, 127 and 643 colour-ringed fledglings on Islay, Colonsay and Isle of Man respectively. Means are presented ± 1 standard error.

	Islay	Colonsay	Isle of Man	Ouessant
Breeding success	1.96 \pm 0.05 (881) ^{B1} 1.91 \pm 0.05 (746) ^{B2} 1.96 \pm 0.06 (378) ^{B3}	2.13 \pm 0.11 (194) ^{B2} 2.25 \pm 0.13 (128) ^{B3}	2.07 \pm 0.06 (626) ^{B2} 2.17 \pm 0.08 (401) ^{B3}	1.60 \pm 0.12 (151) ^{B3}
First-year survival	0.40 \pm 0.02 ^{S1} 0.36 \pm 0.03 ^{S2} 0.36 \pm 0.04 ^{S3}	0.36 \pm 0.05 ^{S3}	0.30 \pm 0.02 ^{S2} 0.28 \pm 0.04 ^{S3}	_____
Second-year survival	0.69 \pm 0.05 ^{S1} 0.68 \pm 0.06 ^{S2} 0.79 \pm 0.08 ^{S3}	0.85 \pm 0.07 ^{S3}	0.82 \pm 0.05 ^{S2}	_____
Adult survival	0.80 \pm 0.02 ^{S1} 0.81 \pm 0.02 ^{S2} 0.80 \pm 0.04 ^{S3}	0.83 \pm 0.06 ^{S3}	0.85 \pm 0.02 ^{S2} 0.83 \pm 0.04 ^{S3}	_____
Resighting rate	0.77 \pm 0.03 ^{S1}	0.83 \pm 0.04 ^{S3}	0.60 \pm 0.02 ^{S2}	

Mean breeding success was positively correlated across years on Islay and Colonsay, showing that a successful breeding year on Islay also tended to be a successful year on Colonsay (figure 13.1). This is perhaps not surprising, since Islay and Colonsay are close together and might therefore be expected to experience similar environmental variation from one year to the next.


However, mean breeding success was also correlated across Islay and the Isle of Man (figure 13.1). This relationship suggests that some large-scale component of environmental variation, such as weather, simultaneously influences though breeding success in both Scotland and the Isle of Man. There was no correlation between mean breeding success on Islay and Ouessant, which is perhaps not surprising since these populations are so far apart.

Figure 13.1. Correlations between mean annual breeding success (the number of chicks fledged per breeding attempt) on (a) Islay and Colonsay and (b) Islay and the Isle of Man. Each data point represents a single year.



First-year survival rates have varied among years on all three islands (figure 13.2, see also figure 5.2 for the full Islay dataset). First-year survival probability could not be estimated for Colonsay in 2000 because only four chicks were colour-ringed in that year. Variation in first-year survival probability is not correlated across the three islands. However, annual estimates for Colonsay are based on very small sample sizes, meaning that patterns of among-year variation should be interpreted with caution. Despite this, first-year survival estimates for Colonsay are much lower for 2004-2006 than for 1999-2003 (figure 13.2).

Figure 13.2. Estimated first-year survival probabilities for colour-ringed choughs fledged on Islay (open circles, dotted line), Isle of Man (filled circles, solid line) and Colonsay (filled squares, dashed line).



13d) Summary of key conclusions and implications: comparative demography of chough populations

1. Overall, estimates rates of chough breeding success and survival were broadly similar across Islay, Colonsay and the Isle of Man. This broad similarity of independent estimates from different populations suggests that our estimates for Islay,

and our estimates of the sensitivity of population growth rate to breeding success and survival, are likely to be robust.

2. However, mean breeding success (the number of chicks fledged per breeding attempt) on Islay was slightly lower than on Colonsay or the Isle of Man (but higher than on Ouessant).

Islay's choughs may therefore be slightly under-performing in terms of breeding success compared to neighbouring populations.

3. First-year survival averaged slightly higher on Islay and Colonsay than on the Isle of Man. However, first-year survival has varied markedly among years on both Islay and Colonsay, and choughs on both these islands have experienced very low first-year survival rates since 2003.

3. Second-year survival has been higher on Colonsay and the Isle of Man than on Islay, although all estimates are based on small sample sizes.

4. Although adult survival has been broadly similar across all three islands, adult survival has been lowest on Islay. Since chough population growth rate is sensitive to variation in adult survival, bringing the adult survival rate on Islay up to that observed on Colonsay and the Isle of Man would be expected to appreciably increase population growth rate.

5. The lower population growth rate observed on Islay than on Colonsay and the Isle of Man over recent years can be attributed to lower breeding success, second-year survival and adult survival, and not to lower first-year survival.

6. Continued monitoring should be supported on Colonsay and the Isle of Man as well as Islay. These datasets will ultimately allow ecological correlates of variation in breeding success and survival to be compared across populations.

14. Summary of knowledge transfer events and presentations

Formal knowledge transfer events and presentations carried out under this project are as follows. In addition, throughout the project, there were frequent interactions among researchers, farmers, conservation practitioners, policy makers and the wider public.

a) International Chough Conference, Ayr, September 2007.

This two-day meeting was attended by conservation managers and chough researchers from across Europe.

Proceedings are attached (Appendix 6).

b) Farmer's meeting, Islay, January 2008.

This evening meeting was attended by *ca* 35 farmers and landowners from across Islay.

Presentations were made by Jane Reid and Maria Bogdanova.

A DVD was subsequently produced for Lord Margadale.

c) Direct feedback to farmers and landowners.

Letters were written to farmers and landowners providing feedback on their own choughs.

d) Machair Ecology Conference, Glasgow, December 2009.

Presentation by Maria Bogdanova.

e) Scottish Ringers' Conference, Braemar, November 2006

Presentation by Jane Reid.

f) Scottish Chough Forum Meeting, Islay, April 2009.

Final project meeting at which conclusions and recommendations were presented, discussed and agreed.

15. Acknowledgements

We particularly thank all the farmers and landowners that generously allowed access to their land, SNH and RSPB staff for their support and encouragement throughout the project, and for the provision of accommodation, vehicles and other resources.

16. References

Papers on Islay's choughs and related issues are as follows:

- Bignal, E.M., Bignal, S. & McCracken, D. (1997) The social life of the Chough. *British Wildlife*, **8**, 373-383.
- Bignal, E.M. & Curtis, D.J. (1989) Choughs and land-use in Europe. Proceedings of an International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough. Scottish Chough Study Group.
- Bignal, E.M. & McCracken, D.I. (1996) Low-intensity farming systems in the conservation of the countryside. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **33**, 413-424.
- Bignal, E.M., McCracken, D.I., Stillman, R.A. & Ovenden, G.A. (1996) Feeding behaviour of nesting Choughs in the Scottish Hebrides. *Journal of Field Ornithology*, **67**, 25-43.
- Finney, S.K. & Jardine, D.C. (2003) The distribution and status of the chough in Scotland in 2002. *Scottish Birds*, **24**, 11-17.
- Johnstone, I., Whitehead, S. & Lamacraft, D. (2002) The importance of grazed habitat for foraging choughs *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*, and its implication for agri-environment schemes. *Aspects of Applied Biology*, **67**, 59-66.
- Kerbiriou, C., Gourmelon, F., Jiguet, F., Le Viol, I., Bioret, F. & Julliard, R. (2006) Linking territory quality and reproductive success in the red-billed chough *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*: implications for conservation management of an endangered population. *Ibis*, **148**, 352-364.
- Laiolo, P., Bignal, E.M. & Patterson, I.J. (1998) The dynamics of parental care in choughs (*Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*). *Journal of Ornithology*, **139**, 297-305.
- Madders, M., Leckie, F.M., Watson, J. & McKay, C.R. (1998) Distribution and foraging habitat preferences of choughs on the Oa peninsula, Islay. *Scottish Birds*, **19**, 280-289.
- McCracken, D.I., Foster, G.N., Bignal, E.M. & Bignal, S. (1992) An assessment of chough diet using multivariate analysis methods. *Avocetta*, **16**, 19-29.
- McCracken, D.I. & Foster, G.N. (1993) Surface-active invertebrate communities and the availability of potential food for the chough on pastures in north-west Islay. *Pedobiologia*, **37**, 141-158.

- Monaghan, P., Bignal, E., Bignal, S., Easterbee, N. & McKay, C.R. (1989) The distribution and status of the chough in Scotland in 1986. *Scottish Birds*, **15**, 114-118.
- Reid, J.M., Bignal, E.M., Bignal, S., McCracken, D.I. & Monaghan, P. (2003) Environmental variability, life-history covariation and cohort effects in red-billed choughs *Pyrrhonorax pyrrhonorax*. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **72**, 36-46.
- Reid, J.M., Bignal, E.M., Bignal, S., McCracken, D.I. & Monaghan, P. (2003) Age-specific reproductive performance in the red-billed chough (*Pyrrhonorax pyrrhonorax*): patterns and processes in a natural population. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **72**, 765-776.
- Reid, J.M., Bignal, E.M., Bignal, S., McCracken, D.I. & Monaghan, P. (2004) Identifying the demographic determinants of population growth rate: a case-study of red-billed choughs *Pyrrhonorax pyrrhonorax*. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **73**, 777-788.
- Reid, J.M., Bignal, E.M., Bignal, S., McCracken, D.I. & Monaghan, P. (2006) Spatial variation in demography and population growth rate: the importance of natal location. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **75**, 1201-1211.
- Reid, J.M., Bignal, E., Bignal, S., McCracken, D.I., Bogdanova, M.I. & Monaghan, P. (2008) Investigating patterns and processes of demographic variation: environmental correlates of pre-breeding survival in red-billed choughs (*Pyrrhonorax pyrrhonorax*). *Journal of Animal Ecology* **77**, 777-789.
- Still, E., Monaghan, P. & Bignal, E. (1986) Social structuring at a communal roost of choughs *Pyrrhonorax pyrrhonorax*. *Ibis*, **129**, 398-403.
- Warnes, J.M. (1982) A study of the ecology of the chough *Pyrrhonorax pyrrhonorax* on the Isle of Islay, Argyll 1980-1981. MSc thesis, University of Stirling.
- Whitehead, S., Johnstone, I. & Wilson, J. (2005) Choughs *Pyrrhonorax pyrrhonorax* breeding in Wales select foraging habitat at different spatial scales. *Bird Study*, **52**, 193-203.

17. Glossary

Breeding success – number of chicks fledged from any particular breeding attempt.

Demographic rate – a component of demographic variation that is expected to contribute to variation in population growth rate and hence the number of choughs in any population. The main demographic rates considered in this report are breeding success, first-year survival, second-year survival and adult survival.

Elasticity – a scaled sensitivity, that allows sensitivity to be directly compared across different demographic rates.

Foraging site – a broad area used by foraging choughs (e.g. Ardnave, Kilchoman, Killinallan, Kilchiaran, Smaull, Sanaig, Lossit, Laggan and Oa).

Foraging location – a specific location used by foraging choughs within a foraging site.

Mortality rate – the estimated probability that a chough will die between one month or year and the next.

NERC – Natural Environment Research Council

Population growth rate – the relative change in population size from one year to the next. A population growth rate of 1 means that population size is the same as last year. A population growth rate of great than 1 means that the population is larger than last year, and a population growth rate of less than 1 means that the population is smaller than last year.

Resighting probability – the estimated probability that a particular colour-ringed chough would be seen in any given month or year given that it was still alive.

RSPB – Royal Society for the Protection of Birds

SCSG – Scottish Chough Study Group

Sensitivity – a quantity that describes the extent to which population growth rate would be expected to change in response to a small change in any particular demographic rate.

SNH – Scottish Natural Heritage

Survival probability – the estimated probability that a chough will survive from one month or year to the next.

Table 9.4. Description of habitat characteristics observed at foraging locations and non-foraging locations at Ardnave and Kilchoman during April 2006-March 2007 and April 2007-March 2008. Legends are defined below the tables.

Ardnave

April 2006 – March 2007: Sample sizes for foraging and non-foraging locations were 70 and 23

type	elevation	slope	aspect	SHm	SHcv	SCg	SCm	SCo	VD	CDo	CDm	CDf	SHDo	SHDm	SoilH	ST
foraging	18 ± 1	5	1 (S/E/flat)	36.7 ± 2.6	23.8 ± 1.6	64	0	9	1 (sparse)	0	0	0	0	0	0.9 ± 0.1	2 (podzols)
non-foraging	20 ± 1	5	1 (S/E/flat)	46.9 ± 4.8	30.0 ± 1.8	75	0	16	3 (dense)	0	0	0	0	0	2.1 ± 0.3	2 (podzols)

Ardnave

April 2007 – March 2008: Sample sizes for foraging and non-foraging locations were 47 and 21

type	elevation	slope	aspect	SHm	SHcv	SCg	SCm	SCo	VD	CDo	CDm	CDf	SHDo	SHDm	SoilH	ST
foraging	17 ± 1	5	1 (S/E/flat)	30.2 ± 2.5	23.9 ± 2.0	60	0	16	2 (medium)	0	0	0	0	0	0.9 ± 0.1	2 (podzols)
non-foraging	19 ± 2	5	1 (S/E/flat)	46.5 ± 2.8	29.7 ± 2.2	73	0	20	3 (dense)	0	0	0	0	0	1.5 ± 0.2	2 (podzols)

Kilchoman

April 2006 – March 2007: Sample sizes for foraging and non-foraging locations were 10 and 34

type	elevation	slope	aspect	SHm	SHcv	SCg	SCm	SCo	VD	CDo	CDm	CDf	SHDo	SHDm	SoilH	ST
foraging	35 ± 4	10	1 (S/E/flat)	45.0 ± 2.9	26.6 ± 1.9	67	0	19	2 (medium)	0	0	0	0	0	1.7 ± 0.2	2 (podzols)
non-foraging	32 ± 5	15	3 (N/W)	42.1 ± 6.0	34.6 ± 4.7	81	0	10	2 (medium)	0	0	0	0	0	1.2 ± 0.2	2 (podzols)

Kilchoman

April 2007 – March 2008: Sample sizes for foraging and non-foraging locations were 10 and 18

type	elevation	slope	aspect	SHm	SHcv	SCg	SCm	SCo	VD	CDo	CDm	CDf	SHDo	SHDm	SoilH	ST
foraging	25 ± 4	10	1 (S/E/flat)	37.8 ± 2.4	30.6 ± 2.0	67	0	22	2 (medium)	0	0	0	0	0	1.4 ± 0.2	2 (podzols)
non-foraging	27 ± 5	10	1 (S/E/flat)	42.4 ± 4.3	26.5 ± 3.8	76	0	17	3 (dense)	0	0	0	0	0	1.4 ± 0.2	2 (podzols)

Ardnave & Kilchoman, April 2006 – March 2008: Sample sizes for foraging and non-foraging locations were 169 and 64

type	elevation	slope	aspect	SHm	SHcv	SCg	SCm	SCo	VD	CDo	CDm	CDf	SHDo	SHDm	SoilH	ST
foraging	22 ± 1	5	1 (S/E/flat)	36.7 ± 1.5	25.1 ± 1.0	64	0	15	2 (medium)	0	0	0	0	0	1.3 ± 0.1	2 (podzols)
non-foraging	22 ± 1	5	1 (S/E/flat)	45.4 ± 2.2	30.1 ± 1.3	76	0	17	3 (dense)	0	0	0	0	0	1.7 ± 0.1	2 (podzols)

Legend:

Elevation	elevation at quadrat (m)
Slope	slope at quadrat (degrees)
Aspect	aspect at quadrat, recorded in 9 categories (flat, N, NE, E, SE, S, SW, W, NW), then given a score between 0 and 4 (as a difference of SE, so SE=0; S, E, flat=1; NE, SW=2; N, W=3; NW=4).
SHm	mean sward height (mm)
SHcv	variability in sward height: coefficient of variation (%)
SCg	grass cover (%)
SCm	moss cover (%)
SCo	other (broad-leaved) plants cover (%)
VD	vegetation density, recorded in 4 categories (0=none, e.g. on beach, 1=sparse, 2=medium and 3=dense)
CDo	number of old cow pats per quadrat/location
CDm	number of medium cow pats
CDf	number of fresh cow pats
SHDo	number of old sheep droppings per quadrat/location
SHDm	number of medium sheep droppings
SoilH	soil hardness (kg/cm ²)
ST	soil type, 4 main types found at these sites (1=peaty, 2=podzols, 3=gleys, 5=alluvial)



**2nd International Workshop
on
The Conservation of the
Chough**

Thursday 13th and Friday 14th September 2007
Scottish Agricultural College, Ayr

Workshop Programme

Abstracts

Overview of discussions

Delegates list

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough
13-14 September 2007
SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Background and Purpose of the Workshop

It is nearly 20 years since the first International Chough workshop (*Choughs and Land Use in Europe*, 1988) and so Guillermo Blanco, Paola Laiolo and the two of us felt that the time is ripe to hold a second international gathering. To this end, we have liaised with a range of fellow chough researchers to draw up the attached workshop programme which we feel will provide a stimulating and informative two days.

The workshop has been organised to complement a project that we are involved with which is being led by Pat Monaghan (University of Glasgow) and Jane Reid (University of Aberdeen). That project (*'Turning population ecology into conservation strategy: development of a natural care scheme for red-billed choughs in Scotland'*) is funded by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) and supported by Scottish Natural Heritage and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds. The project is building on ongoing research into key aspects of the population ecology of choughs inhabiting the Scottish islands of Islay and Colonsay, with the aim of applying this knowledge to inform a conservation strategy for choughs in Scotland.

Hence in this workshop we are not only looking to set the Scottish work in a wider European context but just as importantly to also help maintain contacts and knowledge of chough research amongst European Chough researchers. The main objectives of the workshop are therefore to bring together those who have been conducting much of the ground-breaking research on chough in key areas of Europe to help:

- enhance the contacts between those involved in this field of research
- highlight ecological and conservation management requirements for this species throughout Europe

Although we have obtained abstracts from as many speakers as possible prior to the meeting (and have included these in this workshop pack) we are not looking to publish a formal proceedings of the meeting as such. Instead, we want to use the discussions during the meeting to help highlight the key research and conservation management issues across Europe. We feel that this would be the best and most pragmatic output from the meeting. We especially want ensure that the presentations and discussions allow a critical appraisal of a range of the approaches taken to-date to understand chough ecology and translate these into effective management on the ground. We therefore encourage you to come along prepared to engage in and contribute to the discussions based on your own experience.

Davy McCracken & Eric Bignal

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough
 13-14 September 2007
 SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



DAY 1 Thursday 13 September 2007

0900	Welcome & Introduction to the Meeting	Eric Bignal & Davy McCracken
	Session I: Numbers and conservation status	Chair: Eric Bignal
0915	<i>The Chough in Spain: an overview</i>	Guillermo Blanco
0930	<i>The red-billed chough in Italy.</i>	Augusto de Sanctis & Paola Laiolo
0945	<i>The chough in France</i>	Christian Kerbiriou
1000	<i>The status of choughs in the UK and Isle of Man</i>	Ian Johnstone
1015	<i>The chough in Ireland: comparing results from the 1992 and 2002/03 all-Ireland census</i>	Mike Trewby, Steve Newton and David Norriss
1030	<i>General Discussion</i>	
1045	Coffee Break	
	Session 2: Population demography, morphology and diet	Chair: Pat Monaghan
1115	<i>Population demography of Islay's choughs</i>	Jane Reid, Maria Bogdanova, Eric Bignal, Sue Bignal, Davy McCracken & Pat Monaghan
1200	<i>Factors influencing structure and organisation of a chough metapopulation</i>	Eva Banda & Guillermo Blanco
1245	<i>General Discussion</i>	
1315	Lunch	
	Session 2: Continued	
1430	<i>Macrogeographic variability in chough morphology and calls</i>	Paola Laiolo, Antonio Rolando, Anne Delestrade and Augusto De Sanctis
1515	<i>Pathogens & parasites: impacts on chough populations</i>	Jesus Angel Lemus & Guillermo Blanco
1600	<i>Diet of choughs in La Palma Island: conservation status in the Canarian Archipelago</i>	Félix M. Medina, Augusto de Sanctis and Patrizia Pompilio
1645	<i>General Discussion</i>	
1715	Close of Day 1 session at campus	
	Selection of evening informal presentations: to occur in a meeting room at Station Hotel after dinner*	
2000	Illustrated talks of chough	<i>Colonsay & Oronsay, Scotland:</i> David Jardine <i>La Palma, Canaries:</i> Jorge Pais <i>Choughs in Portugal:</i> Paulo Travassos & Paulo Barros <i>The Islay database:</i> Jane Reid/Maria Bogdanova

* Evening meal Day 1: Delegates are responsible for meeting the costs of their evening meals. Depending on interest, it would be possible to organise for delegates to go to a Chinese restaurant close to the Station Hotel for a reasonably-priced buffet-style meal

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough
13-14 September 2007
SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



DAY 2 **Friday 14 September 2007**

	Session 3: Land Management & Conservation	Chair: Davy McCracken
0915	<i>Linking science and conservation</i>	Pat Monaghan
0930	<i>The use of a multi agent system for management planning for a threatened population of chough in a multi-protected area within a context of drastic land use changes</i>	Christian Kerbirou
1015	<i>A landscape model for the red-billed chough and the alpine chough in central Italy</i>	Augusto de Sanctis & Silvia Petrella
1100	Coffee Break	
1130	<i>Winter foraging of chough in the French Alps: the impact of agricultural practices</i>	Anne Delestrade
1215	<i>Chough conservation: gaining understanding on Islay</i>	Jane Reid, Maria Bogdanova, Eric Bignal, Sue Bignal, Davy McCracken & Pat Monaghan
1300	<i>General Discussion</i>	
1330	Lunch	
	Session 3: Continued	Chair: Angus Laing
1445	<i>Delivering incentives for chough management through the new Scottish Rural Development Programme</i>	Ross Lilley
1515	<i>Designing Special Protection Areas (SPAs) for choughs in Ireland</i>	Steve Newton, Mike Trewby & David Norriss
1600	<i>The practicalities of chough management on RSPB reserves on Islay</i>	Gus Keys
1630	<i>General Discussion (to identify further research/conservation management needs)</i>	
1715	Close of workshop	

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough
13-14 September 2007
SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Session I: Numbers and conservation status

Distribution and status of the Chough in Spain: an overview

Guillermo Blanco

Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos (CSIC)

The chough in Spain has a wide distribution that is relatively well known. However, the size of the overall population remains unknown. Only several mainland regions (Southeastern Madrid, Segovia Province, Ebro Valley, North of León Province) and an oceanic island (La Palma, Canary Islands) have been objectively censused to determine the number of individuals. Estimation of population size in each region has been carried out by mean of searching for nesting pairs during the breeding season and/or simultaneous counts in communal roosts during winter. I discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each method, and their implications on the long-term monitoring and evaluation of population trends. Objective information on conservation status is nowadays confined to very few geographical areas, where choughs overall show decreasing or relatively stable population trends. Difficulties in evaluating population trends due to spatio-temporal dynamics of local populations, including the spatial re-distribution between years, and the contact and interchange of individuals between nearby 'sub-populations' in a relatively continuous range of distribution, are discussed.

The Red-billed Chough *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax* in Italy.

Augusto De Sanctis* and Paola Laiolo**

**WWF Abruzzo, Via D'Annunzio 68,65100 Pescara,Italy; ** Estación Biológica de Doñana en Sevilla, España*

The Red-billed Chough occurs in Italy with two main populations, that of Western Alps and that of Central Apennines; moreover, there are several small ones, both in the mainland along the Apennines range and Apuane mountains and in Sicily and Sardinia. All populations inhabit mountainous areas, except that of Sicily which had some of its colonies along the rocky coast in the recent past.

Distribution is quite well known from the first Italian Atlas of Breeding Birds and from the Natura2000 databank, both tracing back to the mid '90s. There are some uncertainty only for some small areas in the south of the Peninsula and in Sardinia. Regarding the status, unfortunately specific monitoring research projects (i.e. census of pairs) were conducted only for a part of the area (Central Apennines except Sibillini and Matese massif, Apuane Massif, some alpine valleys). Moreover, these projects collected data mostly in the 1995-2000 period and more recent information is available only for the Apuane, Latium region and some population of Marche region.

The Central Apennines is the stronghold of the species, with about 470-550 pairs in the Abruzzian massifs, 85-130 pairs in the Sibillini massif, 30-50 in the Matese massif and 70-100 pairs in the Latium massifs. Other small populations of northern Apennines (Catria and Nerone mountains) and of southern Apennines (Picentini, Alburni, Cervati, Volturino and Pollino mountains) should account together for 25-35 pairs and 35-80 pairs respectively. The Apuane massif in northern Italy shelters 20-30 pairs. The Western Alps holds 250-300 pairs, with three principal nuclei (Maritime Alps, Susa Valley and S.Berthelemy Valley). The species is restricted within the calcareous range of the Western Alps. In Sicily there is very little information about the status of the species, which is restricted within two main areas (Rocca Busambra and Madonie mountains) with probably 50-100 pairs, considering the flock size in winter. The Sardinia population is very poorly known, with about 20 pairs in the Albo massif and other scattered pairs in the Eastern mountain of the island. On the whole, a tentative estimation of the number of breeding pairs for Italy gives us a number between 1050 and 1500 pairs.

The trend of the species in the recent years is unknown, but the most important populations are probably stable. However, large declines are reported for some southern and northern Apennines massifs (Cervati and Cucco respectively) whereas the new nuclei reported for southern peninsula (Pollino) are probably rediscovered ones. In most areas, the species is sympatric with the congener species except the nuclei of the islands and the small ones of the Southern and Northern Apennines. In Italy the species usually breeds in loose colonies (3-10 pairs) except in the Central Apennines where colonies of 15-50 pairs are present. However territorial pairs are reported for all populations. Basically all Italian pairs of this species are within the Natura2000 network sites and it is reported for 35 SPA (out of 559, 6%), 88 designed SCI (out of 2255, 4%) and several priority areas for the Mediterranean Ecoregion initiative of WWF Italy.

We would like to thank for their suggestions: Massimo Brunelli (Latium region), Paolo Perna, Mauro Magrini and Jacopo Angelini (Marche and Umbria), Lorenzo De Lisio (Molise), Alessandro Bizzarri and the Apuane Regional Park (Apuane massif), Bruno Massa (Sicily), Massimo Pellegrini (Abruzzo), Claudio Mancuso and Vincenzo Cavaliere (Campania), Stefano Petrella (for cartography)

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough
13-14 September 2007
SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



The status of choughs in the UK and Isle of Man

Ian Johnstone
RSPB

Resources for nature conservation are allocated according to priorities ranked across many species. These priorities are determined by combining population status with the threats faced by each species.

Population status is quantitative, and the data needed to measure it are current numbers and geographic range, and previous change in these over time. For choughs, these data exist in the form of national censuses, repeated most recently in 2002. Choughs first breed when several years old, associating in flocks until then. Because decline in these may warn of breeding population decline, census of non-breeders was also repeated in 2002.

In 2002 there were 497 occupied sites in 83 10km squares in the UK and Isle of Man, of which 429 sites in 80 10km squares showed evidence of probable or confirmed breeding, an apparent increase of 42-44% since 1992, with which comparisons were most valid. The largest apparent increases were in the Isle of Man and Wales, the latter containing over half the population and with signs of some range recovery. In Scotland, numbers have fluctuated. In Northern Ireland, the population has declined and is now critically small. Choughs bred in England after a long absence. Most pairs continue to use natural sites on the coast, but inland artificial sites, such as quarries and buildings, were used more often, and overall almost one quarter of nest sites were man-made in 2002, an increase on previous censuses. Around one third of Choughs were non-breeding, and this remains unchanged.

Applying widely accepted criteria to these and other data shows the population status of choughs is amber (medium), because of their status in Europe and that >50% of birds are restricted to ≤ 10 sites (Natura 2000 sites). However, choughs face threats now and in the future, such as persecution (shooting and egg collecting), forecast decline in livestock farming and loss of artificial nest sites through dereliction: and the consequences of these are amplified in the smallest populations. Although these threats are often qualitative, combining them with population status indicates a high conservation priority for choughs in the UK and Isle of Man.

The 2002 census was funded by the RSPB, the Countryside Council for Wales and the Manx Chough Project. It was carried out jointly by the RSPB, the CCW and country Study Groups: the Wales Raptor Study Group, the Manx Chough Project and the Scottish Chough Study Group

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough

13-14 September 2007

SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



The conservation status of red-billed choughs in Ireland: comparing results from the 1992 & 2002/03 all-Ireland chough censuses

BIRDWATCH IRELAND

1 Springmount, Newtownmount Kennedy, Co. Wicklow

STEPHEN NEWTON snewton@birdwatchireland.ie

MIKE TREWBY mtrewby@birdwatchireland.ie



NATIONAL PARKS & WILDLIFE SERVICE (DEHLG)

7 Ely Place, Dublin, D 2

DAVID NORRISS david_norriss@environ.ie



The status of Ireland's chough population (1992 to 2002/03)

1. Based on the 2002/03 International chough census Ireland supported approximately 60% of the northwest European chough population.
2. There was minimal change to the breeding range of choughs in Ireland over the 10 years between the two censuses. For the Republic of Ireland breeding distribution stretched along the south coast from the Saltee islands in Co. Wexford, up the west coast to Inishowen Head in north Co. Donegal. Breeding distribution in Ireland is almost entirely coastal with only 5 % of the population nesting at location more than 1 km from the coast.
3. Only one pair was recorded in Co. Antrim in 2002/03. The majority of the decline, culminating in the demise of choughs as breeding species along the north Antrim coast & on Rathin Island, occurred over the 30 years prior to the 1992 census with loss of up to 25 pairs. Severe declines in Co. Antrim prompted the inclusion of choughs on The Birds of Conservation Concern in Ireland (BoCCI) red list.
4. Overall a 7 % decrease in the total number of choughs was recorded between the 1992 & 2002/03 census. This was felt to be a slightly exaggerated figure given:
 - methodological differences between the 1992 & 2002/03 censuses, particularly in relation to the recording possibly breeding pairs & non-breeding flocks. Stricter classification criteria were applied in the 2002/03 census & may have, in some instances, resulted in higher estimates for the 1992 census.
 - coverage of inland areas & for some islands was thought to be slightly lower during the 2002/03 census.
5. Allowing for natural fluctuations in the population the overall synopsis for Ireland's choughs was one of relative stability between 1992 & 2002/03. Further more the non-breeding component in both censuses was found to make up 31 % of the total population & this was thought to reflect a healthy status (see Table 1).
6. Numbers in the traditional chough strongholds of the southwest & in west Donegal remained stable.
7. Declines in Counties Waterford & Wexford although minimal (see Table 1) raised concerns due to their position on the eastern edge of the chough's range in Ireland. Additionally, this region is one of the most agriculturally intensive landscapes inhabited by choughs in the country. It was the expansion of intensive farming along the Antrim coast that was thought to be a major factor contributing to the demise of Choughs in this region.
8. Over the 10 years between the most recent surveys Co. Galway registered the largest declines in the Republic of Ireland.
 - On the mainland (West Galway or Connemara in Table 1) the number of pairs declined by 60 % between censuses. Pairs were lost from the coast & a contraction from traditional inland breeding sites was recorded. Numbers of breeding pairs in Connemara were thought to be limited by low availability of suitable nesting cliffs, with a relatively high proportion of birds bred in ruined buildings. There appears to be ample foraging habitat for Choughs in this region & this decline requires further investigation.
 - The Aran Islands contributed to the decline in Co. Galway. Here the losses were attributed to agriculture abandonment.
9. In the 2002/03 census 17% of the breeding population occurred on islands. The loss of breeding pairs linked to agricultural decline was raised as a concern on some chough islands (e.g. Cape Clear, Co. Cork).

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough
 13-14 September 2007
 SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Summary of the main concerns to the future conservation status of choughs in Ireland

A. Agricultural intensification – the effects to choughs on south coast requires further investigation.

B. De-stocking associated with:

- Agricultural decline – principally in the southwest, west & north, the Less Favoured Areas (LFAs) in terms of agriculture.
- CAP reforms (2003) – the introduction of single farm payments leading to de-coupling of agricultural subsidies from production. Predicted to result in reduced stocking rates especially sheep.
- Common Framework Plan (CFP) – reduced stocking rates linked to overgrazing levels within commonage areas, which principally occur in LFAs. There are very few commonages associated with the intensive agriculture along the south coast.
- Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) – grazing prescriptions associated with habitats annexed under the EU Habitats Directive, e.g. dune & machair complex & heath.

Table 1. Sub-county regional comparison between the numbers of pairs & flocks of Chough recorded in Ireland during population censuses in 1992 & 2002/03.

Sub-county region ¹	1992 Census			2002/03 Census			% Status change (based on totals)
	Pairs	Flock birds (% of total birds)	Total birds	Pairs	Flock birds (% of total birds)	Total birds	
Wexford	1-8	15 (48%)	31	0-3	20(77%)	26	- 16%
Waterford	4-49	93 (49%)	191	8-49	63 (39%)	161	- 16%
East Cork	9-29	70 (55%)	128	7-30	62 (49%)	122	- 5%
South Cork ²	23-82	126 (43%)	290	32-95	85 (31%)	275	- 5%
Mizen & Sheep's Hd. ²	12-82*	66 (29%)	230	39-69	63 (31%)	201	- 13%
Beara Peninsula	11-95	62 (25%)	252	41-74	41 (22%)	189	- 25%
Iveragh Peninsula	21-131	42 (14%)	304	47-95	86 (31%)	276	-9%
Dingle Peninsula	18-133	36 (12%)	302	54-119	123 (35%)	361	20%
North Kerry	11-40	12 (13%)	92	17-41	24 (23%)	106	16%
West Clare	11-21	17 (29%)	59	6-20	20 (33%)	60	2%
North Clare	5 -7	0 (0%)	14	4-12	7 (23%)	31	121%
Aran Isles	9-13	5 (16%)	31	5-8	3 (16%)	19	-39%
West Galway	11-25	23 (32%)	73	9-12	6 (20%)	30	-59%
South Mayo	13-37	38 (34%)	112	23-42	33 (28%)	117	5%
North Mayo	10-28	28 (33%)	84	13-21	18 (30%)	60	- 29%
Sligo/Leitrim	7-18	22 (38%)	58	9-18	29 (45%)	65	12%
West Donegal	21-63	109 (46%)	235	39-76	38 (20%)	190	- 19%
North Donegal	7-38	55 (42%)	131	35-53	35 (16%)	141	8%
Antrim	1-2	2 (33%)	6	0-1	0 (0%)	2	- 67%
Totals	901*	821 (31%)	2623	838	756 (31%)	2432	-7%

¹ **Sub-county regions** (inclusive): East Cork (Youghal-Kinsale), South Cork² (Old Head of Kinsale - Sherkin & Clear Islands - Ballydehob), Mizen Head & Sheep's Head peninsulas² (Ballydehob - Bantry), Beara Peninsula (Inchigeela-Bantry-Dursey Head-Kilgarvan), Iveragh Peninsula (Killarney-Kilgarvan-Kenmare-Puffin Island-Castlemaine), Dingle Peninsula (Castlemaine-Blasket Islands-Tralee), North Kerry (Tralee-Ballybunnon), West Clare (Carrigaholt-Loop Head-Spanish Point), North Clare (Spanish Point-Ballyvaughan), Aran Isles, West Galway or Connemara (Rossaveal-Aasleash), South Mayo (Aasleash-Achill Island-Belmullet), North Mayo (Belmullet inc. Mullet Peninsula-Killala), West Donegal (Donegal Town-Bloody Foreland), North Donegal (Bloody Foreland-Inishowen Head).

² **NOTE:** The south & southwest Cork (Mizen Head & Sheep's Head Peninsulas) 'boundary' differs from the demarcation reported in Table 6 in *Irish Birds* (Gray *et al.* 2003). The end points used in this analysis were thought to more accurately represent the thinning in Chough breeding density between Baltimore in south Cork & the Mizen Head peninsula (southwest Cork).

* **NOTE:** The number of pairs was corrected to account for low coverage of islands at the mouth of Roaringwater Bay & Baltimore Harbour during 2002/03 census (Mizen Head & Sheep's Head peninsulas sub-region - southwest Cork). Only Clear & Sherkin Islands were visited in 2002/03. The Calf Islands & Hare Island, surveyed in 1992, recorded a maximum of 5 pairs & these were excluded from Table 1.

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough
13-14 September 2007
SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Session 2: Population demography and morphology

Population demography of Islay's choughs

Jane Reid, Maria Bogdanova, Eric Bignal, Sue Bignal, Davy McCracken & Pat Monaghan

First, I'll explain what I mean by 'population demography' and why we need to think about it for choughs. Second, I'll describe and interpret the patterns of demographic variation we've observed in choughs on Islay.

What is population demography and why should we think about it? One main aim of the science of population ecology is to understand what causes populations to get bigger or smaller over time. This understanding is essential if we are to successfully manage populations of conservation or economic importance. In general, populations can only change in size if there are changes in the rates of births, deaths, immigration or emigration – the so-called demographic rates. Therefore, for any population of conservation concern, it should be extremely valuable to work out which demographic rates are primarily responsible for causing observed changes in population size. Appropriate management responses to changes in demography can then be considered.

Demographic variation in Islay's choughs Calculating which demographic rates caused observed variation in population size requires multiple years of data on births (breeding success), deaths (survival rates), emigration and immigration. On Islay, the Scottish Chough Study Group and others have collected demographic data since 1981. In each year, a sample of nest sites have been visited and breeding success assessed. Fledglings have been individually colour-ringed and then resighted over time. This immensely valuable dataset has allowed key demographic rates to be estimated and the demographic causes of population change to be identified.

On Islay, the number of breeding pairs of choughs has varied from *ca.* 80 pairs in the mid 1980s to 45 pairs in the late 90s. Mean breeding success varied among years, from 1.3 to 2.5 fledglings per breeding attempt. Survival from fledging to age one varied from 20% to 75%, while survival from age one to age two varied from 40% to 90%. Adult survival varied less among years, and averaged 82%. Immigration and emigration rates are hard to quantify exactly, but are probably relatively low. Decomposition of observed variation in population size suggested that variation in first-year survival, second-year survival and adult each accounted for *ca.* 25-30% of among-year variation in population size, while variation in breeding success accounted for the remaining 15%. These analyses therefore suggest that the primary demographic cause of variation in the number of choughs breeding on Islay has been variation in survival, with pre-breeding survival accounting for >50% of variation. All else being equal, the most effective way of maintaining or increasing population size might therefore be maintain or increase survival rates.

Further analyses suggested that chough survival varies among cohorts, such that choughs fledged in some years survive better than choughs fledged in other years. Choughs fledged from some nest sites were also much more likely to survive than choughs fledged from other sites. Future research should investigate which ecological and environmental variables drive observed variation in survival in both space and time, and compare patterns of demographic variation across different chough populations.

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough
13-14 September 2007
SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Factors influencing structure and organisation of a chough metapopulation

Eva Banda¹ and Guillermo Blanco²

¹*Departamento de Zoología y Antropología Física, Facultad de Biología, Universidad Complutense de Madrid*

²*Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos (CSIC)*

We studied the factors influencing the structure and organisation of chough population along the Ebro Valley (about 10000 km²), an arid plain mostly devoted to dry cereal crops (*Triticum* sp., *Hordeum* sp.) interspersed with patches of natural steppe scrubland vegetation. Choughs in this area nest and roost exclusively inside abandoned buildings. The entire study area was divided in seven sub-areas attending to the spatial distribution of breeding pairs and the existence of unsuitable habitat between them. These areas differed in social and environmental features, breeding density, number of communal roosts, availability of suitable breeding sites and foraging habitat. Distribution and density of breeding pairs was related to nest-site availability. Thus, different scenarios of variable breeding density may arise within the population depending on the availability and spatial distribution of nest-sites, with consequences in the isolation or aggregation of breeding pairs. Under these particular circumstances, we examined multiple limiting factors (social, population and environmental features) at different spatial scales, which could potentially act simultaneously at different stages of the reproduction process. Nest predation (both on eggs and nestlings) was the most important cause of hatching and breeding failure. Breeding success decreased with breeding density primarily due to increased nest predation in areas of high density. Predation may have important consequences not only on breeding performance but also on choughs' population dynamics, due to high mate and site fidelity of breeding pairs despite recurrent nest failure due to predation or other causes in particular nests. Mate and nest-site change are merely circumstantial, only due to widowhood or building collapse, and breeding dispersal then occurs inside or near to their former territories. Choughs are also highly philopatric to natal subarea to reproduce. However, dispersal movements among sub-areas by juveniles (individuals from 0 to 1.5 years old), and subadults (individuals from 1.5 to 2-3 years old) are frequent, with a tendency to come back to their natal area as their age increase. The consequences of these processes were studied in order to understand population dynamics aimed to propose conservation measures.

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough

13-14 September 2007

SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Macrogeographic variability in chough morphology and calls

Paola Laiolo¹, Antonio Rolando², Anne Delestrade³ and Augusto De Sanctis⁴

¹ Estación Biológica de Doñana (CSIC), Avenida M. Luisa s/n, 41013 Sevilla, Spain

² Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo, Via Accademia Albertina 17, 10123 Turin, Italy

³ Centre de Recherches sur les Ecosystèmes d'Altitude, 400 Route du Tour, Montroc, 74400 Chamonix, France

⁴ C.A.R.F., c/o WWF-Abruzzo, C. P. 317, 65100 Pescara, Italy

We analysed variation in the morphology and communication systems of the Red-billed Chough across three continents (Europe, Asia and Africa).

Bergmann's rule offered a valid explanation of Red-billed Chough body size variation with the largest birds being found at higher elevation, or in colder and more arid regions. Shape was also important, and the extremities of the body (bill and tarsus) were longer in warmer areas, in line with Allen's rule.

Call acoustic features varied according to body size, with signal frequencies being higher in smaller-bodied populations and lower in larger-bodied ones, as predicted by the inverse relationship between body size and frequency. The overall call repertoire of the Red-billed Chough was possibly affected by its range patchiness, as highlighted by the comparison with its congener Alpine Chough (*Pyrrhonorax graculus*), which has a more continuous distribution. Some Red-billed Chough populations (i.e. those from Ethiopia, Central Asia, Canaries) had a peculiar repertoire that overlapped very little with those from other regions.

Behavioral responses and individual variations in susceptibility to the stress of predation in the red-billed chough *Pyrrhonorax pyrrhonorax*

Paola Laiolo¹, Eva Banda², Jesús A. Lemus³, Jose I. Aguirre² and Guillermo Blanco⁴

¹ Estación Biológica de Doñana (CSIC), Avenida M. Luisa s/n, 41013 Sevilla, Spain

² Departamento de Zoología y Antropología Física, Facultad de Biología, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, C/José Antonio Novais, 2, 28040 Madrid, Spain

³ Departamento de Ecología Evolutiva, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (CSIC), J. Gutiérrez Abascal 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain

⁴ Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos, IREC (CSIC-UCLM-JCCM), c/Ronda de Toledo s/n 13005 Ciudad Real, Spain

We studied the behavior of the red-billed chough *Pyrrhonorax pyrrhonorax* to the acute stress of capture and handling, to test whether more aggressive or vocal birds had better physical condition (thus their behavior being a potential signal to predators) or whether they response depended on stress-susceptibility. Aggressiveness and the utterance of distress screams were more commonly observed in the breeding period and in individuals with high heterophils to lymphocytes ratio, indicating poor condition and/or high chronic stress. Adults were more aggressive than juveniles and yearlings, and females than males. Sex, age and condition differences were also recorded in the spectrotemporal output of distress calls. Birds with a marked response (aggressive and screaming) appeared to be more stress-susceptible than passive and silent individuals, and this response was stronger in the energy demanding period of reproduction. Such behavioral responsiveness could be a side-effect of a stress-induced adrenocortical response, which would cause heterofilia and lymphopenia. Our results suggest that red-billed chough aggressiveness and screaming during capture might match individual variations in stress-susceptibility rather than represent a reliable signal of strength directed to the predator.

2nd *International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough*
13-14 September 2007
SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Pathogens & parasites: impacts on chough populations

Jesús A. Lemus¹ & Guillermo Blanco²

¹ *Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Madrid (CSIC)*

² *Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos (CSIC)*

The increasing human impact on wildlife habitat, biodiversity and climate change may exert a primary and global role in the increase of pathogen abundance and spread, and thus in the increasing vulnerability to emerging infectious diseases. However, the impact of pathogens and parasites in population dynamics and conservation of wildlife has been not studied intensively in most species, despite the fact that diseases can pose serious threats to endangered species, causing extinctions or local population declines. In recent years, conservation medicine has emerged as a new scientific discipline aimed to integrate the knowledge about pathogens and physiology with that of ecology and conservation.

We studied the prevalence, potential origin and impact on health of multiple pathogens and parasites (bacteria, virus, helminths, protozoans, chlamydias, mycoplasmas, fungi) in Red-billed Choughs from three areas of the Iberian Peninsula (Ebro Valley, Segovia and Southeastern Madrid) and an isolated oceanic island (La Palma, Canary Islands). We analysed blood samples of nestlings and adults from the four areas. We also analysed faeces from each area to assess endoparasite fauna and enteric diseases. Finally, we analysed the same faeces to determine corticosterone activity in order to evaluate physiological stress derived from parasites and pathogens, and their associations with habitat alterations.

Results indicated differences between areas in the prevalence and impact of different parasites and pathogens depending on multiple factors, but especially depending on human intrusions in the habitat of choughs. Several of the pathogens found may have a clear impact in mortality, and thus in population dynamics and conservation, due to their high virulence associated with an elevated physiological stress. Relationships between pathogens, parasites and physiological stress were assessed attending to the impact of habitat loss by destruction, degradation and fragmentation, and especially regarding to the introduction and spread of diseases ('pathogen pollution') due to human activities.

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough

13-14 September 2007

SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Diet of choughs (*Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax barbarus*) in La Palma Island: conservation status in the Canarian Archipelago.

Félix M. Medina¹, Augusto de Sanctis² and Patrizia Pompilio³

¹*Consejería de Medio Ambiente. Cabildo Insular de La Palma*

²*WWF-Abruzzo. Italia*

³*Department of Experimental Biology. Università Degli Studi Di Bologna*

In the Canarian Archipelago choughs (*Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*) are restricted to La Palma Island, although there are paleontological records in other islands such as Tenerife, La Gomera and, probably, El Hierro. The study of chough diet had been made in 1999 at two different sites and habitats in La Palma. A total of 600 faeces were analyzed (25 faeces were collected monthly in each locality). The general pattern of chough diet in La Palma shows a high consumption of invertebrates mainly belonging to Coleoptera, Hemipteroidea, Miriapoda, Orthoptera and Lepidoptera. Among localities and habitats the diet did not show great differences being the same prey group consumed in each one. Choughs included in their diet an important vegetal component mainly composed by fruits of several crops species such as *Opuntia* spp., *Morus nigra* and *Ficus carica*. This pattern coincides with those previously obtained in this Island, using pellets for their study, and with the diet in other areas of its distribution where insects constituted an important prey. Different distribution and population of invertebrates in each habitat, site and seasonal variations in the proportion of prey availability could explain the different insect composition of the chough's diet at each habitat and season. Choughs present an intermediate value of the standardized Levin's niche-breadth index in both habitats, indicating a low diversified niche trophy in La Palma Island. The mean number of prey categories was very similar in both localities ($n \approx 16$), and prey types consumed by choughs in each one were, practically the same. The high values of trophy overlap observed among seasons could reflect the similar insect abundant and diversity in the distinct environments and localities. On the other hand, the little variations appeared in the consumption of different prey could be related with the presence of species with a specific niche or demographic explosion. The same factors could support the different values of niche breadth as was observed.

The chough was a very abundant species in La Palma Islands from the 19th century to the middle of the 20th century. Although actually the species is abundant in this island, reaching flock sizes of 500 birds in 1997, its population had suffered an important decrease as it had occurred in the rest of Europe. The most important threats for the species in the Canary Islands are those related with human activities such as habitat destruction, introduction of non-native species and illegal hunting. The inadequate use of pesticides, prevention on damaging crops led to indiscriminate killing of the birds, changes in the land use, disturbs in breeding colonies, and the increasing development of tourism sites constructions are other specific threats for the species in La Palma Island. Due to these threats the choughs in the Canary Islands is considered as endangered in the Spanish Red Data Book of Endangered Species. On the other hand it is very difficult to know the current status of their populations because at present, no censuses had been carried out in the Island. For these reasons, we think that the knowledge of basic aspects of their biology and ecology is probably one of the most important tools to promote a specific management plan for the conservation of this endangered species in the Canary Islands.

Choughs on Colonsay and Oronsay, Argyll, Scotland

David C Jardine dcjardine@freeuk.com

Colonsay lies 10 km of Islay, the Scottish stronghold for Chough. Chough were first noted from Colonsay in the 18th century and persisted until the late 19th century before the population disappeared. The island was re-colonised in the late 1960s. The population currently comprises around 18 pairs and 10 non-breeding birds. During 1997-2007 annual productivity was 2.74 yng / successful pair ($n=103$, range 2.38 – 3.25) and 2.24 yng/nest (where outcome was known) ($n=126$, range 1.20-3.00). A small number of birds have been ringed and colour-ringed since 1995 and first year survival has been monitored. Annual sample sizes are small but mortality is concentrated between fledging and the end of the calendar year. Average first year survival is 30.6 %.



Session 3: Land Management & Conservation

The use of a multi agent system for management planning for a threatened population of chough in a multi-protected area within a context of drastic land use changes

Christian Kerbirou

The threatened chough population of the Iroise Biosphere Reserve (France) is affected by two main socio-economic changes: development of ecotourism and abandonment of traditional agriculture. Both have influenced Chough feeding habitat areas: (1) The decline of sheep grazing have led to a land encroachment (2) Tourist trampling of coastal habitat creates significant favourable chough feeding habitat, but localized high frequentation had led quite irreversible damage such as soil erosion. Moreover, Chough young survival in August is strongly correlated with to tourism disturbance. Because pressures are of various origins (tourist, sheep farmers, vegetation dynamics), because stochastic aspects are not negligible (small population size) and because spatial effect are important, it is suggested to develop a Multi-Agent System allowing to integrate these different aspects. Chough population was modeled using a spatially explicit individual-based model. This allows assessing management program through simulations. Without habitat restoration and pressure stabilized at the current level, what reflects the recent situation, population is expected to decline slowly what has been observed in the last thirty years. Without management and a continuous increase of pressure, population is predicted to go extinct quickly. The restoration program recently launched predicted to stabilize the population at the current level. However, we show that alternative scenarios with the same management effort but focusing on the spatial distribution of restored areas could double the current chough population size and allow also take into account other concerns such as conservation of rare plants, traditional practice or landscape aspect.

A landscape model for the Red-billed Chough *Pyrrhcorax pyrrhcorax* and the Alpine Chough (*Pyrrhcorax graculus*) in Central Italy.

Augusto De Sanctis* and Silvia Petrella**

*WWF Abruzzo, Via D'Annunzio 68,65100 Pescara, Italy

** Università di L'Aquila, Dipartimento di Scienze Ambientali, Italy

During the period 1994-1999 several detailed censuses of the Red-billed Chough *Pyrrhcorax pyrrhcorax* and the Alpine Chough (*Pyrrhcorax graculus*) have been carried out in Central Italy Using GIS softwares, 128 sites (527 pairs) for the Red-billed Chough and 33 (153 pairs) for the Alpine Chough have been georeferenced in order to constitute our data-bank for evaluating a landscape model for the two species in Central Italy. A buffer area within a radius of 5 km around each site have been used to calculate the values of fifty-seven habitat variables.

Spearman correlation index and Mann-Whitney test were used first to evaluate the relationship between the number of pairs for each site and the habitat variables, secondly to compare the values of the variables related to the sites of the two species. Principal Component Analysis was used to reduce the number of the original variable, to better describe the landscape patterns. Univariate tests, correlation index and logistic regression were used to evaluate which variables influenced the species distribution and the number of pairs for each site. In the Alpine Chough more sites were colonies than those of the Red-billed Chough (87% vs 70%).

The Red-billed Chough sites had more extension of pasture/grassland in the buffer area and were closer to grassland patches than those of the congener. The surface of the nearest grassland patch inside the buffer area were bigger for the Red-Billed Chough sites whereas the Alpine Chough sites had more rocky areas and scattered vegetation polygons.

The number of pairs per sites of the Red-billed Chough were negatively correlated to the extension of woods and positively correlated with shrubland areas. In the Alpine Chough a higher number of variables were correlated with number of pairs per site (positive correlation: no. of habitat patches inside the buffer area, extension of wood and heterogeneous areas; negative correlation: altitude, grassland and open areas extension).

The first and the second PCA factors entered the logistic regression model revealing that the most important landscape elements determining the sites discrimination between the sites of the two species were primarily the distribution of grassland/pasture inside the buffer area and, with a minor impact, the heterogeneity of the buffer area (number of different habitat patches).

We would like to thank Massimo Pellegrini for his support and suggestions, and all the researchers involved in census activities in the mid of the '90s.

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough
13-14 September 2007
SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Chough conservation: gaining understanding on Islay

Jane Reid, Maria Bogdanova, Eric Bignal, Sue Bignal, Davy McCracken & Pat Monaghan

Analyses of long-term demographic data suggest that the major cause of variation in the number of choughs breeding on Islay has been variation in survival (and particularly pre-breeding survival). In order to consider the most effective management strategy, it would therefore be valuable to work out which ecological and environmental factors caused observed variation in survival. We are using two complementary approaches to try to identify the key factors involved. First, we are using long-term Scottish Chough Study Group data to identify ecological and environmental factors that are correlated with variation in survival. Second, we are using two years of intensive fieldwork to gain a more detailed understanding of the ecology and survival of pre-breeding choughs. Jane will talk about the first approach, and Maria will talk about the second. Presented results are preliminary, and must be interpreted with caution since correlation does not prove causation.

On Islay, the proportion of fledgling choughs that survived to age one has varied markedly among years. Approximately 80% of observed variation could be explained by simple statistical models that included weather and *tipulid* larvae abundance. Fledglings were more likely to survive to age one following years with relatively dry springs and warm summers, and when *tipulid* larvae were relatively abundant. These models indicate that among-year variation in survival may be primarily driven by large-scale variation in weather and invertebrate cycles.

Survival from fledging to age one also varied markedly among choughs fledged from different nest sites. Models suggested that fledglings were more likely to survive when reared in nest sites that were further from exposed rocky coastline, that were surrounded by less avoided foraging habitat (such as wood, peat, gorse), and that were closer to other chough nests. However, models explained <50% of among-site variation in fledgling survival. Further detailed investigation of patterns and causes of mortality of choughs fledged from different nest sites are therefore required before strong conclusions can be drawn.

Good understanding of habitat use and requirements of subadult choughs may provide useful insights into what drives variation in survival and can be of particular interest to conservation practitioners because suitable habitat could be created through appropriate management. Here we report preliminary data on post-fledging movements and habitat use of young choughs on Islay. Variation in habitat use was examined at several spatial levels and in relation to season. We also compared habitat characteristics at locations within the main foraging areas that are, and are not, used for feeding. The results are discussed in relation to conservation management practice.

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough

13-14 September 2007

SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Designating Special Protection Areas (SPAs) for choughs in Ireland

BIRDWATCH IRELAND

1 Springmount, Newtownmount Kennedy, Co. Wicklow

STEPHEN NEWTON snewton@birdwatchireland.ie

MIKE TREWBY mtrewby@birdwatchireland.ie



NATIONAL PARKS & WILDLIFE SERVICE (DEHLG)

7 Ely Place, Dublin, D 2

DAVID NORRISS david_norriss@environ.ie



The SPA designation process in Ireland

1. Coinciding with the 2002 breeding season & the start of the third international chough census the National Parks Wildlife Service (NPWS) initiated & funded a 3 year research programme to investigate chough distribution & ecology in Ireland, aimed at informing the SPA designation process. BirdWatch Ireland was contracted to undertake this research (August 2002 to August 2005).
2. The five Important Birds Areas (IBAs - the southwestern peninsulas of Counties Cork & Kerry & west Donegal) identified for choughs by the 1992 census where targeted for year-long baseline studies to investigate seasonal distribution, habitat use & breeding season behaviour of choughs in these areas.
3. Methods applied in this study included:
 - Long transects completed monthly recording the location, numbers of birds, habitat use & micro-habitat patch use
 - Intensive transects recording chough habitat use & mapping habitat availability
 - Off-transect observations including flock tracking & locating communal roosts
 - Monthly roost monitoring
 - Nest site watches (n = 22) recording the distance pairs travelled from the nest & coast, as well as habitat use & availability
 - Re-surveying selected breeding areas recording nesting density & productivity
 - Colour ringing of chicks (n = 22) to observing post-fledging dispersal
4. A core suite of 14 SPAs were identified for choughs in the Republic of Ireland (see Figure 1) encompassing over 60 % of the Irish breeding population (1992-2002/03) & have a land-take of circa 24,240 ha. If including existing SPAs (e.g. seabird SPAs) with a chough interests a maximum of 569 pairs (68 %) receive statutory protection within SPAs. Some existing SPA designations, e.g. the Sheskinemore dune/machair complex (Co. Donegal), cover chough foraging areas. Chough SPAs were formally designated in November 2006.
5. The designation of 14 chough SPAs represents a significant departure from the five IBAs originally earmarked for SPA designation. It was felt that the addition of nine sites would more accurately reflect the geographical distribution of Ireland's chough population. By providing statutory protection to choughs over a wider area efforts to conserve the species in northwest Europe would be enhanced.
6. Chough SPAs cover the most densely populated breeding cliffs in the country. They were selected according to numbers & densities of breeding pairs along relatively contiguous stretches of suitable breeding cliff. Each contains a minimum of 1% of the national population of breeding pairs, averaged for the 1999 & 2002/03 censuses. The five SPAs formerly identified as chough IBAs hold far in excess of the 1% threshold.
7. All the chough SPAs, except Sligo/Leitrim are coastal strips extending approximately 300 m inland from the cliff top & follow mappable features nearest to the 300 m line. For stretches of cliff where no cliff top line was discernible the coastal boundary followed the high water line, extending inland 350 m. The Sligo/Leitrim SPA is a fragmented site encompassing breeding cliffs fringing the upland plateaux of Benbulbin & Truskmore; again the basic provision of 300 m was applied.
8. The decision to use a distance of 300 m from the coast to delineate the inland boundary of chough SPAs was based on data collected from tracking the breeding season movements of 17 focal pairs within the IBAs (2002 & 2005). This

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough

13-14 September 2007

SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



research found that on average pairs of choughs spend 78 % of the time within 300 m of the coast during the breeding season (see Table 1). To take this up to 90 % would have required an extension to the inland boundary of 300 m. Land use by choughs within a 300 to 600 m distance band was patchy & would often include areas of habitat unsuitable for choughs.

9. Breaks in the cliff designations occur where the breeding densities thinned or the height of cliffs drops below 10 m (e.g. sandy bays), unless the habitat in these areas was particularly good for choughs. Some areas within the c. 300 m wide coastal strip were omitted if habitat was deemed to be unsuitable. Adjustments based on habitat quality & chough distribution were restricted to the intensively studied IBAs where data was available.
10. The distances that end-points extend beyond the last recorded breeding varies between the SPA sections (range 150 m to 1.5 km). When selecting end points consideration was given to cliff height, habitat use by choughs (IBAs only) & the boundaries of existing conservation designations (SPAs & SACs). A generic distance of 1 km beyond the last breeding pair was applied in instances where breeding densities declined, but cliff height remained > 10 m & no supplementary data on chough habitat use was available. This distance nears the maximum that pairs of chough travel from the nest during the season, while acknowledging the coastal bias in foraging distances. On average pairs of choughs were found to spend 92 % of the time within 1 km of the nest during the breeding season (see Table 1).
11. Additional, 'satellite' SPAs were delineated where supplementary records existed & targeted flock feeding habitat, areas regularly used by breeding pairs & communal roosts removed from the core breeding areas. The inclusion of satellite sites was supported by supplementary data that exceeded a set of designating criteria (see Box 1). Likewise, where chough use was proven the coastal SPA was extended beyond 300 m to take in important forage areas. Therefore all the 'satellite' SPAs & extension to the coastal SPAs lie within the intensively studied IBAs.

SPAs: Target areas for chough agri-environment schemes

Agri-environment schemes in Ireland

1. The integral relationship between chough ecology & pastoral agriculture is well documented & while choughs forage in a wide range of habitats a crucial factor, identified in many studies & a recurring theme in chough ecology, is livestock grazing. Livestock maintain the short sward (< 3cm) favoured by chough & their dung provides a ready supply of invertebrates. The exceptionally high levels of grazing in Ireland over the 1980s & into the 1990s, resulting from 'headage' payments, was probably a major factor contributing to the relative stability of the Irish chough population between the 1992 & 2002/03 censuses. However, in the present climate of agricultural change - particularly the continuing trend of de-stocking - measures are required to ensure that grazing practices are maintained within areas important for choughs.
2. While chough SPAs provide statutory protection to the life cycle requirements of the species the mechanisms for delivering positive conservation benefits are limited within the SPA framework. A minimal list of Notifiable Actions are attached to the SPA designations & do not extend beyond the regulation of potentially damaging activities, including:
 - preventing disturbance to nesting & roosting sites
 - regulating inputs of fertiliser, slurry or FYM as defined by the EU Nitrates Directive
 - preventing land drainage, vegetation clearance or habitat destruction (except for maintenance)
 - preventing removal or alteration to earth banks
3. Given the relatively stable status of the chough population in the Republic of Ireland (1992-2002/03) the importance of developing effective habitat management measures for chough is recognised & SPAs will be central in acting as target areas for agri-environment schemes that encourage landowners to manage habitats for choughs.
4. In Ireland there are two agri-environment schemes aimed at enhancing biodiversity & the quality of the environment:
 - I. *Rural Environment Protection Scheme (REPS)* – The Dept. of Agriculture & Food (DAF)
 - II. *National Parks & Wildlife Service (NPWS) Farm Plans* – Dept. of the Environment, Heritage & Local Government
5. SPA targeted for chough habitat management prescriptions within REPS & NPWS Farm Plans could achieve the stocking rates & grazing regimes that would maintain the short sward over the range habitats required by the species.

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough

13-14 September 2007

SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Table 1. Time spent by focal pairs (n = 17), averaged & represented as percentage cumulative time within 50 m distance bands from the nest site & coast.

Distance bands (50 metres)		0 - 50	51 - 100	101 - 150	151 - 200	201 - 250	251 - 300	301 - 350	351 - 400	401 - 450	451 - 500	501 - 550	551 - 600	601 - 650	651 - 700	701 - 750	751 - 800	801 - 850	851 - 900	901 - 950	951 - 999	> 1000
Nest		9	14	24	30	39	46	51	60	62	65	68	71	75	77	80	85	86	88	90	92	100
Coast		32	54	61	69	74	78	81	82	83	85	88	90	91	93	94	95	96	97	97	98	100
Average cumulative time (percentage)																						

Note: 'Average cumulative time' conceals the behaviour of individual focal pairs, which varied markedly. Four focal pairs remained within 400 m of the nest sites & the coast for 90% of the time. At the other extreme four focal pairs were found to spend over 60% of their time further than 400 m from their nest sites & 25% of the time beyond 400 m of the coast

Box 1. Rules governing the selection of 'satellite' SPAs

Several criteria ('Rules') were devised under which additional areas identified as valuable to the species, either during or outside the breeding season, could qualify as 'satellite' SPAs for Choughs:

- I. A discrete area of suitable habitat where at least four Choughs have been recorded feeding in every season of the year.
- II. A discrete area of suitable habitat where a flock equivalent to 10% of the regional population or 1% of the national population (24 birds) has been recorded feeding; (the regional or national population was used according to which was most appropriate in the regional context).
- III. An area where pairs of Choughs were recorded feeding at the outer limits of their home range (i.e. more than 300m from the coast) during constant effort nest site watches in all stages of the breeding season.
- IV. Sites used by Choughs for communal roosting, including pre- & post roosting foraging/loafing areas.

A minimum of 'four birds' in Rule 1 identifies areas (generally not > 1 km inland) where pairs nesting on the coast converged to feed throughout the year, though the absence of flock birds means that such areas do not reach the threshold in Rule 2. The most robust examples of Rule 2 are where flocks have repeatedly been tracked away from a communal roost to a feeding area, e.g. Mount Brandon on the Dingle Peninsula. Use of these areas is often seasonal in nature. 'All stages of the breeding season' (incubation, early & late chick rearing) was stipulated in Rule 3 to discount one-off long flights to irregularly used feeding sites. Rule 4 applies to inland cliffs & crags & man-made sites (e.g. a disused boathouse in Co. Donegal) not covered by the coastal SPAs.


2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough

13-14 September 2007

SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Figure 1. The fourteen core Special Protection Areas (SPAs) for in the Republic of Ireland (shown in red). Also shown are the five Important Bird Areas (IBAs).



2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough

13-14 September 2007

SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



I. REPS & choughs

It is still unclear whether the supplementary measures under the latest round of REPS (REPS 4) - in which 'Conservation of wild bird habitats' is a measure available to participants - will include habitat management prescriptions for choughs. A 'chough option' within REPS would significantly benefit habitat management for the species. Uptake of REPS schemes has been relatively high, especially in Less Favoured Areas (LFAs) over the western half of the country that support the largest numbers of choughs (circa 33 % of the agricultural land in Ireland is farmed under REPS). Nevertheless, even without a REPS 'chough option' this scheme, in conjunction with Single Farm Payments & Cross Compliance has elements that work in parallel to the habitat management requirements of choughs, including:

- providing an incentive based scheme to keep farmers actively working the land

Note: while the payments are de-coupled from production claimants of single farm payments are obliged to maintain farmland in a 'good environmental & agricultural conditions' (G.E.A.C.), which stipulates a minimum level of maintenance - lands should be maintained in a state that permits agricultural production to continue. Appropriate grazing &/or cutting management practises must be in place in order to avoid under grazing, except where deemed unnecessary by DAF or D E H L G.

- promoting the retention of earth banks within the modern farming landscape.

II. NPWS Farm Plans: Chough measure

NPWS plans to launch a chough measure within its Farm Plan scheme by the end of the year. This will be available to landowners within chough SPAs that are not participating in the alternative agri-environment scheme (REPS). NPWS Farm Plans will not be applicable within commonages (circa 25 % of the SPAs as a whole). In cases where the grazing element of the chough measure conflicts with habitat protection within SACs it may not be available (mainly in chough SPAs within existing sand dune systems & heath/peat bog habitats – circa 37 % of the chough SPAs as whole carry dual designations)

The proposed prescription within the NPWS chough measure, currently undergoing field trials, does not specify stocking rates, but requires that a sward height of 2-3 cm to be maintained over 40 % of the farm within the chough SPA. This sward height is to be applied within 40% each of the habitats specified below if present:

A. Habitats with a scrub component

The grazing objective relates to the sward height of open areas of grass within the dwarf scrub, while not significantly reducing the height or density of dwarf scrub. The following two habitats qualify:

- a) Dry acid heath
- b) Gorse-dominated grassland (western gorse, *Ulex galii*)

B. Dry acid grassland ~ unimproved

Unimproved grassland used as rough pasture on coastal & upland slopes is used extensively by chough, particularly by post fledging flocks. This habitat often grades into heath & gorse dominated habitats.

C. Maritime turf & coast heath

These habitats, limited in distribution to headlands & coastal slopes, are strongly selected by foraging choughs & are therefore should not be converted to more intensive grass production. In these semi-natural habitats, restrictions on the application of organic or inorganic fertilisers apply.

D. Dry acid grassland ~ semi-improved & improved

The use of these habitats by choughs appears to be seasonal with peak use during the winter. Therefore the 40% 2-3cm sward height can be applied seasonally in semi-improved & improved grassland to allow for silage/hay production.

E. Earth/stone banks

Must be preserved & tightly grazed by livestock. Double fencing should be avoided & if required a fence should be placed on top of the earth/stone boundaries.



Conservation Management Needs and Areas of Potential Future Collaboration

The Discussion session at the end of the workshop highlighted a number of conservation management needs and potential future collaborations. These are listed below. We were going to construct a brief introductory text to set a broad context for these, but by the end of the workshop it was clear that the following extract from the conclusions of the first international chough workshop (*Choughs and Land use in Europe*, 1988) is still relevant today:

So why conserve the chough? After all, to many it appears to be simply a crow with a red beak and legs. But it is more than that. The chough is a specialist: it is a creature of beauty, of great flying skill, with complex social and family relationships. Above all, the chough needs a good quality environment in which to live, a special blend of wild places and land modified by man's agricultural activities. The chough needs these wild places because here the complex web of nature still survives; it needs pasture lands modified by man's domestic animals to produce the optimum conditions for it to exploit its invertebrate prey.

The chough can be regarded as an indicator of these diverse environments – a symbol of a land-use system in which human communities live in harmony with the natural world. The chough is a symbol of a healthy ecological system, in which many living species have a prospect for survival. As scientists, we are detailing the components and relationships of these systems. As conservationists, we must firstly recognise the importance of the system, and secondly help to instigate measures to maintain this system. If we can articulate our case clearly, we should receive popular support for these goals.

Conservation Management Needs

Across Europe, a relatively large proportion of the chough breeding population occurs within sites giving some form of conservation designation and/or protection (such as Special Protection Areas under the Birds Directive or Special Areas of Conservation under the Habitats & Species Directive which collectively form the Natura2000 network of key conservation sites). However, this masks the fact that:

- In many cases, the presence of chough was not the primary reason for the designation of the individual sites. Hence the management being practiced and promoted within these sites is not always necessarily the best to maintain the complex requirements of the chough. **There is a need for greater recognition of the requirements of the chough and appropriate land management that reflects these. This is needed both within and outwith designated conservation sites.**
- To-date, much conservation effort across Europe has focused on protecting nest sites and providing feeding opportunities for breeding pairs. However, the survival of pre-breeding birds is now recognised as an important determinant of chough population dynamics, at least in some parts of its range. Importantly, across Europe flocks of immature chough have been shown to range and feed in different locations from the breeding pairs. **There is a need for additional conservation effort directed at protecting and enhancing feeding and roosting**



opportunities for sub-adult chough. These requirements need to be built into the planning of Natura2000 sites and other local conservation actions.

- Detailed information on the size and trends in the chough population is lacking in some areas of Europe, even within protected areas. This was highlighted for Italy where there are no working groups on chough despite, for instance, the almost total loss of chough from Sicily in recent years. **There is a need for National and Regional Governments to set in place appropriate monitoring of the size and conservation status of the chough population in their territories, both within and outside of designated conservation sites.**
- Even within designated conservation sites, there are still instances (especially in southern Europe) of inappropriate developments taking place and having an adverse impact on chough and other wildlife but where development approval has been granted without Environmental Impact Assessment processes and procedures being followed correctly. **There is a need for National and Regional Governments to be aware of their legal responsibilities for designated conservation sites and to follow the rules and regulations set in place for the assessment of development proposals within or close to such sites.**

Across virtually all of its European range the chough is associated throughout the year with low-intensity pastoral and mixed agricultural landscapes where farmers continue to use traditional methods of management. These landscapes, and the habitats that the chough utilise, are dependent on the continuation of this type of farming and what is now being termed High Nature Value farming systems because of the high biological diversity associated with it. However:

- These farming systems are disappearing at an alarming rate in response to changes in agriculture policies and changes in the social viability of rural areas. Although financial support for individual management practices can contribute to the viability of farms systems (and help provide some chough requirements at particular times of the year) They cannot maintain whole farming system at the landscape scale. The latter is integral in providing for the wide range of chough needs throughout the year. **There is a need for conservation agencies to recognise the importance of the underlying farming system and provide actions and support for that and not just for specific management practices.**
- In this regard, it is also essential to recognise that most chough areas across Europe are within what is termed the agriculturally Less Favoured Areas (LFA) (from an agricultural production and social sustainability perspective). Farms within such areas generally qualify for additional national or regional financial support. In most instances, these LFA payments form a large part of the income stream of the individual farms and help underpin the continued viability of the farming systems. The LFA support scheme is however under review by the European Commission; the likely outcome is unclear at the moment but the area designated, the designation criteria and the payment rates could potentially change in the near future.. **There is therefore a need for conservation managers to highlight the importance of the LFA payments to the viability of farming systems of importance for chough across Europe and to lobby for its retention in key areas for nature conservation and biodiversity reasons.**

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough

13-14 September 2007

SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



It is clear from what is known about chough ecology that this species inhabits a wide range of relatively extreme landscapes across Europe. Hence, chough feeding, breeding and other behavioural and ecological strategies differ from one area to another; as a result of this conservation actions shown to be successful in one area may not necessarily translate very well to another area of the chough's range. However, given the importance of livestock grazing practices in providing foraging opportunities for chough, this is one general area where an exchange of expertise and experiences would be useful.

- It was felt that many management prescriptions for grasslands, and grassland species such as chough, corncrake and wading birds (e.g. within agri-environment schemes or specific management plans) are too prescriptive and that to-date there has been too much of a preoccupation with processes (in terms of the grazing or mowing regimes to adopt) as opposed to outcomes (in terms of whether these regimes achieve desired outcome or not). **There is a need for more flexibility in the development of grazing and grassland management plans, so that managers in different areas can be given the flexibility to achieve the desired outcome in whatever way is most relevant and applicable.**
- There is therefore a need for specific local agreements and management plans to reflect the differing habitat, agricultural and chough (and other species) requirements in those areas. The importance of invertebrates was a recurring theme but one which is rarely addressed by such schemes. It cannot be left solely to the farmers involved to highlight the need to adapt generic grazing plans or grassland and other habitat management to suit chough local requirements. **There is also a need for conservation managers to be proactive in encouraging farmers to develop individually appropriate grazing management plans.**

Potential Future Collaborations

The discussions also highlighted a number of areas where it was felt that a wider exchange of experience and information would be useful for example: what approaches have been taken in different areas of the chough's distribution?; what appeared to work and what did not (and just as importantly in both cases why?); and what areas of chough ecology would be useful to develop collaborations in (with the aim of helping to utilise the experience of others and/or gain more insight into local chough ecology and needs)?

Each of the areas for potential collaboration are listed below, together with the name of an individual present at the workshop who agreed to act as a focal point for the exchange of information on each topic. It is not intended that those individuals take sole responsibility for dictating what should be done within each topic – instead they will simply help to instigate discussion on each topic whilst others are expected to express interest in being involved and make suggestions as to what might be done:

- There is much interest in collaboration over genetic studies of chough populations across Europe. **Augusto de Sanctis** undertook to circulate Ettore Randi's thesis as this would help as a starting point for identifying the potential objectives for any future genetic studies, e.g. assessing the viability of isolated chough populations. There was scope and interest in exchanging information on the methods/tools used throughout Europe as well as possibly collaborating over the collection and exchange of material. **Guillermo Blanco undertook to act as a focal point for the genetic studies topic.**

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough

13-14 September 2007

SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



- The demographic analyses presented at the workshop stimulated interest in collaboration over the analyses of existing data from the various chough populations across Europe. This can be a powerful tool for highlighting whether the same or different factors appear to be driving chough population dynamics across Europe (e.g. is pre-breeding survival generally important). **Jane Reid undertook to act as focal point for the demographic analyses topic.**
- There is a need for greater monitoring of the size, distribution and status of chough populations both within and outwith designated areas and for greater recognition of the requirements of chough and appropriate land management that reflects these. The LIFE programme may provide one opportunity to fund greater collaboration and expand chough conservation effort into less well-studied areas. The deadline for applications to National Ministries is the end of November 2007. **Augusto de Sanctis undertook to act as a focal point for trying to develop a LIFE project encompassing a number of Mediterranean and southern European countries.**
- The detailed studies of the health status of the chough in mainland Spain and on La Palma island highlighted that in some populations the chough were prone to a wide variety of pathogens and parasites. Anecdotal observations from other populations suggested that pathogens and parasites are not frequently observed affecting the population. There was interest in developing a collaboration looking at the health status of different chough populations across Europe. **Jesus Lemus undertook to act as focal point for the health status topic.**
- There was discussion throughout the workshop on methodologies and approaches used in different chough studies. The following three topics were highlighted:
 - The use of stable isotope approaches to help inform dietary and foraging studies. **Paola Laiolo undertook to act as a focal point for this topic.**
 - The differing ways used to assess breeding performance and the need for some consistency across Europe (to allow for comparison between populations). **Ian Johnstone undertook to act as a focal point for this topic.**
 - All aspects of the design, construction and siting of artificial nest and roosting sites and lessons to be learned from experiences across Europe. **Eric Bignal undertook to act as a focal point for this topic.**
- The intimate relationship between chough and low-intensity pastoral and mixed farming systems was emphasised throughout the workshop. There is a need for both chough researchers and policy makers to be aware, not only of the importance and characteristics of such farming systems across Europe, but also the impacts that agricultural and nature conservation policies and legislation are having on the viability of these systems and the future of their associated farming practices. **Eric Bignal and Davy McCracken undertook to act as a focal point for this topic.**
- There are many questions and issues associated with grazing as a topic, e.g. how much grazing is needed in a particular habitat? when is it best that this grazing occurs; how best to marry

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough
13-14 September 2007
SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



chough feeding (and hence invertebrate population) requirements with maintenance of the associated habitats which may be seen as having higher conservation priority? There is a need for chough conservation managers and researchers across Europe to exchange information on what techniques have been tried, what have worked, what have failed, etc. **Dave Beaumont agreed to act as a focal point for the exchange of such information between interested practitioners.**

- The need for a pan-European review of chough populations across Europe was highlighted, one that not only focused on distribution, population size, trends and status but also assessed ecological similarities and regional differences. Such a review would be a useful first step in lobbying for the production of a “European Action Plan for Chough” (indeed such a review would form an integral part of any such action plan). **Pat Monaghan and Jeremy Wilson agreed in principle to act as focal points for this potential review** (but with the proviso that nothing would be done on this for the next 6 months when it would then be possible to assess how well the above information exchanges - which would be critical in pulling together such a review - were operating).

The 3rd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough

Felix Medina proposed that the next International workshop be held on the island of La Palma in 2010. Before coming to this meeting he had been encouraged by the La Palma Regional Government to make such a proposal. The suggestion was endorsed enthusiastically by all the delegates at this workshop. **Felix Medina would consult with officials on the island and elsewhere on his return to La Palma with a view to providing in a few weeks time an official invitation for such a workshop to take place.**



The 2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough brought together, for the first time in 20 years, many of those who have been conducting ground-breaking research on chough in key areas of Europe.

2nd International Workshop on the Conservation of the Chough
13-14 September 2007
SAC Auchincruive Campus, Ayr, Scotland



Name	Title	Organisation and Address	E.mail
John Adair	Moorland Management Schemes Officer	Scottish Natural Heritage, 19 Wellington Square, Ayr KA7 1EZ	john.adair@snh.gov.uk
Eva Banda	PhD Student	Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Dept of Zoology & Anthropology, Facultad de Biología, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, C/ José Antomio Novais, 28040 Madrid, Spain	bandarueda@yahoo.es
Paulo Barros	Ecologist	Natural Park of Alvão - Institute of the Conservation of the Nature and Biodiversity (ICNB), Parque Natural do Alvae, Largo dos Freitas, Vila Real, Portugal, 5000-528 Vila Real	paulobarros@portugalmail.com
David Beaumont	Head of Reserves Ecology	RSPB Scotland, Dunedin House, 25 Ravelston Terrace, Edinburgh EH4 3TP	dave.beaumont@rspb.org.uk
Eric Bignal	Ecologist	Scottish Chough Study Group, Kindrochaid, Gruinart, Bridgend, Isle of Islay, Argyll PA44 7PT	ericbignal@cali.co.uk
Sue Bignal	Ecologist	Scottish Chough Study Group, Kindrochaid, Gruinart, Bridgend, Isle of Islay, Argyll PA44 7PT	ericbignal@cali.co.uk
Guillermo Blanco	Associate Professor of Research	Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), IREC, Ronda de Toledo s/n, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain 13071	gublanco2@yahoo.es
Maria Bogdanova	Post-doctoral Research Assistant	Division of Environmental & Evolutionary Biology, Graham Kerr Building, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ	m.bogdanova@bio.gla.ac.uk
Maeve Boylan	PhD Student	Zoology Ecology & Plant Science Dept, University College Cork, Cooperage Building, Distillery Fields, North Mall, Cork, Ireland	meabhboylan@gmail.com
Patricia Bradley	Regional Director	RSPB Scotland, 10 Park Quadrant, Glasgow G3 6BS	tricia.bradley@rspb.org.uk

Name	Title	Organisation and Address	E.mail
Anne Delestrade	Director	Centre de Reserches sur les Ecosystemes d'Altitude, (CREA), 400 route du Tour, Chamonix, Mont-Blanc F-74400	anne@crea.hautesavoie.net
Augusto de Sanctis	Consultant	WWF Italy, Via A De Nino 3, Pescara, Italy 65100	a.desanctis@wwf.it
Kevin Firth	Rural Surveyor, Natural Care, West Areas	SNH, Ballochmyle, Sandbank, Dunoon, Argyll PA23 8RD	kevin.firth@snh.gov.uk
Catherine Fotheringham	Warden	RSPB Scotland, Bushmill Cottage, Gruinart, Isle of Islay, Argyll PA44 7PP	cath.fotheringham@rspb.org.uk
Gillian Gilbert	Research Biologist	RSPB Scotland, 10 Park Quadrant, Glasgow G3 6BS	gillian.gilbert@rspb.org.uk
Bob Haycock	Senior Reserves Manager, Pembrokeshire	Countryside Council for Wales, The Old Home Farm Yard, Stackpole, Pembroke SA71 5DQ	b.haycock@ccw.gov.uk
Annie Haycock	Naturalist	1 Rushmoor, Martletwy, Pembrokeshire SA67 8BB	annie@rushmoorphotos.co.uk
Jane Hodges	Ecologist	Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority, Llanion Park, Pembroke Dock, Pembrokeshire SA72 6DY	janeh@pembrokeshirecoast.org.uk
James How	Land Operations Manager, RSPB Loch Gruinart	RSPB Scotland, Bushmill Cottage, Gruinart, Isle of Islay, Argyll PA44 7PP	james.how@rspb.org.uk
David Jardine	Ecologist	Scottish Chough Study Group, 49 Bellfield Road, North Kessock, Inverness IV1 3XX	dcjardine@freeuk.com
Rebecca Johnson	Species Officer, Dumfries & Galloway	RSPB, The Old School, Crossmichael, Castle Douglas DG7 1HR	rebecca.johnson@rspb.org.uk
Ian Johnstone	Research Biologist for Wales	RSPB, North Wales Office, Maes y Ffynnon, Penrhosgarnedd, Bangor LL57 2DW	ian.johnstone@rspb.org.uk
Christian Kerbiriou	Researcher	Muséum National d-Histoire Naturelle, CERSP/CRBPO USM, 55 rue Buffon, Paris, France 75013	kerbiriou@mnhn.fr

Name	Title	Organisation and Address	E.mail
Angus Keys	Site Manager	The Oa Nature Reserve, Kinnabus Farm, The Oa, Port Ellen, Isle of Islay PA42 7AU	angus.keys@rspb.org.uk
Angus Laing	Area Manager, Argyll & Stirling	SNH, The Beta Centre, Innovation Park, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4NF	angus.laing@snh.gov.uk
Paola Laiolo	Researcher	Estación Biológica de Doñana, Avenida Maria Luisa S/N, Sevilla, Spain 41013	paola@ebd.csic.es
Jesús Lemus	Veterinarian	Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (CSIC), José Cutiérrez Abascal, 2 Madrid, Spain 28006	jlemus@mncn.csic.es
Ross Lilley	Area Officer, Projects & Strategy, Argyll & Stirling	SNH, Glencruitten Road, Oban, Argyll PA34 4DN	ross.lilley@snh.gov.uk
Katrina Marsden	Agriculture & Rural Development Policy Officer	RSPB Scotland, Dunedin House, 25 Ravelston Terrace, Edinburgh EH4 3TP	katrina.marsden@rspb.org.uk
Davy McCracken	Senior Agricultural Ecologist	SAC Auchincruive, Ayr, Ayrshire KA6 5HW	davy.mccracken@sac.ac.uk
Rae McKenzie	Area Officer, Islay, Jura & Colonsay	SNH, Main Street, Bowmore, Isle of Islay PA43 7JJ	rae.mckenzie@snh.gov.uk
Félix Medina	Biologist	Conserjería de Medio Ambiente, Cabildo Insular de La Palma, Avenida Los Indianos 20, 2 Santa Cruz de La Palma, Canary Islands, Spain 38700	felix.medina@cablapalma.es
Pat Monaghan	Professor of Animal Ecology	University of Glasgow, Graham Kerr Building, Glasgow G12 8QQ	P.Monaghan@bio.gla.ac.uk
Steve Newton	Senior Conservation Officer	BirdWatch Ireland, 1 Springmount, Newtownmountkennedy, Co Wicklow, Ireland	snewton@birdwatchireland.ie
Jorge Pais	Ornathologist	Town Hall, Carretera de las Ledas, 20 Breña Alta, Santa Cruz de Tenerife 38710	recamazo@arrakis.es

Name	Title	Organisation and Address	E.mail
Jane Reid	Research Fellow	University of Aberdeen, Zoology Building, Tillydrone Avenue, Aberdeen AB24 2TZ	jane.reid@abdn.ac.uk
Paulo Travassos	Environmental Quality Technician	University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Biological and Environmental Engineering Department, Laboratory of Applied Ecology, Apartado 1013, Vila Real, Portugal 50001-801 Vila Real	ptravassos@utad.pt
Michael Trewby	Researcher	BirdWatch Ireland, 1 Spring Mount, Mewtownmount Kennedy, Co Wicklow	mtrewby@birdwatchireland.ie
Lisa Webb	Advisory Officer, South & West Scotland	RSPB Scotland, 10 Park Quadrant, Glasgow G3 6BS	lisa.webb@rspb.org.uk
Jeremy Wilson	Head of Research	RSPB Scotland, Dunedin House, 25 Ravelston Terrace, Edinburgh EH4 3TP	jeremy.wilson@rspb.org.uk

Interviewee:

Date Interviewed:

Address of Farm	
I. GENERAL FARM INFORMATION	
Farm size (ha):	
Broad breakdown (ha):	
Grassland:	
Crops:	
Rough Grazing:	
In-bye grassland type (ha):	
Grass for pasture only:	
Grass for mowing and pasture:	
Livestock numbers:	
Sheep:	
Cattle:	
II. FERTILISER STRATEGY:	
Do you use fertiliser?	
If so what type – chemical, slurry and/or manure?	
Where do you apply it?	
Do you do it every year?	
III. MEMBERSHIP OF AGRI-ENV. (AES)	
When did you join?	
Please list measures under AES	
IV. IN WHICH FIELDS DO <u>YOU</u> SEE CHOUGH FEEDING?	

Interviewee:

Date Interviewed:

I. GENERAL FIELD INFORMATION														
Field Name/Identification														
OS Grid Reference														
Altitude (m)														
Area of field (ha)														
Aspect of field (please circle relevant category)	Flat or mainly North or South or East or West facing													
> 5% of field under (please circle relevant category)	rushes and/or coarse grasses and/or docks and/or nettles and/or thistles and/or _____													
II. FIELD MANAGEMENT HISTORY		CURRENT CHARACTERISTICS										NOTE below any difference in the field characteristics or management BEFORE joining any agri-environment scheme		
Years since last ploughed/sown														
Does field tend to waterlog?	Yes		No											
Use and inputs since last July (please circle relevant categories)	Grazed by sheep and/or cattle and/or _____ (e.g. other livestock and/or grazed <i>heavily</i> by wildlife - geese, rabbits, etc.) Used for one or two or three cuts of silage/hay Fertiliser and/or dung/slurry and/or herbicide/insecticide applied													
Please tick relevant months	JUL	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	JUN		
Grazed by sheep														
Grazed by cattle														
Grazed by other (as mentioned above)														
Closed to livestock grazing														
Inorganic fertiliser applied														
Dung/slurry applied														
Herbicide/insecticide applied														
Any additional comments														

**Representative photographs of foraging
locations used by sub-adult choughs during
2006-2009**

Photographs taken by Maria Bogdanova, Eric Bignal & Jane Reid



Ardnave – dung feeding



Ardnave – west dunes



Ardnave dunes



Ardnave beach



Ardnave kelp



Ardnave kelp



Ardnave beach



Killinallan dunes



Killinallan dunes



Ardnave carcass



Kilchoman beach marram



Kilchoman heath



Kilchoman heath

1 7 2007



Kilchiaran silage fields



Kilchoman dunes – winter cattle feeding as management for choughs



Kilchoman dunes – the consequence of outwintering cattle



Sanaig carcass



Sanaig carcass



Eilean Mor – mining bee larvae?

Appendix 5

Appendix 5 is confidential, and provides information on:

- a. Farms where there are chough nesting or roosting places (mostly in buildings) that need attention.**

- b. Places where there is thought to be suitable breeding habitat but where no nesting places exist, or where there is opportunity to provide a nest site.**

- c. Farms that have had chough field shelters approved in an RDP rural priorities contract (as of August 2009)**

The full Appendix has been provided to members of the Scottish Chough Forum.